

FEEDBACK INFORMATION
JOINT ENGINEERING SERVICES - MANUFACTURING SERVICES
FUNCTION EVALUATION SEMINAR
 September 29 - October 3, 1958
 60 Engineers

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Do you feel the relationship of Value to Engineering was clearly defined? | YES <u>53</u> NO <u>0</u> |
| Was an engineer's responsibility in the area of Value clearly defined? | YES <u>50</u> NO <u>2</u> |
| Were the tools an engineer should use to approach maximum Value in the initial design clearly defined? | YES <u>43</u> NO <u>6</u> |
| 2. Do you feel the BFVS concept and application were clearly defined? | YES <u>52</u> NO <u>1</u> |
| Was sufficient practice time allowed to master this technique? | YES <u>20</u> NO <u>30</u> |
| 3. Do you feel project time was adequate? | YES <u>40</u> NO <u>12</u> |
| 4. Based on the training that you have received - what potential do you believe Value Analysis has? | GREAT POTENTIAL <u>49</u>
SOME POTENTIAL <u>4</u>
UNDECIDED _____
NO POTENTIAL _____ |

5. All comments dealing with the concept and philosophy are here listed. Remaining comments dealt with course material and content, future communications, future developments, etc.

"Finest, clearest approach to problems of cost and value. Points way and makes whole process systematic."

"I believe it has a great future."

"Great start - now we need experience in application, and further understanding of Value Standards."

"This is the first method I've seen which approaches a scientific measurement of design efficiency."

"Best means for getting Value Analysis into use in operating departments is for us to be measured by it in position guide."

"Expect to go back to engineers and designers and open their eyes to the opportunities."

"Wonderful concept which should result in significant improvements in initial design."

"Very privileged to have this experience."

"Great."

"Value Analysis has great value. Basic Function Value Standard may or may not be a powerful tool that will truly add new penetration to the Value Analysis approach. Time and practice will tell."

"I feel there is a tremendous future in BFVS. The next five years should show great progress."

"Question the usage of BFVS at present."

"An excellent presentation of a worthwhile engineering tool."

"Good design practice and Value Analysis have the same goals and therefore are completely compatible."

"This course places great emphasis (and properly) in an area too long overlooked."

"In the field of nuclear reactors there is great need for it. If we can stretch the dollar in capital equipment and fuel we will eventually (sooner than predicted) become commercially competitive and General Electric will have the edge on other producers."

"No matter which part is evaluated - its BFVS is shockingly low thus motivating work on it. It soon becomes obvious that every part or assembly could be worked on and economies achieved even without the BFVS. However, the BFVS is extremely useful in determining exactly what the intended function is."

"My job is quality improvement and value improvement. Until now I add cost Mondays and Tuesdays and take out about half of it Thursdays and Fridays. Now I need only one hat because correctly improving value also improves quality."

"Good - but will take a long time to get general acceptance unless selling begins at the president level."

"I hope I can call upon you to review my attempts at evaluating a design."

"Great potential for lots of work - system needs extension for other work."

"BFVS useful in motivation. Less useful (now) as a measurement. Engineers must somehow be motivated toward simplification and cost reduction through studying basic functions of product assemblies and sub-assemblies."