

TESTING THE LATE PALEOZOIC ICE VOLUME PARADOX IN THE SOUTHERNMOST
PARANÁ BASIN, BRAZIL

by

Nicholas David Fedorchuk

A Dissertation Submitted in
Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in Geosciences

at

The University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

May 2019

ABSTRACT

TESTING THE LATE PALEOZOIC ICE VOLUME PARADOX IN THE SOUTHERNMOST PARANÁ BASIN, BRAZIL

by

Nicholas David Fedorchuk

The University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 2019
Under the Supervision of Professor John L. Isbell

The late Paleozoic ice age (LPIA; ~372-259 Ma) was the last complete transition from icehouse to greenhouse conditions on a fully vegetated Earth, making it a relevant analog for modern Earth systems. High frequency glacioeustatic fluctuation of ~100-200 m during the late Paleozoic are described by some authors in low paleolatitude basins and attributed to the orbitally-driven, waxing and waning of a massive (~20-35 x 10⁶ km²) hypothetical ice sheet. This massive ice sheet is traditionally interpreted to have covered much of southern Gondwana for >100 m.y. Meanwhile, recent studies of high-paleolatitude glacial deposits imply a much more complex pattern of glaciation with distinct, smaller ice centers that persisted for <10 m.y. Seemingly in support of these more recent studies, climate models indicate that a massive ice sheet would be too stable to produce glacioeustatic fluctuations on a time scale generated by orbital forcing. However, numerous smaller ice sheets of equal spatial coverage would not contain enough water volume to produce glacioeustatic amplitudes like those reported by some authors. To address this ice-volume paradox, the southernmost margin of the Paraná Basin, Brazil was chosen for a detailed sedimentologic, stratigraphic, and geochemical study since it has an unaltered record of Permo-Carboniferous glaciation and since several contradictory hypotheses have been proposed regarding the nature of the glaciation in this region.

Sedimentological and geochemical analyses of glacial deposits on the southernmost margin of the Paraná Basin indicate that the glacier covering this region was warm-based or polythermal and it experienced repeated fluctuations in ice-marginal positions during the Carboniferous. The glacial foreland was as a temperate, transitional, lacustrine to estuarine environment. Paleovalleys on the southern margin of the Paraná Basin were previously viewed as fjords that drained an ice center over northern Namibia, which is also thought to have supplied ice to the eastern Paraná Basin. Contradicting this hypothesis, these paleovalleys were found to be non-glacial in origin. Furthermore, the orientations of subglacial erosional features, paleocurrents, and glaciotectonic deformation structures from the southern Paraná Basin all support an unconfined lobe that advanced radially NNE and NNW out of Uruguay during the late Paleozoic. Therefore, at least two distinct ice centers are believed to have supplied sediment to the eastern and southern margins of the Paraná Basin. Detrital zircon (U-Pb) geochronology was used to assess the provenance of glacial sediments in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin (Uruguay) in order to determine the source region of the ice center that flowed N into the southern Paraná Basin. The detrital zircon samples indicate that glaciogenic sediments were derived from SE Uruguay, which was likely connected to the Cargonian highlands of southern Namibia and northern South Africa. This study supports the emerging view of LPIA glaciation, which purports that the glaciation consisted of multiple topographically controlled ice centers. The absence of glaciers on the southernmost Paraná Basin during the early Permian means that glaciation in southern Brazil does not correlate to widespread Permian glaciation in Africa. This is further evidence that late Paleozoic glaciation did not occur everywhere simultaneously. Thus, based on this study, the ice-volume paradox is most likely the result of over-estimates of glacioeustatic fluctuations.

© Copyright by Nicholas David Fedorchuk, 2019
All Rights Reserved

To my parents
Robert and Rosemary Fedorchuk
and my wife
Hanna Yousuf

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----------------|--|------------|
| | List of Figures | ix |
| | List of Tables | xi |
| | Acknowledgments | xii |
| Chapter | | |
| I. | Introduction | 1 |
| | The late Paleozoic ice age | 1 |
| | Significance of the Paraná Basin | 2 |
| | Project objectives and synopsis | 5 |
| | References | 8 |
| II. | Origin of paleovalleys on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (Brazil): implications for the extent of late Paleozoic glaciation in west- central Gondwana | 15 |
| | Abstract | 15 |
| | Introduction | 16 |
| | Geologic setting | 19 |
| | Location: Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys | 20 |
| | Methods | 22 |
| | Results | 23 |
| | Discussion | 34 |
| | Conclusions | 46 |
| | References | 48 |
| III. | Carboniferous glaciotectionized sediments in the southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil: Ice marginal dynamics and paleoclimate indicators | 76 |
| | Abstract | 76 |
| | Introduction | 77 |
| | Geologic setting and location | 80 |
| | Previous work | 82 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------|
| | Methods..... | 83 |
| | Facies analysis and process interpretations..... | 86 |
| | Geochemistry of rhythmites..... | 96 |
| | Horizontal variability of facies and deformation | 97 |
| | Discussion | 97 |
| | Conclusions..... | 108 |
| | References | 111 |
| IV. | Provenance of late Paleozoic glacial/post-glacial deposits in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin, Uruguay and southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil..... | 136 |
| | Abstract | 136 |
| | Introduction | 137 |
| | Geologic setting | 140 |
| | Location | 142 |
| | Methods..... | 143 |
| | Results..... | 145 |
| | Discussion | 152 |
| | Conclusions..... | 160 |
| | References | 162 |
| V. | Conclusions | 181 |
| | Outcome of stated project objectives..... | 181 |
| | Implications for the late Paleozoic ice volume paradox | 184 |
| | Future directions | 186 |
| | References | 188 |
| | Appendix A: Detrital zircon methods | 190 |
| | Appendix B: Detrital zircon data | 201 |
| | Appendix C: Geochemical data | 273 |
| | Appendix D: Paleocurrent, grooved surfaces, and structural data from study area | 276 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Appendix E: Detailed stratigraphic columns..... | 280 |
| Curriculum Vitae | 313 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|------------------|---|------------|
| Fig. 1.1 | Location of Paraná Basin and hypotheses for size and location of glaciers entering Paraná Basin during late Carboniferous. | 13 |
| Fig. 1.2 | Map of study area and late Paleozoic glacial outcrops..... | 14 |
| Fig. 2.1 | Paleogeography and study location for Chapter 2 | 59 |
| Fig. 2.2 | Hypotheses for size and location of glaciers entering Paraná Basin during late Carboniferous..... | 60 |
| Fig. 2.3 | Third-order sequence stratigraphic framework for southern and eastern Paraná Basin | 61 |
| Fig. 2.4 | Sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (Gm/Gp) in core LA-14 and rhythmite facies (Flv) at Location 2. | 64 |
| Fig. 2.5 | Key features of rhythmite facies (Flv) in cores AB-06 and CA-53..... | 65 |
| Fig. 2.6 | Key features of rhythmite facies (Flv) in cores AB-06 and CA-53..... | 66 |
| Fig. 2.7 | Mudrock/fine-grained sandstone facies (Fl/Fm) in outcrop. | 67 |
| Fig. 2.8 | Photomosaic and sedimentary features from Location 1 (Morro do Papaléo) | 68 |
| Fig. 2.9 | Photomosaic and sedimentary features from Location 3, Chapter 2 | 69 |
| Fig. 2.10 | Key features of the diamictite facies (Dms) and root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (Mrt) | 70 |
| Fig. 2.11 | Root-trace-bearing mudstone (Mrt) facies and heterolithic bioturbated (mSb) facies | 71 |
| Fig. 2.12 | Cross section of Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys | 72 |
| Fig. 2.13 | Stratigraphic columns from Location 1 (Morro do Papaléo) and Location 2, in Chapter 2 | 73 |
| Fig. 2.14 | Detrital zircon results from Mariana Pimentel Paleovalley..... | 74 |
| Fig. 2.15 | Block diagrams showing evolution of Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys during early Permian | 75 |
| Fig. 3.1 | Paleogeography, location, and stratigraphy of Chapter 3 study area | 121 |
| Fig. 3.2 | Measured stratigraphic sections from Locations 1-5 (Chapter 3) | 122 |
| Fig. 3.3 | Key features from Facies A | 124 |
| Fig. 3.4 | (A-C) Example of piggyback fold-thrust complex at Location 3 (Chapter 3) indicating motion towards the NW..... | 125 |
| Fig. 3.5 | (A-B) Sandy clinofolds (white dashed lines) of Facies B at Location 2 (Chapter 3)..... | 126 |
| Fig. 3.6 | (A-B) Drag fold (white arrow) and thrust faults (red solid lines) in folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies..... | 127 |
| Fig. 3.7 | Key features of folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies (Facies D) at Locations 4 and 5 (Chapter 3) | 128 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|------------|
| Fig. 3.8 | (A-B) Possible slide blocks or extruded sediment between folds (white arrows) within the folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies (Facies D) at Location 5 (Chapter 3)..... | 129 |
| Fig. 3.9 | Key features of rhythmites and mudstone with outsized clasts facies (Facies E) at Location 3 and 5 (Chapter 3) | 130 |
| Fig. 3.10 | Dropstones and diamictite pellets viewed under crossed polars and located within the rhythmites and mudstone with outsized clasts facies (Facies E) from Location 3 (Chapter 3)..... | 131 |
| Fig. 3.11 | Deformation features within rhythmites and mudstone with outsized clast facies (Facies E) at Location 5 (Chapter 3)..... | 132 |
| Fig. 3.12 | Geochemical (Rb/K and Chemical Index of Alteration) data collected from rhythmites at Location 3 | 133 |
| Fig. 3.13 | Interpretation of two advance/retreat cycles responsible for deposition and deformation observed at Locations 1-5 (Chapter 3)..... | 134 |
| Fig. 3.14 | Interpretation of glaciation on Rio Grande do Sul during late Carboniferous | 135 |
| Fig. 4.1 | Paleogeography of southernmost Paraná Basin and Chaco-Paraná Basin during late Carboniferous | 169 |
| Fig. 4.2 | Study area on Rio Grande do Sul Shield and Uruguayan Shield | 170 |
| Fig. 4.3 | Stratigraphy of southernmost Paraná Basin and eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin | 171 |
| Fig. 4.4 | Stratigraphic columns from study sample locations (Chapter 4) | 172 |
| Fig. 4.5 | Kernel density plot of sample SUS-1 compared to other samples from Rio Grande do Sul Shield..... | 173 |
| Fig. 4.6 | Kernel density plots of samples LMF-5, LMF-3, LMF-2, and LP-3 | 174 |
| Fig. 4.7 | Kernel density plots of samples AND-1, UC-13, NOM, and CEB-392..... | 175 |
| Fig. 4.8 | Pie charts showing percentage of Ordovician, Cambrian, Neoproterozoic, Mesoproterozoic, Paleoproterozoic, and Archean grains in each detrital zircon sample..... | 176 |
| Fig. 4.9 | Multidimensional scaling analysis of samples analyzed in Chapter 4 and other studies..... | 179 |
| Fig. 4.10 | Paleogeographic reconstruction of ice center that affected study area in southernmost Paraná Basin and eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin | 180 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|------------------|--|------------|
| Table 2.1 | Lithofacies codes, descriptions, and paleoenvironmental interpretations for Chapter 2 | 62 |
| Table 3.1 | Lithofacies codes, descriptions, and paleoenvironmental interpretations for Chapter 3 | 123 |
| Table 4.1 | Comparison of K-S P-values between samples analyzed in Chapter 4 and other studies | 177 |
| Table 4.2 | Comparison of cross-correlation coefficients (R^2) between samples analyzed in Chapter 4 and other studies | 178 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, this project would never have been possible at any level without the support and friendship of my adviser, Dr. John L. Isbell. John first introduced me to the late Paleozoic ice age by bringing me to Russia where we had the first, and most unforgettable, of many adventures together in the field. John has been there for every step of this project, providing me opportunities to travel to Brazil and Uruguay, supporting and mentoring me in the field, the classroom, at conferences, and in the lab. John has always been beyond approachable to any questions or concerns I had throughout this process, demonstrating through his actions what it means to be a great mentor. Under his guidance, I have learned about countless aspects of sedimentology and stratigraphy, as well as how to teach, and present data. I am truly grateful for all of the time and trust that John has placed into making me a better scientist, colleague, teacher, and person.

I would like to thank all of my other committee members for their guidance throughout the past five years. I am forever grateful to Dr. Stephen Q. Dornbos for bringing me to UWM and advising me through my master's project. Our conversations about everything from science to politics and basketball have always been a good time. I want to thank Dr. Lindsay McHenry and Dr. Julie Bowles for always being willing to give suggestions or advice on various aspects of my project and for helping me learn how to use their equipment during my time at UWM.

All of my collaborators on this project have been hugely influential in this project, from their sharing of ideas with me, teaching me about geology, introducing me the Paraná and Chaco-Paraná Basins, and helping me learn new techniques. I am very grateful to Dr. Neil Griffis, Eduardo Menozzo da Rosa, Dr. Isabel P. Montañez, Dr. Roberto Iannuzzi, Dr. Fernando Vesely, Dr. Qing-Zhu Yin, Dr. Roland Mundil, Dr. Cesar Goso, Dr. Magdalena Huyskens, Dr.

Matthew Sanborn, Guilherme Roesler, João Ricetti, Thammy Mottin, Dr. Amanda Carvalho, Dr. Luiz Weinschütz, and Willian Matsumura.

I would like to acknowledge the many sources of funding that have supported this project. This project was supported by grants from the U.S. National Science Foundation (OISE-1444181, OISE-1559231, and EAR-1729219) and the UW-Milwaukee Research Growth Initiative (RGI). Other financial support was provided by the American Association of Petroleum Geologists (AAPG), the Geological Society of America (GSA), the Society for Sedimentary Geology (SEPM), the UWM Center for Latin American and Caribbean Studies (CLACS), the UWM Milwaukee Geosciences Department, and the Wisconsin Geological Society.

My graduate school friends have always made it a pleasure to come in to the department every day. The entire department is so friendly and encouraging that I have always felt at home here. Thank you to David, Kate, Jane, Megan, Keenan, Liz, Chase, Chad, Gayantha, Levi, Emily, and everyone else. Thank you to my parents for always listening to me and taking an interest in my research. They have encouraged my passion for geology since I was a kid collecting fossils and rocks on the side of the road.

Finally, I cannot say thank you enough to my wife, Hanna Yousuf, who was helping me before I even started graduate school and throughout my entire time here at UWM. Many hours of train and bus rides were taken from South Bend to Milwaukee to visit me. I could never have finished without all the immeasurable ways that she sacrificed her own time, resources, and energy. Thank you!

Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1. The late Paleozoic ice Age

The Earth currently exists in an icehouse condition that is characterized by relatively low atmospheric partial pressure of CO₂ ($p\text{CO}_2$; ~ 400 ppmv today) and glaciation at high to mid-latitudes. However, human activities (i.e. the burning of fossil fuels and deforestation) are currently on pace to increase $p\text{CO}_2$ to levels not seen in 30 million years (~ 2,000 ppmv if all fossil fuels are depleted) (Kump, 2002; Montañez et al., 2011). To understand Earth's response to rising $p\text{CO}_2$, a deep time analog is needed. The late Paleozoic ice age (LPIA), which extended from the Famennian of the Late Devonian until the Wuchiapingian in the Late Permian (~372-259 Ma) serves as the best predictor for future climate change because it represents the last complete transition in Earth's history from an icehouse planet, similar in some ways to today, to a warmer greenhouse planet with relatively high $p\text{CO}_2$ (e.g. Gastaldo et al., 1996, Raymond and Metz, 2004; Montañez and Soreghan, 2006, Isbell et al., 2008, 2012).

The LPIA is also the only such transition to occur on a fully vegetated Earth with a complex biota (e.g. Gastaldo et al., 1996; Montañez and Poulsen, 2013). Despite the usefulness of such an analog, further work is needed to interpret the timing, drivers, and feedbacks of Earth systems during this interval. An area of study within the LPIA that remains particularly problematic is the extent and timing of glaciation. The traditional view is that a massive ice sheet (~20-35 x 10⁶ km²) covered a large part of the southern supercontinent for ~113 million years (e.g. Frakes, 1979; Veevers and Powell, 1987; Frakes et al., 1992; Ziegler et al., 1997; Scotese, 1999; Buggisch et al., 2011). Alternatively, recent studies of temperate to high-latitude

glaciations have suggested that the LPIA was comprised of multiple ice centers and shorter glacial intervals (< 10 m.y.) that are separated by non-glacial periods of equal duration (e.g. Visser, 1997; López Gamundí, 1997; Isbell et al., 2003; 2012; Fielding et al., 2008; Gulbranson et al., 2010). Orbitally-driven glacioeustatic fluctuations as much as 100-200 m have been suggested during the Permo-Carboniferous based on stratigraphic and geochemical evidence at low latitudes (e.g. Wanless and Shephard, 1936; Heckel, 1977, 2008; Ross and Ross, 1985; Soreghan and Giles, 1999; Joachimski et al., 2006; Rygel et al., 2008; Buggisch et al., 2008). However, a paradox exists, whereby models suggest that large continental ice sheets would be too stable to produce massive glacioeustatic fluctuations on orbitally-driven timescales (e.g. Maqueda et al., 1998; Deconto and Pollard, 2003; Horton and Poulsen, 2009; Montañez and Poulsen, 2013) and smaller separate ice sheets of equal geographic coverage would not contain enough water volume to produce such eustatic changes (e.g. Isbell et al., 2003). The difference in ice-volume between these two hypotheses suggests greatly different interpretations of ocean circulation, glacioeustasy, $p\text{CO}_2$, and climate during the critical transition from icehouse to greenhouse conditions (e.g. Poulsen et al., 2007; Horton et al., 2012). Therefore, this discrepancy warrants further work on the nature of near-field, high- to mid-latitude glaciogenic deposits so that the record of far-field eustasy and climate models can be better constrained.

1.2. Significance of the Paraná Basin

Carboniferous and Permian glacial deposits are located in Africa, South America, Australia, Antarctica, India, the Arabian Peninsula, and various other small crustal blocks now incorporated into southern Asia (e.g. Veevers and Powell, 1987; Singh, 1993; López Gamundí,

1997; Wopfner and Casshvar, 1997; Visser, 1997; Limarino et al., 2002; Isbell et al., 2003, 2008, 2012; Chakraborty and Ghosh, 2008; Fielding et al., 2008; Martin et al., 2008; Mory et al., 2008; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Isbell, 2010). However, in many places the sedimentologic record of the glaciation is incomplete or poorly constrained. The lack of reliable age control in many basins can be attributed to a paucity of ash beds for absolute age dating and imprecise biostratigraphic control of endemic fossil faunas and floras. The Paraná Basin of southern Brazil (Fig. 1.1A) is critical to understanding the late Paleozoic ice-volume paradox because it contains one of the most complete and detailed glacial and post-glacial records from Permo-Carboniferous strata in Gondwana and it contains ash beds that allow for high precision U-Pb dating (e.g. Eyles et al., 1993; Santos et al., 1996; Holz et al., 2008; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Mori et al., 2012; Vesely et al., 2015; Cagliari et al., 2016; Griffis et al., 2018). The basin is situated between well dated the late Paleozoic basins with a glacial record in Africa and western South America, thus it provides a critical geographic link in reconstructions of the glacial interval (e.g Stollhofen et al., 2008; Gulbranson et al, 2010). The Paraná Basin is also a hydrocarbon and coal producing basin, which has prompted exploration, including an abundance of drill cores and associated data (França and Potter, 1991; Lopes, 2004; Vesely et al., 2007). Finally, the Paraná Basin was located at mid-paleolatitudes (~55° S; e.g. Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Torsvik and Cocks, 2013), where it seems likely that glaciation would have been more sensitive to fluctuations in climate compared to a polar setting.

Despite these advantages, there remains little consensus on the timing and character of the glacial interval in the Paraná Basin, which is represented by the Permo-Carboniferous Itararé Group. For example, one hypothesis describes numerous small ice caps extending only short distances into the Paraná Basin from locally elevated areas (Fig. 1.1B; Santos et al., 1996;

Rocha-Campos et al., 2008). A competing hypothesis describes a massive ice sheet that originated in Antarctica, extended across Africa, and covered the entire basin (Fig. 1.1C; e.g. Barret, 1991; Veevers et al., 1994; Gesicki et al., 2002; Starck and Papa, 2006). A third hypothesis suggests that two separate ice centers were located over highlands in Africa and extended onto the eastern and southern margins of the basin (Fig. 1.1D; e.g. Frakes and Crowell, 1972; Crowell and Frakes, 1975; Assine et al., 2018). Finally, a fourth hypothesis depicts ice sheets in Africa draining into the southern and eastern Paraná Basin through a series of paleovalleys (Fig. 1.1E; e.g. Tedesco et al., 2016; Fallgatter and Paim, 2017). Additionally, interpretations of the glaciation have ranged from large and small unconfined lobes to small, confined valley glaciers (e.g. Frakes and Crowell, 1972; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Tedesco et al., 2016). Interpretations of the glaciers' thermal regimes have ranged from cold-based glaciers with extensive ice-shelves to warm-based temperate glaciers with tidewater termini (e.g. Vesely and Assine, 2002; Trosdorf et al., 2005). Therefore, this project aims to address some of the questions that persist about the extent, nature, and timing of glaciation in the Paraná Basin and to determine how this information fits into the broader record of high- to mid-latitude glaciation during the LPIA.

To test these hypotheses, focus was placed on the southernmost part of the Paraná Basin, located in the state of Rio Grande do Sul (Fig. 1.2). This area is situated on a paleotopographic high known as the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (RGS) that is comprised of mostly Neoproterozoic igneous and metamorphic terranes (Fig. 1.2). The southern margin of the basin was chosen because it is significantly understudied compared to the better exposed eastern margin of the basin. Work on the southern margin has also previously described, subglacial grooves with different orientations from those on the eastern margin of the basin, which has led to questions

regarding how the glacial deposits were spatially and temporally related to both intra- and extra-basinal deposits (e.g. Gesicki et al., 2002; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Rosa et al., 2016; Assine et al., 2018). Furthermore, the southernmost margin of the basin was paleogeographically located between African highlands to the east, the Chaco-Paraná Basin to the south in present Uruguay, and the Paraná Basin to the north, making it an important area for broad-scale reconstructions of the glaciation.

1.3. Project objectives and synopsis

To test the various hypotheses for the extent, nature, and timing of LPIA glaciation in the southernmost Paraná Basin, this project had the following goals:

- 1. Conduct a sedimentologic and stratigraphic analysis of glaciogenic deposits in the southern Paraná Basin to determine the presence, size, extent, timing, depositional environments, and thermal regime of the glaciation.*
- 2. Constrain the location and size of ice center affecting the study area by determining the provenance of glaciogenic units.*
- 3. Compare and contrast the provenance and sedimentology of glaciogenic sediments within the study area to related localities in the Chaco-Paraná Basin, Uruguay to evaluate drainage patterns during the late Paleozoic.*

Chapter 2 of this dissertation focuses on the sedimentary fill of two paleovalleys on the southern margin of the Paraná Basin, named the Marianna Pimentel and Leão Paleovalleys (e.g.

Tedesco et al., 2016). These paleovalleys were a natural starting point for this project because they have been previously described as glacially-carved fjords that were draining a major ice center in Africa onto the RGS (e.g. Tedesco et al., 2016). If true, that would make these paleovalleys a critical link between the glacial record in northern Namibia, the eastern margin of the Paraná basin, and the southern margin of the Paraná Basin. However, in Chapter 2, I argue that based on the sedimentology and stratigraphy of their fill, the paleovalleys were not glacially-carved and do not contain any clear evidence of glaciation. The fill of these paleovalleys is interpreted as entirely post-glacial sediments. Additionally, detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology reveals that the sedimentary fill of the paleovalleys was not derived from Africa and that the valleys appear to have been formed by active tectonism during the Paleozoic. This is significant because it indicates that, unlike the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin, ice did not flow directly E to W from Africa, onto the RGS. It also supports the interpretation that a separate ice center was responsible for glaciation on the southern margin compared to the eastern margin of the basin.

Chapter 3 of this dissertation consists of a detailed description of deformed glaciogenic (Itararé Gp.) strata on the western RGS that were first described by Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1997). It is important to analyze these sediments because they contain some clear evidence for glaciation in the form of grooved surfaces, striated clasts, rhythmites with dropstones, and diamictite pellets. In this case, the deformation is determined to be the result of glaciotectonism consistent with an ice-marginal push-moraine complex. The nature of the glaciotectonism provides clues about the thermal regime and motion of the glacier that advanced over this area, the nature of the foreland, as well as the paleoclimate of this region during the Carboniferous. The sediments show evidence of a temperate, terrestrial-to-estuarine, proglacial setting with

evidence of seasonal freeze/thaw processes. Deformation structures indicate that the glacier shoved the sediments towards the NW, out of present-day Uruguay. When combined with other published grooved surfaces and subglacially carved whalebacks in Uruguay (i.e. Assine et al., 2018), this data indicates that ice spread radially across the RGS, supporting unconfined glaciation. Furthermore, the pattern of deformation described in Chapter 3 shows evidence of multiple advance/retreat cycles within a relatively short time span, which supports a dynamic, temperate margin for ice flowing towards the NW in the Carboniferous.

Chapter 4 is a provenance analysis of glacial and post-glacial sediments on the eastern margin of the Chaco-Paraná Basin, Uruguay and the southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil. It became important for this study to expand into the adjacent Chaco-Paraná Basin (located to the south of the RGS) because the results from Chapters 2 and 3 indicated that an unconfined lobe originated from present-day Uruguay. Therefore, the goal of Chapter 4 is to better understand the location of the ice center that supplied ice N to the Paraná Basin and determine how drainage patterns changed during the shift from glacial to post-glacial conditions. The results presented in Chapter 4 indicate that sediments in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin are from igneous and metamorphic sources located in SE Uruguay and likely SW Namibia. This supports the hypothesis that the glaciers entering the southernmost Paraná Basin originated in the Cargonian Highlands of southern Africa. Meanwhile, the glacial sediments on the RGS were primarily derived from erosion and resedimentation of Precambrian/Cambrian metasedimentary rocks located nearby on the RGS. Based on the detrital signatures, changes in drainage were observed between ice-proximal, relatively ice-distal, and post-glacial settings. Ice-proximal and post-glacial sediments were mostly sourced from highly local basement. Meanwhile, relatively ice-distal, glacially-influenced sediments had zircons from a larger drainage area.

References

- Assine, M.L., de Santa Ana, H., Veroslavsky, G., Vesely, F.F., 2018. Exhumed subglacial landscape in Uruguay; erosional landforms, depositional environments, and paleo-ice flow in the context of the late Paleozoic Gondwanan glaciation. *Sedimentary Geology* 369, 1-12.
- Barrett, P. J., 1991. The Devonian to Jurassic Beacon Supergroup of the Transantarctic Mountains and correlatives in other parts of Antarctica. In: Tingey, R. J. (Ed.), *The Geology of Antarctica*: Oxford, Oxford University Press, pp. 120-152.
- Buggisch, W., Joachimski, M.M., Sevastopulo, G., Morrow, J.R., 2008. Mississippian $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ and conodont $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records – Their relation to the Late Palaeozoic Glaciation. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 268, 273-292.
- Buggisch, W., Wang, X., Alekseev, A.S., Joachimski, M.M., 2011. Carboniferous-Permian carbon isotope stratigraphy of successions from China (Yangtze platform), USA (Kansas) and Russia (Moscow Basin and Urals). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 301, 18-38.
- Cagliari, J., Philipp, R.P., Buso, V.V., Netto, R.G., Hillebrand, P.K., Lopes, R.d.C., Basei, M.A.S, Faccini, U.F., 2016. Age constraints of the glaciation in the Paraná Basin: evidence from new U-Pb dates. *Journal of the Geological Society* 173, 871-874.
- Chakraborty, C., Ghosh, S. K., 2008. Pattern of sedimentation during the Late Paleozoic Gondwanaland glaciation: An example from the Talchir Formation, Satpura Gondwana basin, central India. *Journal of Earth System Science* 117, 499-519.
- Crowell, J.C., Frakes, L.A., 1975. The late Paleozoic glaciation. In: Campbell, K.S.W. (Ed.), *Gondwana Geology*. Australian National University Press, Canberra, pp. 313-331.
- Deconto, R.M., Pollard, D., 2003. Rapid Cenozoic glaciation of Antarctica induced by declining atmospheric CO_2 . *Nature* 421, 245-249.
- Eyles, C. H., Eyles, N., Franca, A. B., 1993. Glaciation and tectonics in an active intracratonic basin: the late Palaeozoic Itararé Group, Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Sedimentology* 40, 1-25.
- Fielding, C. R., Frank, T. D., Isbell, J. L., 2008. The late Paleozoic ice age--A review of current understanding and synthesis of global climate patterns. In: Fielding, C. R., Frank, T. D., Isbell, J. L. (Eds.), *Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 441, pp. 343-354.
- Fallgatter, C., Paim, P. S. G., 2017. On the origin of the Itararé Group basal nonconformity and its implications for the Late Paleozoic glaciation in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* (in press). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.02.039>
- Frakes, L., Crowell, J., 1972. Late Paleozoic glacial geography between the Paraná Basin and the Andean geosyncline. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 44, 139-145.
- Frakes, L.A., 1979. *Climates through earth history*, Amsterdam, Elsevier, 310 (pp.).

- Frakes, L. A., Francis, J. E., Syktus, J. I., 1992. *Climate modes of the Phanerozoic*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 274 (pp.).
- França, A. B., Potter, P. E., 1991. Stratigraphy and Reservoir Potential of Glacial Deposits of the Itararé Group (Carboniferous-Permian), Paraná Basin, Brazil: *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* 75, 62-85.
- Gastaldo, R. A., DiMichele, W. A., Pfefferkorn, H. W., 1996. Out of the icehouse into the greenhouse; a late Paleozoic analog for modern global vegetational change. *Geological Society of America Today* 6, 1-7.
- Gesicki, A. L. D., Riccomini, C., Boggianic, P. C., 2002. Ice flow direction during late Paleozoic glaciation in western Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 14, 933-939.
- Griffis, N.P., Mundil, R., Montañez, I.P., Isbell, J.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., Vesely, F.F., Iannuzzi, R., Yin, Q.-Z., 2018. A new stratigraphic framework built on U-Pb single zircon TIMS ages with implications for the timing of the penultimate icehouse (Paraná Basin, Brazil). *Geological Society of America Bulletin* (in press).
- Gulbranson, E.L., Montañez, I.P., Schmitz, M.D., Limarino, C.O., Isbell, J.L., Marensi, S.A., Crowley, J.L., 2010. High-precision U-Pb calibration of Carboniferous glaciation and climate history, Paganzo Group, NW Argentina. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 122, 1480-1498.
- Heckel, P. H., 1977. Origin of phosphatic black shale facies in Pennsylvanian cyclothems of mid-continent North America. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* 61, 1045-1068.
- Heckel, P. H., 2008. Pennsylvanian cyclothems in Midcontinent North America as far-field effects of waxing and waning of Gondwana ice sheets. *Special Paper - Geological Society of America* 441, 275-289.
- Holz, M., Souza, P. A., Iannuzzi, R., 2008. Sequence stratigraphy and biostratigraphy of the Late Carboniferous to Early Permian glacial succession (Itararé subgroup) at the eastern-southeastern margin of the Paraná Basin, Brazil. In: Fielding, C. R., Frank, T., Isbell, J. L. (Eds.), *Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 441, pp. 115-129.
- Horton, D.E., Poulsen, C.J., 2009. Paradox of late Paleozoic glacioeustasy. *Geology* 37, 715-718.
- Horton, D.E., Poulsen, C.J., Montañez, I.P., DiMichele, W.A., 2012. Eccentricity-paced late Paleozoic climate change. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 331-332, 150-161.
- Isbell, J.L., Miller, M.F., Wolfe, K.L., Lenaker, P.A., 2003. Timing of late Paleozoic glaciation in Gondwana: Was glaciation responsible for the development of northern hemisphere cyclothems? In: Chan, M.A., Archer, A.W. (Eds.), *Extreme depositional environments: Mega end members in geologic time*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 370, 5-24.

- Isbell, J. L., Fraiser, M. L., Henry, L. C., 2008. Examining the complexity of environmental change during the late Paleozoic and early Mesozoic. *Palaios* 23, 267-269.
- Isbell, J. L., 2010. Environmental and paleogeographic implications of glaciotectonic deformation of glaciomarine deposits within Permian strata of the Metschel Tillite, southern Victoria Land, Antarctica. In: López-Gamundí, O. R., Buatois, L. A. (Eds.), *Late Paleozoic Glacial Events and Postglacial Transgressions in Gondwana*. Geological Society of America Special Publication 468, pp. 81-100.
- Isbell, J. L., Henry, L. C., Gulbranson, E. L., Limarino, C. O., Fraiser, M. L., Koch, Z. J., Ciccioli, P. L., and Dineen, A. A., 2012. Glacial paradoxes during the late Paleozoic ice age: Evaluating the equilibrium line altitude as a control on glaciation. *Gondwana Research* 22, 1-19.
- Joachimski, M.M., von Bitter, P.H., Buggisch, W., 2006. Constraints on Pennsylvanian glacioeustatic sea-level changes using oxygen isotopes of conodont apatite. *Geology* 34, 277-280.
- Kump, L.R., 2002. Reducing uncertainty about carbon dioxide as a climate driver. *Nature* 419, 188-190.
- Limarino, C. O., Cesari, S. N., Net, L. I., Marensi, S. A., Gutierrez, R. P., Tripaldi, A., 2002. The Upper Carboniferous postglacial transgression in the Paganzo and Rio Blanco Basins (northwestern Argentina); facies and stratigraphic significance. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 15, 445-460.
- Lopes, R. C., 2004. *Arquitetura Depositional e Potencial de Armazenamento de Arenitos Associado às Jazidas de Carvão da Formação Rio Bonito na Região do Rio Jacuí, Rio Grande do Sul*. (PhD dissertation). Universidade do Vale do Rio do Sinos, (257 pp.).
- López-Gamundí, O. R., 1997. Glacial-postglacial transition in the Late Paleozoic basins of southern South America. In: Martini, I. P. (Ed.), *Late glacial and postglacial environmental changes: Quaternary, Carboniferous-Permian, and Proterozoic*. Oxford, U.K., Oxford University Press, pp. 147-168.
- Maqueda, M.A.M., Willmott, A.J., Bamber, J.L., Darby, M.S., 1998. An investigation of the Small Ice Cap Instability in the Southern Hemisphere with a coupled atmosphere-sea ice-ocean terrestrial ice model. *Climate Dynamics* 14, 329-352.
- Martin, J.R., Redfern, J., Aitken, J.F., 2008. A regional overview of the late Paleozoic glaciation in Oman. *Geological Society of America Special Paper* 441, 175-186.
- Montañez, I., Soreghan, G. S., 2006. Earth's fickle climate; lessons learned from deep-time ice ages. *Geotimes* 51, 24-27.
- Montañez, I. P., Norris, R. D., Algeo, T., Chandler, M. A., Johnson, K. R., Kennedy, M. J., Kent, D. V., Kiehl, J. T., Kump, L. R., Ravelo, A. C., Turekian, K. K., Freeman, K. H., Feary, D. A., Rogers, N. D., Estep, J. T., Gibbs, C. R., Edkin, E. J., 2011. *Understanding Earth's deep past; lessons for our climate future*, National Academies Press, Washington, DC, (194 pp.).

- Montañez, I. P., Poulsen, C. J., 2013. The late Paleozoic ice age: an evolving paradigm. *Annual Review of Earth & Planetary Sciences* 41, 1-28.
- Mory, A.J., Martin, J.R., Redfern, J., 2008. A review of Permian-Carboniferous glacial deposits in Western Australia. In: Fielding, C.R., Frank, T.D., Isbell, J.L. (Eds.), *Resolving the late Paleozoic ice age in time and space*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 441, pp. 29-40.
- Mori, A. L. O., de Souza, P. A., Marques, J. C., Lopes, R. d. C., 2012. A new U–Pb zircon age dating and palynological data from a Lower Permian section of the southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil: Biochronostratigraphical and geochronological implications for Gondwanan correlations. *Gondwana Research* 21, 654-669.
- Poulsen, C.J., Pollard, D., Montañez, I.P., Rowley, D., 2007. Late Paleozoic tropical climate response to Gondwanan deglaciation. *Geology* 35, 771-774.
- Raymond, A., Metz, C., 2004. Ice and Its Consequences: Glaciation in the Late Ordovician, Late Devonian, Pennsylvanian-Permian, and Cenozoic Compared. *Journal of Geology* 112, 655-670.
- Rocha-Campos, A. C., dos Santos, P. R., Canuto, J. R., 2008. Late Paleozoic glacial deposits of Brazil: Paraná Basin. *Geological Society of America Special Papers* 441, 97-114.
- Rosa, E.L.M., Vesely, F.F., França A.B., 2016. A review of the late Paleozoic ice-related erosional landforms in the Paraná Basin: origin and paleogeographical implications. *Brazilian Journal of Geology* 46, 147-166.
- Ross, C.A., Ross, J.R.P., 1985. Late Paleozoic depositional sequences are synchronous and worldwide. *Geology* 13, 27-30.
- Rygel, M.C., Fielding, C.R., Frank, T.D., Birgenheier, L.P., 2008. The magnitude of late Paleozoic glacioeustatic fluctuations: a synthesis. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 78, 500-511.
- Santos, P. R. d., Rocha-Campos, A. C., Canuto, J. R., 1996. Patterns of late Palaeozoic deglaciation in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 125, 165-184.
- Scotese, C. R., Boucot, A. J., McKerrow, W. S., 1999. Gondwana palaeogeography and palaeoclimatology. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 28, 99-114.
- Singh, T., 1993. Gondwana sediments (Permian) of Arunachal Himalaya: stratigraphic status and depositional environment. In: Findlay, R.H., Unrug, R., Banks, M.R., Veevers, J.J. (Eds.), *Gondwana Eight: Assembly, evolution and dispersal*. Rotterdam, A.A. Balkema, pp. 333-343.
- Soreghan, G.S., Giles, K.A., 1999. Amplitudes of late Pennsylvanian glacioeustasy. *Geology* 27, 255-258.
- Starck, D., Papa, C., 2006. The northwestern Argentina Tarija Basin: stratigraphy, depositional systems, and controlling factors in a glaciated basin. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 22, 169-184.

- Stollhofen, H., Werner, M., Stanistreet, I. G., Armstrong, R. A., 2008. Single-zircon U-Pb dating of Carboniferous-Permian tuffs, Namibia, and the intercontinental deglaciation cycle framework. *Special Paper - Geological Society of America* 441, 83-96.
- Tedesco, J., Cagliari, J., Coitinho, J.D.R., da Cunha Lopes, R., Lavina, E.L.C., 2016. Late Paleozoic paleofjord in the southernmost Parana Basin (Brazil); geomorphology and sedimentary fill. *Geomorphology* 269, 203-214.
- Tomazelli, L.J., Soliani Júnior, E., 1997. Sedimentary facies and depositional environments related to Gondwana glaciation in Batovi and Suspiro Regions, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 10, 295-303.
- Torsvik, T. H., Cocks, L. R. M., 2013. Gondwana from top to base in space and time. *Gondwana Research* 24, 999-1030.
- Trosdorf, I., Rocha-Campos, A., Santos, P.R., Tomio, A., 2005. Origin of Late Paleozoic, multiple, glacially striated surfaces in northern Paraná Basin (Brazil): Some implications for the dynamics of the Paraná glacial lobe. *Sedimentary Geology* 181, 59-71.
- Veevers, J. J., and Powell, C. M., 1987. Late Paleozoic glacial episodes in Gondwanaland reflected in transgressive-regressive depositional sequences in Euramerica. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 98, 475-487.
- Vesely, F.F., Assine, M.L., 2002. Superfícies estriadas em arenitos do Grupo Itararé produzidas por gelo flutuante, Sudeste do Estado do Paraná. *Revista Brasileira de Geociências* 32, 587-594.
- Vesely, F. F., Rostirolla, S. P., Appi, C. J., Kraft, R. P., 2007. Late Paleozoic glacially related sandstone reservoirs in the Parana Basin, Brazil. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* 91, 151-160.
- Vesely, F. F., Trzaskos, B., Kipper, F., Assine, M. L., Souza, P. A., 2015. Sedimentary record of a fluctuating ice margin from the Pennsylvanian of western Gondwana. Paraná Basin, southern Brazil. *Sedimentary Geology* 326, 45-63.
- Visser, J.N.J., 1997. Deglaciation sequences in the Permo-Carboniferous Karoo and Kalahari basins of southern Africa: a tool in the analysis of cyclic glaciomarine basin fills. *Sedimentology* 44, 507-521.
- Wanless, H.R., Shepard, F.P., 1936. Sea level and climatic changes related to late Paleozoic cycles. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 47, 1177-1206.
- Wopfner, H., Casshwap, S.M., 1997. Transition from freezing to subtropical climates in the Permo-Carboniferous of Afro-Arabia and India. In: Martini, I.P. (Ed.), *Late glacial and postglacial environmental changes: Quaternary, Carboniferous-Permian, and Proterozoic*. Oxford, U.K., Oxford University Press, pp. 192-212.
- Ziegler, A.M., Hulver, M.L., Rowley, D.B., 1997. Permian world topography and climate. In: Martini, I.P. (Ed.), *Late glacial and post-glacial environmental changes-Quaternary, Carboniferous-Permian and Proterozoic*. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 111-146.




Fig. 1.1. Location of Paraná Basin and hypotheses for size and location of glaciers entering Paraná Basin during late Carboniferous. **A.** Location of Paraná Basin on Gondwana. **B.** Hypothesis 1: multiple small ice centers on paleotopographic highs around basin margins (after Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Santos et al., 1996). **C.** Hypothesis 2: single massive ice sheet from Africa or Antarctica (after Gesicki et al., 2002). **D.** Hypothesis 3: two separate, unconfined lobes entering basin, one extending north from Uruguay and another extending west from Namibia (after Frakes and Crowell, 1972; Crowell and Frakes, 1975). **E.** Hypothesis 4: outlet glaciers entering southern and eastern margin of basin though paleovalleys originating in Africa (after Tedesco et al., 2016; Fallgatter and Paim, 2017).




Fig. 1.2. Map of study area and late Paleozoic glacial outcrops (gray). Localities studied in this dissertation indicated by red stars. After Assine et al. (2018).

Chapter 2. Origin of paleovalleys on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (Brazil): implications for the extent of late Paleozoic glaciation in west-central Gondwana

Abstract

The location, longevity, and geographic extent of late Paleozoic ice centers in west-central Gondwana remain ambiguous. Paleovalleys on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield of southernmost Brazil have previously been interpreted as fjords carved by outlet glaciers that originated in Africa and emptied into the Paraná Basin (Brazil). In this study, the sedimentology, stratigraphy, and provenance of sediments infilling two such paleovalleys (the Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys) were examined to test the hypothesis that an ice center over present day Namibia drained across southernmost Brazil during the Carboniferous and Permian. Contrary to previous findings, the facies assemblage from within the paleovalleys is inconsistent with a fjord setting and no clear evidence for glaciation was observed. The facies show a transition from a non-glacial lacustrine/estuarine environment to a fluvial-dominated setting, and finally to a restricted marine/estuarine environment. Detrital zircon results present a single population of Neoproterozoic ages (c. 800-550 Ma) from the paleovalley fill that matches the ages of underlying igneous and metamorphic basement (Dom Feliciano Belt) and is incongruent with African sources that contain abundant older (Mesoproterozoic, Paleoproterozoic, and Archean) zircons. Furthermore, results suggest that the formation of the paleovalleys and the deposition of their fill were controlled by the reactivation of Neoproterozoic basement structures during the Carboniferous and Permian. The lack of evidence for glaciation in these paleovalleys highlights the need for detailed studies of supposed late Paleozoic glacial deposits. These results support the hypothesis that well-established glacial sediments on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (southern

margin of the Paraná Basin) may be the product of a separate lobe extending north across Uruguay, rather than a single, massive ice sheet draining west from Africa.

2.1. Introduction

Earth's deep-time climate alternates between long duration (100s of Myr) greenhouse/hothouse and shorter duration (10s Myr to 100 Myr) icehouse conditions. Of the three major Phanerozoic icehouse intervals, the Late Paleozoic Ice Age (LPIA), which extended for ~113 Myr from the Late Devonian (Famennian) until the Late Permian (Wuchiapingian), was the most spatially and temporally extensive (e.g. Isbell et al., 2003, 2012; Fielding et al., 2008b; Montañez and Poulsen, 2013; Frank et al., 2015). The study of this penultimate icehouse event is essential to understanding deep-time climate change and ice ages' influence on Earth systems (e.g. Gastaldo et al., 1996; Montañez and Soreghan, 2006; Horton et al., 2010; Montañez et al., 2011). An important aspect of determining the mechanistic relationship between ice extent and global climate is identifying the timing and extent of ice centers during glacial intervals. However, the nature of ice volume fluctuations during the LPIA in time and space remain enigmatic (Veevers and Powell, 1987; Ziegler et al., 1997; Isbell et al., 2003, 2012; Fielding et al., 2008a, 2008b; Rygel et al., 2008; Buggisch et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013, 2016; Montañez and Poulsen, 2013; Frank et al., 2015). Recent studies of mid-to-high-latitude glaciogenic deposits suggest that the LPIA consisted of multiple ice centers and shorter (>10 Myr) glacial intervals separated by warm intervals of similar duration (e.g. López-Gamundí, 1997; Visser, 1997; Iannuzzi and Pfefferkorn, 2002; Isbell et al., 2003, 2012; Fielding et al., 2008a, 2008b; Gulbranson et al., 2010; Montañez and Poulsen, 2013). However, to fully understand the

complexity of the glaciation, each ice center and its associated depositional basin(s) needs to be thoroughly examined.

The Paraná Basin of southern Brazil, Uruguay, Paraguay, and northeastern Argentina contains a largely complete, mid-latitude (~45-55°S) record of LPIA glaciation (Fig. 2.1A) (e.g. Milani, 1998; Rocha Campos et al., 2008; Torsvik and Cocks, 2013; Vesely et al., 2015). Temperate ice sheets at such paleolatitudes would have been sensitive to climate variability, making this basin a key to reconstructing the stability of ice centers. In particular, the location, extent, dynamics, and timing of glaciation on the southernmost margin of the Paraná Basin remain poorly understood despite numerous studies of Carboniferous-Permian deposits in this region (e.g. Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1982; Ribeiro et al., 1987; Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1997; Holz, 1999; Holz, 2003; Guerra-Sommer et al., 2008a, 2008b, 2008c; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Tedesco et al., 2016). This area is underlain by an assemblage of mostly Neoproterozoic igneous and metamorphic terranes known as the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (RGS) (e.g. Gray et al., 2008; Oyhantçabal et al, 2011) that has been interpreted by some authors as the crustal root of a topographic high during the late Paleozoic (Fig. 2.1B) (e.g. Santos et al., 1996; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008). Diamictites, lonestone-bearing rhythmites, striated clasts, faceted clasts, and grooved surfaces have all been described from late Paleozoic units on the RGS, suggesting that at least part of this area was glaciated (e.g. Delaney, 1964; Corrêa da Silva, 1978; Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1982; Santos et al., 1996; Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1997).

There are competing hypotheses for the occurrence and extent of glaciation that influenced the southern portion of the Paraná Basin during the LPIA. These hypotheses range from alpine glaciation, ice caps ($<5 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$), small ice sheets (5×10^4 to $1 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$), to

massive ice sheets (1×10^6 to 35×10^6 km²) (Fig. 2.2). Such size variations represent vastly different ice volumes and imply that either ice was only locally present, centered locally on the RGS, centered in Africa, or extended to the Paraná Basin from Antarctica (e.g. Frakes and Crowell, 1972; Crowell and Frakes, 1975; Visser, 1993; Santos et al., 1996; Crowell, 1999; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008). How ice entered the basin (as alpine glaciers, outlet glaciers draining through paleovalleys, or as unconfined lobes) and its extent (confined to basin margins vs. extending across the basin) is also in dispute (Fig. 2.2) (e.g. Santos et al., 1996; Gesicki et al., 1998; Riccomini and Velázquez, 1999; Gesicki et al., 2002; Holz et al., 2008; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Tedesco et al., 2016; Fallgatter and Paim, 2017). Determining these details is fundamental to understanding the nature of glaciation in the Paraná Basin and its global impact during the LPIA.

The Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys (Fig. 2.1C), located on the NE of the RGS, are critical for resolving the volume of ice that entered the southern Paraná Basin (e.g. Paim et al., 1983; Visser, 1987; Lopes, 1995; Silveira, 2000; Iannuzzi et al., 2006; Guerra-Sommer et al., 2008b; Iannuzzi et al., 2010; Tedesco et al., 2016; Fallgatter and Paim, 2017). These two paleovalleys, often considered as a single paleovalley system, are interpreted by some authors as glacial fjords (e.g. Tedesco et al., 2016). These paleovalleys are also viewed by some as directly linked to glacial paleovalleys in the Windhoek Highlands that drained ice westward out of Namibia and into eastern South America (e.g. Martin, 1981; Tedesco et al., 2016; Fallgatter and Paim, 2017). Identification of the depositional history and sediment provenance of strata in these paleovalleys will help define the extent of glaciation in the Paraná Basin and test whether the Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys were fjords with either locally sourced glacial flow,

glacial flow originating from the Windhoek Highlands, or glacial flow from farther afield in Africa/Antarctica.

2.2. Geologic setting

The Paraná Basin is an intracratonic basin located in Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay, and Argentina that formed following the Brasiliano orogeny (Late Proterozoic – early Paleozoic). Carboniferous and Permian lithostratigraphic units on the southern margin of the Paraná Basin and described from the paleovalleys are the Itararé Gp. and the Guatá Gp., (Fig. 2.3) (e.g. Holz, 1999, 2003). On the RGS, these units rest nonconformably on Precambrian basement.

The Itararé Gp. contains the glaciogenic sediments found throughout the Paraná Basin and is described in the subsurface throughout the Paraná Basin as consisting of three formations. These are (from oldest to youngest) the Lagoa Azul Fm., the Campo Mourão Fm., and the Taciba Fm. (e.g. França and Potter, 1991). However, only the youngest Taciba Fm. occurs in the southernmost part of the basin, which is the focus of this study (e.g. Holz et al., 2010).

Palynological studies suggest that the Itararé Gp. was deposited during the Pennsylvanian and, in some areas, extends into the Early Permian (Cisuralian) (e.g. Souza, 2006). Numerous attempts to radiometrically date ash beds in the overlying Rio Bonito Fm. have produced a wide range of ages for the contact between the Itararé Gp. and the Rio Bonito Fm on the RGS (e.g. Matos et al., 2001; Guerra-Somner et al., 2008a, 2008b, 2008c; Mori et al., 2012; Simas et al., 2012; Cagliari et al., 2016). A recent re-analysis by Griffis et al. (2018) of several ash beds contained within coal seams (tonsteins) using chemical abrasion thermal ionizing mass spectrometry (CA-TIMS) U-Pb geochronology more precisely constrains the findings of Cagliari et al. (2016) that suggest

glaciation on the southernmost margin of the basin may have been entirely Carboniferous, with the earliest post-glacial coal beds occurring around the Gzhelian/Asselian boundary at ~298-297 Ma.

The Rio Bonito and the Palermo Fms. of the Guatá Gp. overlie the Itararé Gp. The Rio Bonito Fm. is interpreted to rest unconformably on the Itararé Gp strata in the southern part of the Paraná Basin (Fig. 2.3) (e.g. Holz et al., 2006) and is distinguished as being the fluvio-deltaic coal-forming interval. However, alluvial fan, fluvial, lagoonal, and deltaic sediments are all contained in the Rio Bonito Fm. In some areas, the Palermo Fm. conformably overlies and interfingers with the Rio Bonito Fm., representing the offshore transition/lower shoreface facies that are, in part, time-equivalent to the Rio Bonito Fm. (Fig. 2.3) (e.g. Holz, 2003; Holz et al., 2006). In other areas, an angular unconformity exists between the Rio Bonito and Palermo Fms., which has been used to suggest active tectonism on the RGS during the Early Permian (Holz et al., 2006). The Palermo Fm. consists of laminated and bioturbated sandstones, siltstones, and mudstones (Holz, 1999, 2003). Taken together, the Rio Bonito and the Palermo fms. are part of a 2nd order transgressive systems tract (Holz, 2003; Holz et al., 2006).

2.3. Location: Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys

The Mariana Pimentel Paleovalley is a narrow, trough-shaped (~0.5-6.5 km wide and >80 km long) feature cut into Neoproterozoic igneous and metamorphic basement of the Dom Feliciano Belt (Fig. 2.1C). The borders of the paleovalley have been noted to correspond closely with faults of the Neoproterozoic Dorsal do Canguçu Shear Zone (Fig. 2.1C) (e.g. Ribeiro, 1987; Guerra-Sommer et al., 2008b). The best-exposed outcrop (Location 1) from the Mariana

Pimentel Paleovalley is a ~50 m thick section located in an abandoned kaolinite quarry called Morro Do Papaléo, located ~7 km NW of the town of Mariana Pimentel (Fig. 2.1C). Location 2 is a ~8 m thick section from a roadside quarry that shows the base of the section and the contact with crystalline basement. It is located ~3 km northwest of Mariana Pimentel. A third outcrop used in this study (Location 3) is a road cut located ~45 km outside of the paleovalley (Fig. 2.1C). This ~7.5 m thick section shows the nonconformity between granite basement and the post-glacial Rio Bonito Fm.

The Mariana Pimentel Paleovalley is connected to a wider and shorter (~15 km wide and ~55 km in length) paleotopographic depression near Minas do Leão, which has been named the Leão Paleovalley (Fig. 2.1C). The Leão Paleovalley is only observed in the subsurface through core descriptions and geophysical logs that show basement relief (Lopes, 1995). This wider paleo-depression extends north into the Paraná Basin. Despite their description as two separate paleovalleys (e.g. Tedesco et al., 2016), some authors refer to them as the Leão-Mariana Pimentel Paleovalley (e.g. Ribeiro et al., 1987; Lopes, 1995) and suggest that they were part of the same ancient drainage system.

Tonsteins from the paleovalleys were originally thought to be coeval to tonstein-bearing coals located across the RGS that are stratigraphically near the base of the Rio Bonito Fm. (e.g. Guerra-Sommer et al., 2008c). However, two tonsteins within and just outside the paleovalleys (Fig. 2.1C) were found to have U-Pb ages of ~285 Ma (Faxinal location; Griffis et al., 2018) and ~289 Ma (Leão-Butia location; Simas et al., 2012), which are respectively 12 and 8 Myr younger than tonsteins from the base of the Rio Bonito (~297 Ma; Quitéria outcrop) (e.g. Griffis et al., 2018). This makes it clear that there are separate coal-forming intervals in the southernmost Paraná Basin. Coals from within the paleovalleys are younger than those located on

paleotopographic highs <10 km outside the paleovalleys (Quitéria outcrop; Fig. 2.1C). Notably, older coals, equivalent to the Quitéria outcrop, are not found within the paleovalley fill (e.g. Griffis et al., 2018).

2.4. Methods

2.4.1. Facies analysis

Stratigraphic sections were measured and outcrops were photographed in the field at Location 1 (Morro do Papaléo), Location 2, and Location 3 (outside of paleovalleys). Observations were made of grain size, lithology, sorting, sedimentary structures, paleocurrent orientations, nature of contacts, sediment body geometries, unit thicknesses, and relationships with adjacent strata. Additionally, 6 cores (LM-09, AB-06, RN-10, IB-94, CA-53, and LA-14) were measured, described, and photographed. Descriptions and measurements of cores AB-06, RN-10, and LM-09 were either supplemented or based on driller logs. Core RN-10 is from outside of the paleovalleys. Cores used in this study are housed at the Companhia de Pesquisa de Recursos Minerais (CPRM) facility in Caçapava do Sul and on the campus of Universidade do Vale do Rio do Sinos (UNISINOS), located in São Leopoldo.

2.4.2. Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology

Two detrital zircon samples were analyzed for this study. One sample (MDP6) was collected from a medium quartz sandstone, previously described as lowermost Rio Bonito Fm.

(e.g. Iannuzzi et al., 2006; Smaniotto et al., 2006) at the Location 1 outcrop. A second sample (MP) was collected at Location 2 from a medium pebbly quartz sandstone previously described as Itararé Gp. (e.g. Iannuzzi et al., 2006; Smaniotto et al., 2006). This sample was collected at the base of the section, ~0.5 m above granite basement. Sediment provenance was assessed using detrital zircon (U-Pb) geochronology to determine if there were local versus extra-basinal sources. Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) analyses were conducted at the University of California, Davis. Kernel density estimate plots were created on the *provenance* package for R and *DensityPlotter* with an adaptive bandwidth (Vermeesch, 2012; Vermeesch et al., 2016). Complete detrital zircon data and a detailed description of laser ablation methods can be found in Appendices A and B.

2.5. Results

2.5.1. Facies analysis and process interpretations

The sedimentary fill of the Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys can be divided into seven distinct facies which are observed in the cores and outcrops: a sandy conglomerate and breccia facies, a rhythmite facies, a mudrock/fine-grained sandstone facies, a cross-stratified sandstone facies, a diamictite facies, a root-trace-bearing mudrock facies, and a heterolithic bioturbated facies (Table 2.1). These facies are described here as occurring in either the lower, middle, and/or upper section of the paleovalley fill which are later correlated to facies associations. Classification of poorly sorted sediments is based on Hambrey and Glasser (2003). Facies codification is adapted from Benn and Evans (2010) and Farrell et al. (2012).

Sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (Gm/Gp)

The sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (Gm/Gp) consists of sandy conglomerate, breccia, pebbly sandstone, and diamictite beds that occur in the lower and middle portions of the paleovalley fill (Location 2; cores LA-14, CA-53, IB-94, RN-10, and LM-09). This facies often rests directly on igneous and metamorphic basement rocks where it contains a weathering profile that grades upward over 1-2 m from unweathered basement, to basement with chemically altered feldspar phenocrysts, to brecciated basement rocks, to completely disaggregated sandy diamictite or breccia, and ends in conglomerate composed entirely of basement rocks (Fig. 2.4A) (e.g. Location 2; cores LM-09, RN-10, IB-94, and LA-14). Elsewhere in the succession, this facies is 10 cm to 3 m thick and consists of massive (Gm) and planar cross-bedded (Gp) sandy conglomerate. Interbeds of clast-poor to clast-rich, massive and stratified, sandy diamictite, mudstone with root traces and coalified organic matter, and pebbly sandstone are also found in this facies. Clasts are angular to rounded and range from granule to cobble sized. Clasts are composed of granite, gneiss, k-feldspar, and quartz, which closely match the composition of the underlying basement rocks. No exotic clasts were found. The Gm/Gp facies is associated with the mudrock/fine-grained sandstone facies (Fl/Fm) and the rhythmite facies (Flv) in the lower portion of the paleovalley fill. It also occurs associated with the root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (Mrt), the diamictite facies (Dmm/Dms), and the cross-stratified sandstone (St/Sp) facies in the middle portion of the paleovalley fill.

The Gm/Gp facies is interpreted as humid alluvial fan and subaqueous fan delta deposits from both a marginal lacustrine/estuarine and alluvial environment. The clast composition,

angular grains, and weathering profile suggest in-situ weathering of basement with minimal erosion and transport. The alternation between conglomerate and diamictite beds is consistent with the surface of a fan that experienced both episodic, turbulent sheet and debris flows during discharge events (e.g. Bull, 1977; Mack and Rasmussen, 1984; Nemeč and Steel, 1984). The mudstone interbeds with root traces and coalified material are paleosols associated with subaerial exposure on the fan surface (e.g. Fielding, 1987; Ridgway and Decelles, 1993). The interpretation of some beds within the Gm/Gp facies as subaqueous fan delta deposits is based on the interfingering of rhythmite (Flv) or mudrock/fine-grained sandstone (Fl/Fm) beds. The weathering profiles observed in both cores and in outcrop are indicative of igneous and metamorphic basement that was exposed at the surface for a long enough period of time to experience chemical weathering.

Rhythmite facies (Flv)

The rhythmite facies (Flv) occurs as laterally continuous packages up to 50 m thick (Location 1 and 2; cores CA-53 and AB-06). This facies is located in the lower third of the paleovalley fill and typically overlies either the conglomerate and breccia (Gm/Gp) facies or igneous/metamorphic basement (Location 2) (Fig. 2.4B). The Flv facies is also associated with the mudrock/fine-grained sandstone (Fl/Fm) facies (Fl/Fm). Rhythmites consist of stacked, sharp to erosional-based (5 mm-10 cm thick) couplets composed of either fine-grained quartz sandstone or siltstone bases that grade upwards into mudstone caps (Fig. 2.4C). The sandstone portion of couplets contain climbing ripples (Fig. 2.5A), load and flame structures (Fig. 2.5B), and rhythmite rip-up clasts (Fig. 2.5C). Extremely rare lonestones (granule and pebble-sized) of

K-feldspar and granite occur in this unit (only 2 pebbles were found in all of the strata examined) (Fig. 2.6A). In cores, discrete zones of brittle faulting (Fig. 2.5D) with calcite vein fill occur, as well as zones of intense ductile deformation with folded rhythmite beds (Fig. 2.6B). Rhythmite beds in core CA-53 also display sheared laminations and contain brecciated zones (Fig. 2.6C). Rhythmite couplets in core AB-06 are thickest near the base of the core and gradually thin upwards where they transition to a carbonaceous mudstone (Fl facies).

The Flv facies is interpreted as the product of dilute, surge-like turbidity currents and/or quasi-continuous hyperpycnal flows in a pro-deltaic lacustrine or estuarine environment. The erosive and sharp contacts between couplets, combined with variable and large couplet thicknesses implies that each couplet was deposited during an individual, surge-like flow rather than as a long-duration suspension settling event. Climbing ripples and rip-up clasts demonstrate that erosive, tractive, underflow currents deposited the lower part of the couplet while normal grading suggest that hydraulic sorting and settling from suspension occurred following dissipation of the current. Load and flame structures indicate that an insufficient amount of time had passed between flow events to allow for compaction and water loss of previously deposited couplets. The features discussed above are typical of either surge-like turbidity currents (e.g. Talling et al., 2012) or quasi-steady hyperpycnal flows produced by increased river discharge during episodic flooding events (e.g. Dadson et al., 2005; Girardclos et al., 2007; Crookshanks and Gilbert; 2008; Talling, 2014). Outsized clasts are often interpreted as iceberg rafted debris. However, such clasts can also occur as out runner clasts in sediment gravity flows, from vegetational rafting, rock falls off narrow valley walls, anchor ice, and/or due to sea/lake ice rafting (e.g. Ferguson, 1970; Postma et al., 1988; Woodborne et al., 1989; Gilbert, 1990; Dionne, 1993; Bennett et al., 1996; Doublet and Garcia, 2004; Garden et al., 2011; Kempema et al., 2001;

Kempema and Ettema, 2011; Carto and Eyles, 2012) Folded rhythmite beds are likely the product of synsedimentary slumping caused by either over-steepening of prograding depositional surfaces (e.g., delta front), over pressurization and fluid expulsion in rapidly deposited sediments, or active tectonism (e.g. Posamentier and Martinsen, 2011). However, discrete faulted zones with vein fill suggest that deformation also occurred following lithification.

Mudrock/fine-grained sandstone facies (Fl/Fm)

The mudrock/fine-grained sandstone facies (Fl/Fm) is ~3 cm to ~10 m thick (Locations 1 and 3; cores LA-14, CA-53, and AB-06) (Figs. 2.7A,B,C). It is laterally continuous across outcrops and consists of massive (Fm) to finely laminated (Fl) mudstone, siltstone, and very-fine to fine-grained quartz sandstone. The facies is bounded below by either a sharp or gradational contact. In core AB-06, the Fl/Fm facies consist of black carbonaceous mudstone. At Location 1 (Morro do Papaléo), terrestrial vegetation (ferns, lycophytes, glossopterid and cordaitalean plants) typical of the earliest Permian or latest Carboniferous occur in discrete siltstone beds along with the microplankton *Leiosphaeridia*, which is found in estuarine environments (Figs. 2.7B,C) (i.e. Guy-Ohlson, 1996; Iannuzzi et al., 2006; Smaniotto et al., 2006). This facies is found in the lower third of the paleovalley fill in association with the rhythmite facies (Flv) and the sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (Gm/Gp). Outside of the paleovalley (Location 3), it is observed overlying the diamictite (Dmm/Dms) and root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (Mrt).

This facies is interpreted as deposits of stable, low-energy conditions in a lacustrine or estuarine setting. The fine-grained sediments and laminations suggest that settling from suspension into a standing body of water was the dominant form of deposition. Furthermore, the

presence of well-preserved intact plant fossils, including some stems found in living position (Iannuzzi et al., 2006), and carbonaceous mudstones also implies a low energy environment near terrestrial vegetation. The presence of one estuarine microplankton element found at Location 1 may suggest a distal connection with a marine environment (i.e. Iannuzzi et al., 2006; Smaniotto et al., 2006).

Cross-stratified sandstone facies (St/Sp)

The cross-stratified sandstone facies (St/Sp) is the most common facies observed at Location 1 and is present in every core described (Fig. 2.8). This facies consists of stacked, erosional-based, lenticular sand bodies (up to 7 m thick and 15 m wide) that incise into each other as well as underlying mudstone, coal, and diamictite beds (Fig. 2.8A). The sandstones contain scattered pebbles at the base of individual beds as well as medium to coarse-grained sets of trough (St) and planar cross stratification (Sp) (Figs. 2.8B,C). Interbeds of very-fine to fine-grained cross-laminated/asymmetric current rippled sandstone are present (Fig. 2.8D). Paleocurrent orientations (cross-stratification; $n = 22$) from two horizons at Location 1 return mean paleoflow directions of $290 \pm 12^\circ$ and $223 \pm 10^\circ$ (1σ). Stratigraphically, this facies is located in the middle section of the paleovalley fill and is associated with the root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (Mrt), the sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (Gm/Gp), and the diamictite facies (Dmm/Dms).

This facies is interpreted as channel bodies within a fluvial system based on the occurrence of cross-stratified beds with normal grading, beds with erosional bases, pebble lags, asymmetric current ripples, and the incised channel form sand body geometries seen in outcrop.

Cross-stratified beds are diagnostic of bedload dominated flows and sand body geometries indicate channelization. Paleoflow orientations at Location 1 are indicative of transport towards the center and down the axis of the paleovalley. The close association with the root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (Mrt), which contains clay-rich paleosols, coal beds, and in-situ plant fossils, is evidence for a terrestrial environment. Stacked sand bodies that are floored by an unconformity and incise into each other occur in the basal portion of this facies. This suggests that the channels migrated in a setting with low accommodation space and may be part of a lowstand systems tract (e.g. Martinsen et al., 1999).

Diamictite facies (Dmm/Dms)

The diamictite facies (Dmm/Dms) beds are up to 3 m thick and consist of stacked, en echelon-like, lenses and wedge-shaped bodies composed of massive (Dmm) to crudely stratified (Dms), clast-poor to clast-rich, muddy, matrix-supported diamictite (Locations 1 and 3; Cores LA-14, RN-10, and AB-06). On outcrops, individual diamictite bodies have sharp to erosional bases. Dmm/Dms beds thin and pinch out over a few tens of meters and, at Location 3, the diamictite onlaps igneous basement rocks (Fig. 2.9). Clasts are angular to subangular and consist of quartz, feldspar, and highly weathered granite granules and pebbles. Meter-scale lenses of clast-supported conglomerate sometimes occur within the diamictites, as do thin coal lenses (Fig. 2.10A). Mudstones with root traces and coal beds (Mrt) frequently overlie the diamictite beds (Figs. 2.10B,C). Mudstone, siltstone, and coal rip-up clasts up to 30 cm in length are also common in the Dmm/Dms facies (Fig. 2.10D). The internal fabric, including the A axes of clasts, is sub-horizontal and parallel to bedding. Siltstone rafts with soft sediment deformation are also

observed in Dmm/Dms beds (Fig. 2.10E). This facies is located in the middle section of the paleovalley fill as well as outside the paleovalleys and occurs in association with the cross-stratified sandstone facies (Sp/St), the sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (Gm/Gp), and the root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (Mrt).

The Dmm/Dms facies is interpreted as high density debris flows deposited on alluvial fans and fan deltas. This determination is based on the occurrence of stratified and bedded diamictites, coal and siltstone rip-up clasts, soft-sediment deformation, the internal bedding-parallel fabric, and erosive or sharp lower contacts. Matrix-supported beds with a strong bedding-parallel fabric suggest that a high density fluid is responsible for sediment transport (e.g. Enos, 1977). Stacked, en echelon beds observed in the Dms facies at Location 3 support successive high density flows or surges that piled into and overrode previous flows or surges. The isolated lenses of clast-support with normal grading are zones of low viscosity fluidized flow within the overall high viscosity debris flow. Thin coal lenses, coal rip-up clasts, the association with root-trace-bearing mudrock facies, and root traces that occur on the top of diamictite beds is evidence for deposition in a humid subaerial environment.

Root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (Mrt)

The root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (Mrt) (Location 1 and 3; all cores) consists of laterally continuous, 5 cm to 5 m thick, root-trace-bearing, massive or (less commonly) laminated mudstone and siltstone beds (Figs. 2.10C and 2.11A, B). This facies also contains poorly-developed, low-grade coal beds up to 2 meters thick with intercalated mudstone and siltstone laminations (Fig. 2.10C). Rocks of this facies rest on sharp or gradational lower

contacts and are occasionally cut by overlying diamictite beds or channel-form, cross-stratified sandstone bodies. Clay-lined root traces, mud cracks, intact and disaggregated plant remains, slickensides, and wedge shaped ped, are all common throughout this facies (Fig. 2.11A, B). Mudrock in this facies occurs in the middle section of the paleovalley fill in association with the cross-stratified sandstone facies (St/Sp), the sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (Gm/Gp), and the diamictite facies (Dmm/Dms).

This facies is interpreted as overbank floodplain deposits from within a fluvial-dominated valley and incipient paleosols that formed on top of fan deltas. The association with erosional sand bodies from the cross-stratified sandstone (St/Sp) facies suggests proximity to fluvial channels that experienced base level fluctuations. Furthermore, the wedge-shaped ped, mud cracks, slickensides, and clay-lined root traces are typical of vertisols that formed on crevasse splays/floodplain sediments and experienced shrinking and swelling from episodic wetting and drying (e.g. Gustavson, 1991). Massive mudstone and siltstone beds were likely deposited during flooding and were subsequently bioturbated by vegetation, giving them a homogenous appearance. Coal beds and fossil plant fragments also indicate of a flooded, anoxic terrestrial setting such as a floodplain that allowed for intervals of peat accumulation. The discontinuous and poorly developed nature of the coals, along with the presence of clastic laminations and interbeds, are consistent with coals that formed over relatively short intervals of time due to repeated flooding.

Heterolithic bioturbated facies (mSb)

Heterolithic bioturbated facies (mSb) packages are up to 20 m thick and consist of laterally continuous beds (<3 m thick) of mudstone, siltstone, and very-fine to medium-grained quartz sandstone (Cores LA-14, IB-94, and RN-10). Beds typically display bioturbation levels from 2-3 on the Droser and Bottjer (1986) ichnofabric index. The beds have sharp or gradational lower contacts and sharp or gradational upper contacts. Beds contain both horizontal and vertical traces of *Gyrolithes* and *Teichichnus*. *Teichichnus* traces are retrusive with concave-up spreite (Fig. 2.11D). The facies also contains medium-grained, micro-hummocky cross-stratified sandstone, rhythmites with alternating fine-grained sandstone and crinkled or wavy carbonaceous muddy laminae (Fig. 2.11C), and discrete mudstone beds containing coalified organic debris and disaggregated fossil plant fragments. Sulfur occurs in beds throughout this facies. Deposits of the mSb facies occur in the upper third of the paleovalley fill.

This facies represents restricted marine or estuarine deposits that accumulated above storm wave base with episodic influxes of coarse-grained sediment and plant/organic matter. The occurrence of sulfur-rich beds with *Teichichnus* and *Gyrolithes* suggest a restricted, marine influenced depositional environment. Discrete beds containing abundant plant fragments, micro-hummocky cross-stratification, and alternating mud and sand laminae are evidence for episodic high energy storm beds or possible tidal influence within an overall low-energy environment. *Teichichnus* traces with retrusive spreite also suggest that organisms moved upward through the substrate to adjust to an episodic influx of coarser sediment.

2.5.2. Stratigraphy

A longitudinal section of the paleovalley system was created using a combination of core and outcrop measurements (Fig. 2.12). The lowermost coal bed in each core or outcrop was used as a datum since these are interpreted to indicate an increase in accommodation (clastic starved conditions) following the development of a regional unconformity (e.g. SB-3; Holz, 2003; Holz et al., 2006; changed to SB-4 in Holz et al., 2010). Individual facies show a high degree of vertical and horizontal variability within the paleovalleys and cannot be traced across the entire length of the longitudinal profile. Furthermore, abrupt changes in basement relief and sediment thickness are indicated from the core data and supported by resistivity measurements collected by Tedesco et al. (2016). These sharp variations in basement topography correspond closely to the location of faults mapped by the Brazilian Geologic Survey (CPRM) (Fig. 2.12). The sandy conglomerate and breccia facies, rhythmite facies, and mudrock/fine-grained sandstone facies occur in the lower portion of the paleovalley system fill within the paleotopographic lows (Fig. 2.12). The cross-stratified sandstone facies, sandy conglomerate and breccia facies, diamictite facies, and root-trace-bearing mudrock facies coexist in the middle section of the paleovalley system fill and are thicker to the southeast, away from the Paraná Basin (Fig. 2.12). The heterolithic bioturbated facies occurs in the upper portion of the fill and is thickest in the northwestern portion of the Leão Paleovalley near the margin of the Paraná Basin and thins towards the southeast (Fig. 2.12).

2.5.3. Detrital zircon (U-Pb) geochronology results

Detrital zircon samples were collected from a medium, pebbly, quartz-rich sandstone bed (Gm/Gp facies) ~0.5 m above basement in the basal section of the paleovalley fill (sample MP,

Location 2) and a medium, quartz-rich sandstone bed (St/Sp facies) in the middle section of the paleovalley fill (sample MDP6, Location 1) (Fig. 2.13). Sample MP was from a unit previously interpreted as the Itararé Gp., and sample MDP6 was from a unit previously interpreted as the Rio Bonito Fm. (e.g. Iannuzzi et al., 2006; Smaniotto et al., 2006; Tedesco et al., 2016). Both samples were found to contain a single (unimodal) population of Neoproterozoic grains (c. 800-550 Ma) (Fig. 2.14). U-Pb data for these samples can be found in Appendix B. Kernel density estimate (KDE) plots show strongly overlapping peaks at ~595 Ma (MDP6) and ~605 Ma (MP) (Fig. 2.14). A comparison of the two samples using a Komogorov-Smirnoff (K-S) test yielded a P-value of 0.191, indicating that there is a >95% probability zircon populations from the two samples are not significantly different (i.e. P-value > 0.05).

2.6. Discussion

Three distinct facies associations were observed that correspond to the lower, middle, and upper section of the paleovalley fill. These are a lacustrine/estuarine facies association in the basal portion of the paleovalleys, a fluvial-dominated facies association in the middle section, and a restricted marine/estuarine facies association in the upper portion. None of these contained any evidence of glacially-influenced deposition. Additionally, detrital zircon geochronology results demonstrate that the Mariana Pimentel and Leão Paleovalleys were internally drained and do not contain extra-basinal sediments that were derived from an ice center over Africa.

2.6.1. Lacustrine/estuarine facies association

The bottom portion of the paleovalley fill is significant to this study because it has been previously interpreted as the glaciogenic Itararé Gp. based on the presence of rhythmites and poorly sorted sediments. This implies that the sediments were deposited in a temperate, glacial fjord (e.g. Iannuzzi et al., 2006; Tedesco et al., 2016). However, these sediments are interpreted here as having been deposited in several isolated, non-glacial lacustrine basins or a single estuarine system with internal sub-basins connected to the Paraná Basin (Fig. 2.15A). This corresponds to a facies association of the sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (Gm/Gp), the mudrock/fine-grained sandstone facies (Fl/Fm), and the rhythmite facies (Flv). These sediments would be temporally equivalent to the Triunfo and Paraguaçu Mbrs., which are defined as the basal-to-middle portion of the Rio Bonito Fm. (Fig. 2.3).

The Gm/Gp facies is consistent with alternating sheet flow and mass transport from an alluvial fan/fan delta system on the steep margins of a lacustrine/estuarine basin. The in-situ weathering of crystalline basement, which grades into conglomerate and breccia, combined with the immature sediment composition, angular (non-striated) clasts, and poor sorting, suggest that sediment was shed directly off the subaerially exposed paleovalley walls and was not glacially transported. The cross section indicates that the alluvial fan sediments are thickest near or on top of fault-bounded, raised basement blocks (Fig. 2.12). The weathering profile in the Gm/Gp facies implies that bedrock was exposed to chemical weathering for an extended duration of time. This is not what would be expected in a fjord environment where glacial erosion would rapidly remove weathered bedrock, producing a scoured bedrock surface beneath sediment fill (e.g. Syvitski et al., 1987). Furthermore, interbeds from these alluvial fan sediments, which contain root traces and coalified organic matter, imply that the alluvial fans/fan deltas existed in a humid, vegetated setting rather than in a glacially influenced fjord.

Rhythmites (Flv facies) and fine-grained, laminated rocks (Fl/Fm facies) are found within fault-bounded, sub-basins (high accommodation zones; Fig. 2.12) that may have started as separate, small lacustrine basins. The mixture of terrestrial flora and rare estuarine microplankton elements described by Iannuzzi et al. (2006) and Smaniotto et al. (2006) from fine-grained rocks near the top of the lacustrine/estuarine facies association (base of Location 1) indicate a possible marine inundation of these basins. This is likely due to the transgression of the “Paraguçu Sea” (Fig. 2.3). Although a transition from a lacustrine to estuarine setting is hypothesized, there is no marker bed or facies change that clearly marks this change across the paleovalley(s). However, in core AB-06, there is gradual shift from thick rhythmites (Flv facies) to thinner rhythmites and carbonaceous mudstone of Fl facies. This may represent a gradual progression from more proximal to distal hyperpycnal flows associated with a marine transgression.

The Flv facies is interpreted as turbidites or hyperpycnites in which flows were triggered by floods, failure along a prograding delta front, or sediment shed during intermittent tectonic activity. In tidewater fjords, buoyant meltwater typically forms an overflow plume as it rises to the surface over denser saltwater (e.g. Cowan and Powell, 1990; Powell, 1990; Mugford and Dowdeswell, 2011). The rhythmites observed in the Mariana Pimentel and Leão Paleovalleys appear to be the product of underflows rather than rain-out from overflow plumes. Although hyperpycnal flows and turbidites are also important depositional processes in fjords due to the high sedimentation rates and steep slopes (e.g. Syvitski et al., 1987; Ó Cofaigh and Dowdeswell, 2001; Powell, 2003), they also occur in almost any depositional environment where a riverine-introduced, dense sediment-water admixture is transported as underflows down a slope and, therefore, are not diagnostic of glacial meltwater sedimentation (e.g. Zavala and Arcuri, 2016). This highlights the important distinction that not all rhythmites in the Paraná Basin are

glaciogenic, and some may be temporally equivalent to the post-glacial Rio Bonito Fm. In general, rhythmic sediments across the Paraná Basin could be the product of annual or seasonal lake processes (i.e. true varves), surge-like turbidites, or tidal activity among other cyclical processes (e.g. Ó Cofaigh and Dowdeswell, 2001; Zolitschka et al., 2015; Schimmelmann et al., 2016; Zavala and Arcuri, 2016).

Another line of evidence that was previously used to support a glaciogenic interpretation of the Mariana Pimentel and Leão Paleovalleys is the presence of rare dropstones contained within the rhythmite facies. However, as discussed earlier, dropstones commonly occur in non-glacial environments due to processes such as rock falls off of narrow valley walls, or rafting by lake ice, vegetation, or riverine/lacustrine anchor ice (e.g. Ferguson, 1970; Woodborne et al., 1989; Gilbert, 1990; Dionne, 1993; Bennett et al., 1996; Kempema et al., 2001; Doublet and Garcia, 2004; Garden et al., 2011; Kempema and Ettema, 2011). Thus, the presence of exceedingly rare (only 2 observed in >800 m of core, none in outcrop) and isolated dropstones is not in and of itself indicative of a glacially-influenced environment. The outsized clasts observed in this study have lithologies consistent with the underlying basement. Furthermore, there are no associated clast clusters (iceberg dump structures), diamictite pellets, or ice-keel marks, which are commonly found in the ice rain-out facies of temperate fjords (e.g. Dowdeswell et al., 1993; Powell, 2003). Granule and pebble sized clasts that occur along discrete bedding planes and associated with other coarse sediment are interpreted here as small debris flows rather than as dropstones (e.g. Postma et al., 1988).

Finally, when tidewater glaciers retreat from a fjord they tend to calve rapidly across deep sub-basins and stabilize on shallow and narrow “pinning points”, often located on bedrock sills (e.g. Molnia, 1983; Syvitski et al., 1987; Cowan et al., 2010). Here, the rate of calving is

reduced and they are able to maintain temporary ice-balance equilibrium. The sills and proximal parts of adjacent basins typically have evidence of subglacial abrasion, trapped icebergs (keel marks), morainal bank build-up, and grounding line fan sedimentation (e.g. Syvitski et al., 1987; Cowan et al., 2010; Ottensen and Dowdeswell, 2009). Sediments deposited in the deep sub-basins, located in front of the sills, will show abundant evidence of ice-rafted debris, turbidity currents, and plume rain-out (e.g. Syvitski et al., 1987; Powell, 2003). Contradicting this model, cores (LM-09, IB-94, LA-14) located on bedrock highs within the Mariana Pimentel and Leão Paleovalleys show a weathering profile in igneous and metamorphic basement that grades into the sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (humid alluvial fan deposits) and root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (coal-bearing floodplain deposits). No grooves or striations were observed on basement surfaces and no striated, faceted, or exotic clasts were observed as would be expected in ice proximal basins. As previously described, cores from within the deep sub-basins (AB-06, CA-53) do not show any evidence of plume rain-out (overflows) or abundant ice-rafted debris, as would be expected <10 km in front of pinning points (e.g. Powell, 2003).

2.6.2. Fluvial-dominated facies association

The middle portion of the paleovalley fill is interpreted here as lowstand fluvial-dominated sediments of the Rio Bonito Fm. (equivalent to the Sideropolis Mbr.) (Figs. 2.3 and 2.15B). Tonsteins from this facies association are used to place the paleovalley system within a broader stratigraphic context. The fluvial-dominated facies association contains the cross-stratified sandstone facies (St/Sp), the sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (Gm/Gp), the diamictite facies (Dmm/Dms), and the root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (Mrt). The St/Sp facies

is interpreted as fluvial channels and the Mrt facies represents overbank floodplain and peat deposits. The poorly-developed, discontinuous nature of the coal seams in the Mariana Pimentel paleovalley is consistent with episodic flooding along a lowstand fluvial system rather than a more prolonged interval of standing water. The Dmm/Dms facies has the characteristics of muddy debris flows that are derived from the valley slopes. The fact that the Dmm/Dms facies also occurs at Location 3, outside of the paleovalleys where it onlaps granite basement, suggests paleotopographic relief outside of the paleovalleys as well.

A drop in base level within the lacustrine/estuarine system, occurring at the base of the St/Sp facies, allowed the fluvial-dominated facies association to extend out into the Paraná Basin. We correlate this drop in base level in the study area to SB-3 (Fig. 2.3; e.g. Holz, 1999, 2003; Holz et al., 2006) rather than SB-2 (contact between Itararé Gp. and Rio Bonito Fm.) because tonsteins within the paleovalleys have been radiometrically dated by Simas et al. (2012) and Griffis et al. (2018) to ~8 and 12 Myr younger than the coals from the base of the Rio Bonito Fm. (located outside of the paleovalleys) and because there is no evidence for glaciation within the basal fill of the paleovalleys. It should be noted that Holz et al. (2006) related SB-3 to tectonism on other areas of the RGS. The fact that younger coals exist within the paleovalleys below the paleotopographic level of older coals (such as those at Quitéria; Fig 2.1C) located outside of the paleovalley supports the interpretation that base level fell, and incision occurred after the older coals were deposited.

2.6.3. Restricted marine/estuarine facies association

The top portion of the paleovalley fill is interpreted as a restricted marine/estuarine environment (Fig. 2.15C). It is comprised of the heterolithic bioturbated facies (mSb). This facies association is thickest near the northwestern (basinward) portion of the Leão Paleovalley and pinches out near the connection with the Mariana Pimentel Paleovalley. This bioturbated facies association represents a marine transgression of the “Palermo Sea” (Fig. 2.3) into the Leão Paleovalley. The mSb facies has the characteristics of a low-energy restricted marine or estuarine environment in which sediment settled from suspension. This setting experienced episodic input of coarse sediment from either tidal action or storms. Coals seams located near the connection of the Mariana Pimentel and the Leão Paleovalley contain sulfur, suggesting an interaction between the fluvial-dominated setting and the estuarine environment in this location.

2.6.4. Detrital zircon geochronology

The unimodal zircon population ranging from c. 800-550 Ma in both the basal lacustrine/estuarine facies association and the overlying fluvial-dominated facies association suggests that the sediment source area remained unchanged throughout deposition within the paleovalleys. This age range is consistent with magmatic events that occurred during the Neoproterozoic Pan-African/Brasiliano tectonic cycle. In particular, the ages are nearly identical to the igneous and metamorphic basement of the Pelotas Batholith (part of the Dom Feliciano Belt; ~820-580 Ma) that the paleovalleys directly overlie, indicating a local source (e.g. Babinski et al., 1997; Silva et al., 1999; Cordani et al., 2000; Leite et al., 2000; Gastal et al., 2005; Philipp and Machado, 2005; Saalman et al., 2011). Possible igneous and metamorphic sources from the underlying Pelotas Batholith include: the Capão do Leão Granite, the Encruzilhada do Sul

Intrusive Suite, the Arroio Moinho Granite, the Pinheiro Machado Suite, the Cordilheira Metagranite, the Quitéria Metagranite, and the Piratini gneiss (e.g. Gastal et al., 2005). All of these sources have ages that closely overlap the zircon populations identified from the paleovalley fill (e.g. Silva et al., 1999; Gastal et al., 2005).

These results can be contrasted to detrital zircon samples analyzed by Foster et al. (2015) from Neoproterozoic sedimentary and metasedimentary rocks across the Damara Belt in central Namibia (Fig. 2.14). The Damara Belt is interpreted to have been adjacent to the RGS during the Carboniferous and Permian so that any glaciers emanating out of Africa would have drained across this area (Fig. 2.1B) (e.g. de Wit et al., 2008). Conspicuously absent in the detrital zircon samples from the paleovalleys is the presence of late Mesoproterozoic to early Neoproterozoic (~1200-900 Ma) ages that are prevalent in all of the Damara Belt samples (Fig. 2.14) (Foster et al., 2015). There is no source of equivalent Mesoproterozoic to early Neoproterozoic grains in southern Brazil, making this age range a useful indicator of African provenance.

Paleoproterozoic and Neo-Archean ages are also present in Damara Belt samples but absent from the paleovalleys (Foster et al., 2015). Furthermore, Itararé Gp. and Rio Bonito Fm. detrital zircon samples collected on the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin show strong Mesoproterozoic, Paleoproterozoic, and Neo-Archean peaks that have been interpreted to represent African sources (Fig. 2.14) (e.g. Canile et al., 2016). This demonstrates that, unlike the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin, the paleovalleys examined here on the RGS were internally drained with no direct connection to Africa. Hypothetically, even if glacial sediments were deposited in this region and were subsequently eroded and resedimented during post-glacial times, we would still expect African zircons to be present in the fluvial sandstones but they were not detected.

2.6.5. Origin of the Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys

Multiple lines of evidence support the interpretation that the Mariana Pimentel and Leão Paleovalley system was formed by the reactivation of older basement structures during the Carboniferous and early Permian. This includes: (1) abrupt changes in sediment thickness, vertical and lateral facies changes, as well as the discontinuous nature of individual facies within the paleovalleys that correspond closely to the location of mapped faults (Fig. 2.12), (2) the position of the paleovalleys within a bend and offset in the major NE-SW trending Neoproterozoic Dorsal do Canguçu Shear Zone (Fig. 2.1C) (e.g. Fernandes and Koester, 1999; Philipp and Machado 2005; Passarelli et al., 2011), (3) discrete zones of faulting and slumping within rhythmites and fine-grained sediments from the basal portion of the paleovalleys (Figs. 2.5 and 2.6), (4) coarse-grained, immature sediments such as conglomerates, breccias, and diamictites with coal clasts (alluvial fan sediments) that onlap basement and are thickest near mapped faults (Fig. 2.12), (5) the drop in base level between the basal lacustrine/estuarine facies association and the overlying fluvial-dominated facies association, corresponding to a tectonically-related regional sequence boundary (Fig. 2.3; SB-3) (Holz et al., 2006) to which an angular unconformity on some areas of the RGS is associated, and finally (6), apatite fission track analysis of the Pelotas Batholith conducted by Oliveira et al. (2016) is suggestive of basement uplift and reactivation of faults on the NE part of the RGS during the Permian.

The reactivation of faults across the Paraná Basin, including the RGS, during the Carboniferous and Permian has been described by multiple authors and attributed by some to accretion on the southern margin of Gondwana (Gondwanides or San Rafael Orogeny) (e.g. Holz et al., 2006; Trzaskos et al., 2006; Kleiman and Japas; 2009; Oliveira et al., 2016). Furthermore,

the correlation between the Mariana Pimentel and Leão Paleovalleys and basement structures has been discussed in previous studies. Holz (2003) and Tedesco et al. (2016) both noted that the borders of the paleovalleys correspond closely to known faults. Ribeiro (1987) and Guerra-Sommer et al. (2008b) indicate that the thickest coal deposits in the paleovalleys occur within downthrown basement blocks controlled by a NE trending fault system.

The position of the Mariana Pimentel Paleovalley within the DCSZ and the facies assemblage of the paleovalley are both consistent with the evolution of a small tectonically controlled basin or basins. The thickest sedimentary fill within the paleovalley (core AB-06) corresponds to a major bend and offset in the master faults of the DCSZ (Fig. 2.12). Releasing bends in such systems form a zone of separation between parallel strike-slip master faults, which can nucleate small pull-apart basins. Such basins are common along reactivated older faults in rigid intracratonic settings. Basin fill is often comprised of lacustrine facies and pro-deltaic facies that form on down-dropped grabens (towards the fault with the most slip) and alluvial fan/fan delta sedimentation on basin margins (e.g. Hempton and Dunne, 1984; Waldron, 2003; Sarp, 2015). Rhythmic, underflow sediments of non-glacial origin are common within these basins (e.g. van der Lingen and Pettinga, 1980; Hempton and Dunne, 1984). It is common for separate lacustrine sub-basins to evolve into a fluvial valley (e.g. Hempton and Dunne, 1984; Waldron, 2004; Kwon et al., 2011). There are also examples of these features being inundated by marine waters, creating estuaries (e.g. Ysufogflu, 2013). A tectonic origin for the Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalley system during the Carboniferous and early Permian, would explain why the coal seams within the paleovalleys are younger than transgressive coals found across the RGS uplands (e.g. Griffis et al., 2018).

The unusually wide shape and shallow depth of the Mariana Pimentel paleovalley was characterized in detail by Tedesco et al. (2016). It was interpreted as an eroded (truncated) U-shape, which is more characteristic of a glacially carved valley, rather than a V-shaped fluvial valley. However, modern fluvial systems often flow down the axes of pull-apart basins such as the Anatolian Fault system in Turkey, and these basins often have depth/width ratios similar to glacially carved valleys (e.g. Hempton and Dunne, 1984; Gürbüz, 2010). Furthermore, the dimensions of the Mariana Pimentel Paleovalley do not correspond well with the Namibian paleovalleys (e.g. Martin, 1981) to which they are supposedly related. The Namibian paleovalleys, which contain glacial features, range in width from ~7-13 km as compared to the Mariana Pimentel Paleovalley that range from ~0.5-6.5 km wide.

2.6.6. Implications for the extent of glaciation in west-central Gondwana

A non-glacial interpretation of the paleovalleys and the lack of African-sourced zircons contradict the hypothesis that outlet glaciers flowed directly onto the RGS from the Windhoek Highlands (Namibia) through a series of glacially-carved fjords (Fig. 2.2D). Additionally, the lack of African provenance for sediments on the RGS, combined with previously described grooved surfaces on the western RGS showing ice flow towards the N-NW (i.e. Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1982; Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1997), do not support the hypothesis that large, unconfined lobes from Africa or Antarctica extended E to W across the RGS (Fig. 2.2B).

Importantly, this study does not negate the clear evidence for glaciation on the western RGS (e.g. Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1982; Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1997). Additionally, it does not contradict the hypothesis that outlet glaciers from Africa may have

flowed through bedrock lows onto the eastern margin of the basin (e.g. Fallgatter and Paim, 2017). Rather, combined with ice flow directions from other studies (i.e. Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1982; Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1997; Amato, 2017), these results are in agreement with the hypothesis proposed by Crowell and Frakes (1975) that a separate, unconfined ice lobe extended N-NW out of Uruguay across the western RGS (Fig. 2.2C). This “Uruguayan Lobe” may have originated in southern Africa, or it may have nucleated on the Rio de la Plata Craton (Uruguay and Argentina). Although an ice advance out of Uruguay may have predated the formation of the paleovalleys on the eastern half of the RGS, evidence for such an event is currently unsubstantiated.

The other possibility, that glaciation on the RGS existed as stand-alone, small ice caps or alpine glaciers (e.g. Santos et al., 1996) can also not be ruled out based on the evidence presented here (Fig. 2.2A). Regardless, it seems probable that glaciogenic sediments on the RGS have a separate provenance from the same lithostratigraphic unit (Taciba Fm.) on the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin. Along these same lines, the lack of an African detrital zircon signature within the paleovalleys makes it more difficult to link glaciation on the RGS (southernmost Paraná Basin) to extra-basinal deposits such as the Dwyka Gp. in the Greater Karoo Basin.

Separate ice centers on the southern and eastern margins of the Paraná Basin would have contained substantially less ice volume compared to a single, massive ice sheet. For comparison, a massive hypothetical ice sheet covering the entire RGS and the eastern margin of the basin, centered over western Africa, and measuring $\sim 1,250,000 \text{ km}^2$ would be capable of producing $\sim 4.6 \text{ m}$ of global sea-level change. Two separate ice centers, of equal combined area, would produce $\sim 3.9 \text{ m}$ of global sea-level change (c.f. Crowley and Baum, 1991; Isbell et al., 2003). However, neither scenario would add significantly to some estimates of $\sim 100\text{-}120 \text{ m}$ of sea-level

fluctuations during the Carboniferous and Permian (e.g. Rygel et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2016). If sea-level oscillations of such magnitudes occurred, contemporaneous with deposition in the Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys, the required ice center(s) must have existed elsewhere.

2.7. Conclusions

- (1) The facies assemblage from the Mariana Pimentel and Leão Paleovalley system does not support the previously hypothesized origin as a glacially-influenced depositional environment. The basal portion of the paleovalleys contains a transition from a non-glacial lacustrine or estuarine environment into a fluvial-dominated incised valley. The top portion of the paleovalley system fill consists of a restricted marine/estuarine facies association.
- (2) Detrital zircon geochronology results show a unimodal population of ages ranging from ~800-550 Ma. This is consistent with a local (non-African), Dom Feliciano Belt provenance for the sedimentary fill of the paleovalleys.
- (3) The facies assemblage, stratigraphy, and position of the paleovalleys within a Neoproterozoic shear zone suggest a tectonic control on the initial formation of the paleovalleys and the deposition of their fill. The Mariana Pimentel Paleovalley has many characteristics of a small pull-apart basin.
- (4) This study suggests that outlet glaciers from Namibia did not travel onto the RGS through a network of paleovalleys. It is also inconsistent with the hypothesis that a single, massive, unconfined ice sheet in Africa (or Antarctica) was responsible for deposition on both the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin and on the RGS (southern margin). The most likely source of glaciation on the RGS was a lobe, separate from the eastern margin of the Paraná

Basin, which extended N-NW out of Uruguay onto the western RGS. Another possible explanation is that glaciation on the RGS was restricted to a small ice cap or alpine glaciers.

- (5) These findings are supportive of the general hypothesis that Carboniferous-Permian glaciation in west-central Gondwana was comprised of smaller, separate ice centers with less ice-volume than some previous estimates for this region.

References

- Amato, J.A., 2017. Using AMS to help interpret glaciogenic deposits of the late Paleozoic ice age in the Paraná Basin, Brazil (Masters Thesis). University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI.
- Babinski, M., Chemale Jr., F., Van Schmus, W.R., Hartmann, L.A., Silva, da L.C., 1997. U–Pb and Sm–Nd geochronology of the Neoproterozoic granitic-gneissic Dom Feliciano Belt, southern Brazil. *Journal of South American Sciences* 10, 263-274.
- Benn, D.I., Evans, D.J.A., 2010. *Glaciers and glaciation*. Hodder Education, London (802 pp.).
- Bennett, M.R., Doyle, P., Mather, A.E., 1996. Dropstones: their origin and significance. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 121, 331-339.
- Buggisch, W., Wang, X., Alekseev, A.S., Joachimski, M.M., 2011. Carboniferous-Permian carbon isotope stratigraphy of successions from China (Yangtze platform), USA (Kansas) and Russia (Moscow Basin and Urals). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 301, 18-38.
- Bull, W.B., 1977. The alluvial-fan environment. *Progress in Physical Geography* 1, 222-270.
- Cagliari, J., Philipp, R.P., Buso, V.V., Netto, R.G., Hillebrand, P.K., Lopes, R.d.C., Basei, M.A.S., Faccini, U.F., 2016. Age constraints of the glaciation in the Paraná Basin: evidence from new U-Pb dates. *Journal of the Geological Society* 173, 871-874.
- Canile, F.M., Babinski, M., Rocha-Campos, A.C., 2016. Evolution of the Carboniferous-Early Cretaceous units of the Paraná Basin from provenance studies based on U-Pb, Hf and O isotopes from detrital zircons. *Gondwana Research* 40, 142-169.
- Carto, S., Eyles, N., 2012. Sedimentology of the Neoproterozoic (c. 580 Ma) Squantum ‘Tillite’, Boston Basin USA: mass flow deposition in a deep-water arc basin lacking direct glacial influence. *Sedimentary Geology* 269, 1-14.
- Chen, B., Joachimski, M.M., Shen, S., Lambert, L.L., Lai, X., Wang, X., Chen, J., Yuan, D., 2013. Permian ice volume and palaeoclimate history: oxygen isotope proxies revisited. *Gondwana Research* 24, 77-89.
- Chen, B., Joachimski, M.M., Wang, X., Shen, S., Qi, Y., Qie, W., 2016. Ice volume and paleoclimate history of the late Paleozoic ice age from conodont apatite oxygen isotopes from Naqing (Guizhou, China). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 448, 151-161.
- Cordani, U.G., Sato, K., Teixeira, W., Tassianri, C.C.G., Basei, M.A.S., 2000. Crustal evolution of the South American Platform. In: Cordani, U.G., Milani, E.J., Thomaz Filho, A., Campos, D.A. (Eds.), *Tectonic Evolution of South America*. International Geological Congress 31, Rio de Janeiro, pp. 19-40.
- Cowan, E.A., Powell, R.D., 1990. Suspended sediment transport and deposition of cyclically interlaminated sediment in a temperate glacial fjord, Alaska, U.S.A. In: Dowdeswell, J.A., Scourse, J.D. (Eds.), *Glacimarine Environments: Processes and Sediments*. Geological Society Special Publication 53, pp. 75-89.

- Cowan, E.A., Seramur, K.C., Powell, R.D., Willems, B.A., Gulick, S.P.S., Jaeger, J.M., 2010. Fjords as temporary sediment traps: history of glacial erosion and deposition in Muir Inlet, Glacier Bay National Park, southeastern Alaska. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 122, 1067-1080.
- Crookshanks, S., Gilbert, R., 2008. Continuous, diurnally fluctuating turbidity currents in Kluane Lake, Yukon Territory. *Canadian Journal of Earth Science* 45, 1123–1138.
- Crowell, J.C., Frakes, L.A., 1975. The late Paleozoic glaciation. In: Campbell, K.S.W. (Ed.), *Gondwana Geology*. Australian National University Press, Canberra, pp. 313-331.
- Crowell, J.C., 1999. Pre-Mesozoic ice ages: their bearing on understanding the climate system. *Geological Society of America Memoir* 192, Boulder (106 pp.).
- Corrêa da Silva, Z.C., 1978. Observações sobre o Grupo Tubarão no Rio Grande do Sul, com especial destaque á estratigrafia da Formação Itararé. *Pesquisas—UFRGS* 9, pp. 27-44.
- Dadson, S., Hovius, N., Pegg, S., Dade, W.B., Horng, M.J., Chen, H., 2005. Hyperpycnal river flows from an active mountain belt. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 110, 4-16.
- Delaney, P.I.V., 1964. Itararé outliers in Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Boletim Paranaense Geografia* 10/15, 161-173.
- de Wit, M.J., Stankiewicz, J., Reeves, C., 2008. Restoring Pan-African—Brasiliano connections: more Gondwana control, less trans-Atlantic corruption. In: Pankhurst, R.J., Trouw, R.A.J., Brito Neves, B.B., de Wit, M.J. (Eds.), *West Gondwana: Pre-Cenezoic Correlations Across the South Atlantic Region*. Geological Society of London Special Publications 294, pp. 399-412.
- Dionne, J.C., 1993. Sediment load of shore ice and ice rafting potential, Upper St. Lawrence Estuary, Quebec, Canada. *Journal of Coastal Research* 9, 628-646.
- Doublet, S., Garcia, J.P., 2004. The significance of dropstones in tropical lacustrine setting, eastern Cameros Basin (Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous, Spain). *Sedimentary Geology* 163, 293–309.
- Dowdeswell, J.A., Villinger, H., Whittington, R.J., Marienfeld, P., 1993. Iceberg scouring in Scoresby Sund and on the East Greenland continental shelf. *Marine Geology* 111, 37-53.
- Drosner, M.L., Bottjer, D.J., 1986. A semiquantitative field classification of ichnofabric. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 56, 558-559.
- Enos, P., 1977. Flow regimes in debris flow. *Sedimentology* 24, 133-142.
- Fallgatter, C., Paim, P.S.G., 2017. On the origin of the Itararé Group basal nonconformity and its implications for the late Paleozoic glaciation in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* (in press).
- Farrell, K.M., Harris, W.B., Mallinson, D.J., Culver, S.J., Riggs, S.R., Pierson, J., Self-Trail, J.M., Lautier, J.C., 2012. Standardizing texture and facies codes for a process-based classification of clastic sediment and rock. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 82, 364-378.

- Ferguson, L., 1970. "Armored snowballs" and the introduction of coarse terrigenous material into sea-ice. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 40, 1057-1060.
- Fernandes, L.A.D., Koester, E., 1999. The Neoproterozoic Dorsal de Canguçu strike-slip shear zone: its nature and role in the tectonic evolution of southern Brazil. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 29, 3-24.
- Fielding, C.R., 1987. Coal depositional models for deltaic and alluvial plain sequences. *Geology* 15, 661-664.
- Fielding, C.R., Frank, T.D., Birgenheier, L.P., Rygel, M.C., Jones, A.T., Roberts, J., 2008a. Stratigraphic record and facies associations of the late Paleozoic ice age in eastern Australia (New South Wales and Queensland). In: Fielding, C. R., Frank, T. D., Isbell, J. L. (Eds.), *Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space*. Geological Society of America Special Publication 441, pp. 41-57.
- Fielding, C. R., Frank, T. D., Isbell, J. L., 2008b. The late Paleozoic ice age-A review of current understanding and synthesis of global climate patterns. In: Fielding, C. R., Frank, T. D., Isbell, J. L. (Eds.), *Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space*. Geological Society of America Special Publication 441, pp. 343-354.
- Frakes, L.A., Crowell, J.C., 1972. Late Paleozoic glacial geography between the Paraná Basin and the Andean Geosyncline. *Anais. Academia Brasileira de Ciencias* 44, pp. 139- 145.
- França, A. B., Potter, P. E., 1991. Stratigraphy and Reservoir Potential of Glacial Deposits of the Itararé Group (Carboniferous-Permian), Paraná Basin, Brazil. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* 75, 62-85.
- Frank, T.D., Shultis, A.I., Fielding, C.R., 2015. Acme and demise of the late Paleozoic ice age: a view from the southeastern margin of Gondwana. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 418, 176-192.
- Foster, D.A., Goscombe, B.D., Newstead, B., Mapani, B., Mueller, P.A., Gregory, L.C., Muvangua, E., 2015. U-Pb age and Lu-Hf isotopic data of detrital zircons from the Congo and Kalahari before Gondwana. *Gondwana Research* 28, 179-190.
- Garden, C.J., Craw, D., Waters, J.M., Smith, A., 2011. Rafting rocks reveal marine biological dispersal: A case study using clasts from beach-cast macroalgal holdfasts. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 95, 388-394.
- Gastal, M.C.P., Lafon, J.M., Hartmann, L.A., Koester, E., 2005. Sm–Nd isotopic compositions as a proxy for magmatic processes during the Neoproterozoic of the southern Brazilian shield. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 18, 255-276.
- Gastaldo, R. A., DiMichele, W. A., Pfefferkorn, H. W., 1996. Out of the icehouse into the greenhouse; a late Paleozoic analog for modern global vegetational change. *Geological Society of America Today* 6, 1-7.
- Gesicki, A. L. D., Riccomini, C., Boggianic, P. C., Coimbra, A. M., 1998. The Aquidauana Formation (Paraná Basin) in the context of the late Paleozoic glaciation in western Gondwana. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 27, 81-82.

- Gesicki, A. L. D., Riccomini, C., Boggianic, P. C., 2002. Ice flow direction during late Paleozoic glaciation in western Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 14, 933-939.
- Gilbert, R., 1990. Rafting in glacial-marine environments. In: Dowdeswell, J.A., Scourse, J.D. (Eds), *Glacial-marine Environments: Processes and Sediments*. Geological Society of London Special Publications 53, pp. 105-120.
- Girardclos, S., Schmidt, O.T., Sturm, M., Ariztegui, D., Pugin, A., Anselmetti, F.S., 2007. The 1996 AD delta collapse and large turbidite in Lake Brienz. *Marine Geology* 241, 137-154.
- Gray, D.R., Foster, D.A., Meert, J.G., Goscombe, B.D., Armstrong, R., Trouw, R.A.J., Passchier, C.W., 2008. A Damara orogeny perspective on the assembly of southwestern Gondwana. In: Pankhurst, R.J., Trouw, R.A.J., Brito Neves, B.B., De Wit, M.J. (Eds), *West Gondwana: Pre-Cenozoic Correlations Across the South Atlantic Region*. Geological Society of London Special Publications 294, pp. 257-278.
- Griffis, N.P., Mundil, R., Montañez, I.P., Isbell, J.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., Vesely, F.F., Iannuzzi, R., Yin, Q.-Z., 2018. A new stratigraphic framework built on U-Pb single zircon TIMS ages with implications for the timing of the penultimate icehouse (Paraná Basin, Brazil). *Geological Society of America Bulletin* (in press).
- Guerra-Sommer, M., Cazzulo-Klepzig, M., Menegat, R., Mendonça, J.G., 2008a. U-Pb dating of tonstein layers from a coal succession of the southern Paraná Basin (Brazil): a new geochronological approach. *Gondwana Research* 14, 474-482.
- Guerra-Sommer, M., Cazzulo-Klepzig, M., Menegat, R., Formoso, M. L. L., Basei, M. A. S., Barboza, E. G., Simas, M. W., 2008b. Geochronological data from the Faxinal coal succession, southern Paraná Basin, Brazil: A preliminary approach combining radiometric U-Pb dating and palynostratigraphy. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 25, 246-256.
- Guerra-Sommer, M., Cazzulo-Klepzig, M., Santos, J.O.S., Hartmann, L.A., Ketzer, J.M.M., Formoso, M.L.L., 2008c. Radiometric age determination of tonsteins and stratigraphic constraints for the lower Permian coal succession in southern Paraná Basin, Brazil. *International Journal of Coal Geology* 74, 13-27.
- Gulbranson, E.L., Montañez, I.P., Schmitz, M.D., Limarino, C.O., Isbell, J.L., Marensi, S.A., Crowley, J.L., 2010. High-precision U-Pb calibration of Carboniferous glaciation and climate history, Paganzo Group, NW Argentina. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 122, 1480-1498.
- Gürbüz, A., 2010. Geometric characteristics of pull-apart basins. *Lithosphere* 2, 199-206.
- Gustavson, T.C., 1991. Buried vertisols in lacustrine facies of the Pliocene Fort Hancock Formation, Hueco Bolson, West Texas and Chihuahua, Mexico. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 103, 448-460.

- Guy-Ohlson, D., 1996. Chapter 7B. Prasinophycean algae. In: Jansonius, J., McGregor, D. C. (Eds.), *Palynology: principles and applications*. American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Foundation, 1, pp. 181-189.
- Hambrey, M. J., Glasser, N. F., 2003. Glacial sediments: processes, environments and facies. In: Middleton, G.V. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Sediments and Sedimentary Rocks*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp. 316-331.
- Hempton, M.R., Dunne, L.A., 1984. Sedimentation in pull-apart basins: active examples in eastern Turkey. *The Journal of Geology* 92, 513-530.
- Holz, M., 1999. Early Permian sequence stratigraphy and the palaeophysiographic evolution of the Paraná Basin in southernmost Brazil. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 29, 51-61.
- Holz, M., 2003. Sequence stratigraphy of a lagoonal estuarine system—an example from the lower Permian Rio Bonito Formation, Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Sedimentary Geology* 162, 305-331.
- Holz, M., Kuchle, J., Philipp, R.P., Bischoff, A.P., Arima, N., 2006. Hierarchy of tectonic control on stratigraphic signatures: base-level changes during the Early Permian in the Paraná Basin, southernmost Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 22, 185-204.
- Holz, M., Souza, P. A., Iannuzzi, R., 2008. Sequence stratigraphy and biostratigraphy of the Late Carboniferous to Early Permian glacial succession (Itararé subgroup) at the eastern-southeastern margin of the Paraná Basin, Brazil. In: Fielding, C. R., Frank, T., Isbell, J. L. (Eds.), *Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 441, pp. 115-129.
- Holz, M., França, A.B., Souza, P.A., Iannuzzi, R., Rohn, R., 2010. A stratigraphic chart of the Late Carboniferous/Permian succession of the eastern border of the Paraná Basin, Brazil, South America. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 29, 381-399.
- Horton, D.E., Poulsen, C.J., Pollard, D., 2010. Influence of high-latitude vegetation feedbacks on late Palaeozoic glacial cycles. *Nature Geoscience* 3, 572-577.
- Iannuzzi, R., Pfefferkorn, H.W., 2002. A pre-glacial, warm-temperate floral belt in Gondwana (Late Viséan, Early Carboniferous). *Palaios* 17, 571-590.
- Iannuzzi, R., Scherer, C.M.S., Souza, P.A., Holz, M., Caravaca, G., Adami-Rodrigues, K., Tybusch, G.P., Souza, J.M., Smaniotto, L.P., Fischer, T.V., Silveira, A.S., Lykawka, R., Boardman, D.R., Barboza, E.G., 2006. Afloramento Morro do Papaléo, Mariana Pimentel, R.S., Registro ímpar da sucessão pós-glacial do Paleozóico da Bacia do Paraná. In: Winge, M., Schobbenhaus, C., Souza, C.R.G., Fernandes, A.C.S., Queiroz, E.T., Berbert-Born, M.L.C., Campos, D.A. (Eds.), *Sítios Geológicos e Paleontológicos do Brasil* 2, pp. 1-13.
- Iannuzzi, R., Souza, P.A., Holz, M., 2010. Stratigraphic and paleofloristic record of the Lower Permian postglacial succession in the southern Brazilian Paraná Basin. *Geological Society of America Special Papers* 468, 113-132.

- Isbell, J.L., Miller, M.F., Wolfe, K.L., Lenaker, P.A., 2003. Timing of late Paleozoic glaciation in Gondwana: Was glaciation responsible for the development of northern hemisphere cyclothems? In: Chan, M.A., Archer, A.W. (Eds.), *Extreme depositional environments: Mega end members in geologic time*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 370, 5-24.
- Isbell, J. L., Henry, L. C., Gulbranson, E. L., Limarino, C. O., Fraiser, M. L., Koch, Z. J., Ciccioli, P. L., Dineen, A. A., 2012. Glacial paradoxes during the late Paleozoic ice age: Evaluating the equilibrium line altitude as a control on glaciation. *Gondwana Research* 22, 1-19.
- Kempema, E.W., Reimnitz, E., Barnes, P.W., 2001. Anchor-ice formation and ice rafting in southwestern Lake Michigan, U.S.A. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 71, 346-354.
- Kempema, E.W., Ettema, R., 2011. Anchor ice rafting: observations from the Laramie River. *River Research and Applications* 27, 1126-1135.
- Kleiman, L.A., Japas, M.S., 2009. The Choiyoi volcanic province at 34°S–36°S (San Rafael, Mendoza, Argentina): implications for the late Paleozoic evolution of the southwestern margin of Gondwana. *Tectonophysics* 473, 283-299.
- Kwon, C.W., Jeong, J.O., Sohn, Y.K., 2011. Sedimentary records of rift to pull-apart tectonics in the Miocene Eoil Basin, SE Korea. *Sedimentary Geology* 236, 256-271.
- Leite, J.A.D., Hartmann, L.A., Fernandes, L.A.D., McNaughton, N.J., Soliani Jr., Ê, Koester, E., Santos, J.O.S., Vasconcellos, M.A.Z., 2000. Zircon U–Pb SHRIMP dating of gneissic basement of the Dom Feliciano Belt, southernmost Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 13, 739-750.
- Lopes, R.d.C., 1995. Acabouço Aloestratigráfico para o Intervalo “Rio Bonito-Palermo” (Eopermiano da bacia do Paraná), entre Butiá e São Sepé, Rio Grande do Sul (Masters Thesis). Universidade do Vale do Rio do Sinos, São Leopoldo (254 pp.).
- López-Gamundí, O. R., 1997. Glacial-postglacial transition in the Late Paleozoic basins of southern South America. In: Martini, I. P. (Ed.), *Late Glacial and Postglacial Environmental Changes: Quaternary, Carboniferous-Permian, and Proterozoic*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 147-168.
- Mack, G.H., Rasmussen, K.A., 1984. Alluvial-fan sedimentation of the Cutler Formation (Permo-Pennsylvanian) near Gateway, Colorado. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 95, 109-116.
- Mann, P., Hempton, M.R., Bradley, D.C., Burke, K., 1983. Development of pull-apart basins. *The Journal of Geology* 91, 529-554.
- Martin, H., 1981. The late Palaeozoic Dwyka Group of the South Kalahari Basin in Namibia and Botswana and the subglacial valleys of the Kaokoveld in Namibia. In: Hambrey, M.J., Harland, W.B. (Eds.), *Earth's Pre-Pleistocene Glacial Record*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 61-66.
- Martinsen, O. J., Ryseth, A., Helland-Hansen, W., Flesche, H., Torkildsen, G., Idil, S., 1999. Stratigraphic base level and fluvial architecture: Ericson Sandstone (Campanian), Rock Springs Uplift, SW Wyoming, USA. *Sedimentology* 46, 235-259.

- Matos, S.L.F., Yamamoto, J.K., Riccomini, C., Hachiro, J., Tassinari, C.C.G., 2001. Absolute dating of Permian ash-fall in the Rio Bonito Formation, Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Gondwana Research* 4, 421-426.
- Milani, E.J., Faccini, U.F., Scherer, C.M., Araújo, L.M., Cupertino, J.A., 1998. Sequences and Stratigraphic Hierarchy of the Paraná Basin (Ordovician to Cretaceous), Southern Brazil. *Boletim IG USP*. 29, 125-173.
- Montañez, I., Soreghan, G. S., 2006. Earth's fickle climate; lessons learned from deep-time ice ages. *Geotimes* 51, 24-27.
- Montañez, I. P., Norris, R. D., Algeo, T., Chandler, M. A., Johnson, K. R., Kennedy, M. J., Kent, D. V., Kiehl, J. T., Kump, L. R., Ravelo, A. C., Turekian, K. K., Freeman, K. H., Feary, D. A., Rogers, N. D., Estep, J. T., Gibbs, C. R., Edkin, E. J., 2011. Understanding Earth's deep past; lessons for our climate future. National Academies Press, Washington, DC (194 pp.).
- Montañez, I. P., Poulsen, C. J., 2013. The late Paleozoic ice age: an evolving paradigm: *Annual Review of Earth & Planetary Sciences* 41, 1-28.
- Molnia, B.F., 1983. Subarctic glacial-marine sedimentation: a model. In: Molnia, B.F. (Ed.), *Glacial-Marine Sedimentation*. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 95-114.
- Mori, A. L. O., de Souza, P. A., Marques, J. C., Lopes, R. d. C., 2012. A new U–Pb zircon age dating and palynological data from a Lower Permian section of the southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil: Biochronostratigraphical and geochronological implications for Gondwanan correlations. *Gondwana Research* 21, 654-669.
- Mugford, R.I., Dowdeswell, J.A., 2011. Modeling glacial meltwater plume dynamics and sedimentation in high-latitude fjords. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 116, F01023.
- Nemec, W., Steel, R.J., 1984. Alluvial and coastal conglomerates: their significant features and some comments on gravelly mass-flow deposits. In: Koster, R.H., Steel, R.J. (Eds.), *Sedimentology of Gravels and Conglomerates*. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists Memoirs 10, 1-31.
- Ó Cofaigh, C., Dowdeswell, J.A., 2001. Laminated sediments in glacial marine environments: diagnostic criteria for their interpretation. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 20, 1411-1436.
- Oliveira, C.H.E., Jelinek, A.R., Chemale Jr., F., Bernet, M., 2016. Evidence of post-Gondwana breakup in southern Brazilian Shield: insights from apatite and zircon fission track thermochronology. *Tectonophysics* 666, 173-187.
- Ottensen, D., Dowdeswell, J.A., 2009. An inter-ice-stream glaciated margin: Submarine landforms and a geomorphic model based on marine-geophysical data from Svalbard. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 121, 1647-1665.
- Oyhantçabal, P., Siegesmund, S., Wemmer, K., 2011. The Río de la Plata Craton: a review of units, boundaries, ages and isotopic signature. *International Journal of Earth Science* 100, 201-220.

- Paim, P.S.G., Piccoli, A.E.M., Sarturi, J.A.D., Munaro, P., Holz, M., Granitoff, W., 1983. Evolução paleogeográfica do Supergrupo Tubarão na área de Mariana Pimentel-Faxinal, Guaíba, RS. Simpósio Sul Brasileiro de Geologia 1, pp. 121-134.
- Passarelli, C.R., Basei, M.A.S., Wemmer, K., Siga Jr., O., Oyhantçabal, P., 2011. Major shear zones of southern Brazil and Uruguay: escape tectonics in the eastern border of Rio de La Plata and Paranapanema cratons during western Gondwana amalgamation. *International Journal of Earth Science* 100, 391-414.
- Philipp, R.P., Machado, R., 2005. The late Neoproterozoic granitoid magmatism of the Pelotas Batholith, southern Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 19, 461-478.
- Posamentier, H.W., Martinsen, O.J., 2011. The character and genesis of submarine mass-transport deposits; insights from outcrop and 3D seismic data. In: Shipp, R.G., Weimer, P., Posamentier, H.W. (Eds.), *Mass-transport Deposits in Deepwater Settings*. Society for Sedimentary Geology Special Publication 96, pp. 7-38.
- Postma, G., Nemeç, W., Kleinspehn, K.L., 1988. Large floating clasts in turbidites: a mechanism for their emplacement. *Sedimentary Geology* 58, 47-61.
- Powell, R.D., 1990. Glacimarine processes at grounding-line fans and their growth to ice-contact deltas. In: Dowdeswell, J.A., Scourse, J.D. (Eds.), *Glacimarine Environments: Processes and Sediments*. Geological Society Special Publication 53, pp. 53-73.
- Powell, R.D., 2003. Subaquatic landsystems: fjords. In: Evans, D.A.J. (Ed.), *Glacial Landsystems*. Arnold, London, pp. 313-347.
- Ribeiro, N.V.B., Freitas, J.T., Souza, R., 1987. Correlação estratigráfica entre três bacias carboníferas do paleovale Leão/Mariana Pimentel. III Simpósio Sul Brasileiro de Geologia, Curitiba, Actas, pp. 335-350.
- Riccomini, C., Velázquez, V.F., 1999. Superfície estriada por geleira Neopaleozoica no Paraguai oriental. *Revista Brasileira de Geociências* 29, 233-236.
- Ridgway, K.D., Decelles, P.G., 1993. Stream-dominated alluvial fan and lacustrine depositional systems in Cenozoic strike-slip basins, Denali fault system, Yukon Territory, Canada. *Sedimentology* 40, 645-666.
- Rocha-Campos, A. C., dos Santos, P. R., and Canuto, J. R., 2008. Late Paleozoic glacial deposits of Brazil: Paraná Basin. In: Fielding, C.R., Frank, T.D., Isbell, J.L. (Eds.), *Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space*. Geological Society of America Special Papers 441, pp. 97-114.
- Rosa, E.L.M., Vesely, F.F., França A.B., 2016. A review of the late Paleozoic ice-related erosional landforms in the Paraná Basin: origin and paleogeographical implications. *Brazilian Journal of Geology* 46, 147-166.
- Rygel, M.C., Fielding, C.R., Frank, T.D., Birgenheier, L.P., 2008. The magnitude of late Paleozoic glacioeustatic fluctuations: a synthesis. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 500-511.

- Saalmann, K., Gerdes, A., Lahaye, Y., Hartmann, L.A., Remus, M.V.D., Läufer, A., 2011. Multiple accretion at the eastern margin of the Rio de la Plata craton: the prolonged Brasiliano orogeny in southernmost Brazil. *International Journal of Earth Science* 100, 355-378.
- Santos, P. R. d., Rocha-Campos, A. C., Canuto, J. R., 1996. Patterns of late Palaeozoic deglaciation in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 125, 165-184.
- Sarp, G., 2015. Tectonic controls on the North Anatolian Fault System (NAFS) on the geomorphic evolution of the alluvial fans and fan catchments in Erzincan pull-apart basin; Turkey. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 98, 116-125.
- Schimmelmann, A., Lange, C.B., Schieber, J., Francus, P., Ojala, A.E.K., Zolitschka, B., 2016. Varves in marine sediments: a review. *Earth-Science Reviews* 159, 215-246.
- Silva, da L.C., Hartmann, L.A., McNaughton, N.J., Fletcher, L.R., 1999. SHRIMP U/Pb zircon dating of Neoproterozoic granitic magmatism and collision in the Pelotas Batholith, southernmost Brazil. *International Geological Review* 41, 531-551.
- Silveira, A.S., 2000. Estratigrafia de seqüências e evolução paleoambiental da sucessão Permiana (Sakmariano-Eokaziano) da Bacia do Paraná, entre Rio Pardo e Mariana Pimentel (RS) (Masters Thesis). Universidade do Vale do Rio do Sinos, São Leopoldo (140 pp.).
- Simas, M.W., Guerra-Sommer, M., Cazzulo-Klepzig, M., Menegat, R., Santos, J.O.S., Ferreira, J.A.F., Degani-Schmidt, I., 2012. Geochronological correlation of the main coal interval in Brazilian Lower Permian: radiometric dating of tonstein and calibration of biostratigraphic framework. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 39, 1-15.
- Smaniotto, L.P., Fischer, T.V., Souza, P.A., Iannuzzi, R., 2006. Palinologia do Morro do Papaléo, Mariana Pimentel (Permiano Inferior, Bacia do Paraná), Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Paleontologia* 9, 311-322.
- Souza, P.A., 2006. Late Carboniferous palynostratigraphy of the Itararé Subgroup, northeastern Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 138, 9-29.
- Syvitski, J.P.M., Burrell, D.C., Skei, J., 1987. *Fjords: processes and products*. Springer-Verlag, New York (379 pp.).
- Talling, P.J., Masson, D.G., Sumner, E.J., Malgesini, G., 2012. Subaqueous sediment density flows: depositional processes and deposit types. *Sedimentology* 59, 1937-2003.
- Talling, P.J., 2014. On the triggers, resulting flow types and frequencies of subaqueous sediment density flows in different settings. *Marine Geology* 352, 155-182.
- Tedesco, J., Cagliari, J., Coitinho, J.R., Lopes, R.C.L., Lavina, E.L.C., 2016. Late Paleozoic paleofjord in the southernmost Parana Basin (Brasil): Geomorphology and sedimentary fill. *Geomorphology* 269, 203-214.
- Tomazelli, L.J., Soliani Júnior, E., 1982. Evidências de atividade glacial no Paleozóico Superior do Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. *Anais II Congresso Brasileiro de Geologia*, Salvador 4, pp. 1378-1389.

- Tomazelli, L.J., Soliani Júnior, E., 1997. Sedimentary facies and depositional environments related to Gondwana glaciation in Batovi and Suspiro Regions, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 10, 295-303.
- Torsvik, T. H., Cocks, L. R. M., 2013. Gondwana from top to base in space and time. *Gondwana Research* 24, 999-1030.
- Trzaskos, B., Vesely, F.F., Rostirolla, S.P., 2006. Recurrence of tectonic events overprint in the Carboniferous Vila Velha Sandstones of the Itararé Group, Paraná Basin, South Brazil. *Boletim Paranaense de Geociências* 58, 89-104.
- van der Lingen, G.J., Pettinga, J.R., 1980. The Makara Basin: a Miocene slope-basin along the New Zealand sector of the Australian-Pacific obliquely convergent plate boundary. In Balance, P.F., Reading, H.G. (Eds.), *Sedimentation in Oblique-slip Mobile Zones*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, pp. 191-215.
- Veevers, J.J., Powell, C.McA., 1987. Late Paleozoic glacial episodes in Gondwanaland reflected in transgressive-regressive depositional sequences in Euramerica. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 98, 475-487.
- Vesely, F. F., Trzaskos, B., Kipper, F., Assine, M. L., Souza, P. A., 2015. Sedimentary record of a fluctuating ice margin from the Pennsylvanian of western Gondwana: Paraná Basin, southern Brazil. *Sedimentary Geology* 326, 45-63.
- Vermeesch, P., 2012. On the visualization of detrital age distributions. *Chemical Geology* 312-313, 190-194.
- Vermeesch, P., Resentini, A., Garzanti, E., 2016. An R package for statistical provenance analysis. *Sedimentary Geology* 336, 14-25.
- Visser, J.N.J., 1987. The palaeogeography of part of southwestern Gondwana during the Permo-Carboniferous glaciaiation. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 61, 205-219.
- Visser, J.N.J., 1993. A reconstruction of the late Palaeozoic ice sheet on southwestern Gondwana. In: Findlay, R.H., Unrug, R., Banks, M.R., Veevers, J.J. (Eds.), *Gondwana 8 Assembly, Evolution and Dispersal*. AA Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 449-458.
- Visser, J.N.J., 1997. Deglaciation sequences in the Permo-Carboniferous Karoo and Kalahari basins of southern Africa: a tool in the analysis of cyclic glaciomarine basin fills. *Sedimentology* 44, 507-521.
- Waldron, J.W.F., 2004. Anatomy and evolution of a pull-apart basin, Stellarton, Nova Scotia. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 116, 109-127.
- Woodborne, M.W., Rogers, J., Jarman, N., 1989. The geological significance of kelp-rafted rock along the West Coast of South Africa. *Geo-Marine Letters* 9, 109-118.
- Ysufogflu, H., 2013. An intramontane pull-apart basin in tectonic escape deformation: Elbistan Basin, eastern Taurides, Turkey. *Journal of Geodynamics* 65, 308-329.

- Zavala, C., Arcuri M., 2016. Intrabasinal and extrabasinal turbidites: origin and distinctive characteristics. *Sedimentary Geology* 337, 36-54.
- Ziegler, A.M., Hulver, M.L., Rowley, D.B., 1997. Permian world topography and climate. In: Martini, I.P. (Ed.), *Late glacial and post-glacial environmental changes-Quaternary, Carboniferous-Permian and Proterozoic*. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 111-146.
- Zolitschka, B., Francus, P., Ojala, A.E.K., Schimmelmann, A., 2015. Varves in lake sediments – a review. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 117, 1-41.




Fig. 2.1. Paleogeography and study location. **A.** Gondwana paleogeography and Paraná Basin (yellow) during Pennsylvanian (after PLATES/UTIG; Fallgatter and Paim, 2017). **B.** Southern and eastern margin of Paraná Basin relative to western Africa. Paleovalleys indicated by red lines. Published striated/grooved surface locations with interpreted ice flow directions represented by black arrows (after Rosa et al., 2016; Fallgatter and Paim, 2017). **C** Study location with Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys outlined in red. Outcrops indicated by orange/white circles and cores indicated by red/white circles (after Lopes, 1995; Tedesco et al., 2016). Location 1 at 30°18'27.78"S, 51°38'35.22"W, Location 2 at 30°19'40.91"S, 51°35'47.15"W, Location 3 at 30°22'66"S, 52°25'33.13"W.




Fig. 2.2. Hypotheses for size and location of glaciers entering Paraná Basin during late Carboniferous. Rio Grande do Sul Shield in red box. A. Hypothesis 1: multiple small ice centers on paleotopographic highs around basin margins (after Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Santos et al., 1996). B. Hypothesis 2: single massive ice sheet from Africa or Antarctica (after Visser, 1993). C. Hypothesis 3: two separate, unconfined lobes entering basin, one extending north from Uruguay and another extending west from Namibia (after Frakes and Crowell, 1972; Crowell and Frakes, 1975). D. Hypothesis 4: outlet glaciers entering southern and eastern margin of basin through paleovalleys originating in Africa (after Tedesco et al., 2016; Fallgatter and Paim, 2017).

| Lithostratigraphy | | 3rd -Order Sequence Stratigraphy (Holz et al., 2006) | |
|----------------------|------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Guatá Gp. | Palermo Fm. | Sequence-3 | HST3 <i>Maximum flood surface</i> |
| | Sideropolis Mbr. | | TST3 <i>Transgressive surface</i> |
| Rio Bonito Fm. | Paraguaçu Mbr. | Sequence-2 | LST3 SB-3 |
| | Triunfo Mbr. | | HST2 <i>Maximum flood surface</i> |
| | | | TST2 <i>Transgressive surface</i> |
| | | | LST2 SB-2 |
| | Itararé Gp. | Seq-1 | SB-1 |
| Crystalline Basement | | | |

Fig. 2.3. Third-order sequence stratigraphic framework for southern and eastern Paraná Basin (after Holz et al., 2006). SB = sequence boundary, LST = lowstand systems tract, TST = transgressive systems tract, HST = highstand systems tract.

| Lithofacies name | Symbol | Lithologies | Key features and sedimentary structures | Bed thickness | Interpretation |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Sandy conglomerate and breccia facies | Gm (massive) Gp (planar cross beds) | Sandy conglomerate and breccia, interbeds of clast-poor to clast-rich sandy diamictite, pebbly sandstone, and mudstone | Mostly clast supported with rare matrix support, clast composition consistent with local basement material, interbeds contain coalified material and root traces | ~10 cm to 3 m thick, interbeds range from ~4 cm to 0.5 m thick | Alluvial fans or subaqueous fan deltas in marginal lacustrine or estuarine setting |
| Rhythmite facies | Flv | Fine to very fine sandstone, siltstone, and mudstone | Normally graded sand or silt rhythmites with mudstone caps, climbing ripples, flame structures, rip-up clasts, very rare oversized clasts, zones of brittle and ductile deformation | ~5 mm to 10 cm thick couplets, up to ~50 m thick packages of rhythmites | Distal turbidity currents or hyperpycnal flows in lacustrine or estuarine setting |
| Mudrock/fine-grained sandstone facies | Fl (laminated) Fm (massive) | Mudstone, siltstone, very fine to fine sandstone | Plant fossils, organic debris, laminated or massive | ~3 cm to 10 m thick massive beds, ~1 m to 10 m thick packages of finely laminated sediment | Stable, low energy conditions, sediment settling out of suspension, in lacustrine or estuarine setting |
| Cross-stratified sandstone facies | St (trough cross beds) Sp (planar cross beds) | Very fine to very coarse quartz sandstone, sometimes pebbly | Planar and trough cross beds, normally graded, sometimes contains granule to pebble sized clasts, interbeds contain current ripples | ~4 cm to 7 m thick, interbeds range from 1 cm to 0.5 m thick | High-energy, flowing water within fluvial system |
| Diamictite facies | Dmm (massive) Dms (stratified) | Clast-poor to clast-rich, muddy, stratified and massive diamictite | Granite, quartz, potassium feldspar clasts, coalified material and root traces common | ~10 cm to 3 m thick | High density debris flows |

| | | | | | |
|--|-----|---|--|--|--|
| Root-trace-bearing mudrock facies | Mrt | Siltstone, mudstone, and coal | Typically massive, sometimes finely laminated, abundant root traces, thin coal beds, peds, organic debris and intact plant fossils | ~5 cm to 5 m thick | Paleosols, overbank floodplain deposits |
| Heterolithic bioturbated facies | mSb | Heterolithic, very-fine to medium quartz sandstone, siltstone, and mudstone | Sulfur-rich, vertical and horizontal bioturbation, plant material common, may contain micro-hummocky cross stratification | ~10 cm to 3 m thick beds, ~20 m thick amalgamated packages | Restricted shallow marine or estuarine setting with periodic sediment/organic influx (likely tidally influenced) |

Table 2.1. Lithofacies codes, descriptions, and paleoenvironmental interpretations. Facies codes from Benn and Evans (2010) and Farrell et al. (2012).




Fig. 2.4. Sandy conglomerate and breccia facies (Gm/Gp) in core LA-14 and rhythmite facies (Flv) at Location 2. A. Typical weathering profile of Gm/Gp facies in core directly above crystalline basement. B. Rhythmites (tilted) overlying weathered granite basement (also tilted) at Location 2. Contact between basement and rhythmites indicated by white dashed line and white arrow. Rock hammer (28 cm) used for scale. C. ~10 cm rhythmite couplets from the Flv facies in outcrop.



Fig. 2.5. Key features of rhythmite facies (Flv) in cores AB-06 and CA-53. A. Ripples (black arrows) in sandy, bottom portion of couplets, indicating traction between flows and underlying substrate. B. Load and flame structures (black arrows) suggesting rapid loading of unlithified substrate. C. Rip-up clasts of deformed rhythmites in sandstone interbed of Flv facies (black arrow), suggesting traction between flows and underlying substrate. D. Discrete zone of brittle faulting in basal portion of core CA-53, possible evidence for tectonism or failure along delta front.




Fig. 2.6. Key features of rhythmite facies (Flv) in cores AB-06 and CA-53. A. Very rare example of lonestone. B. Folded and faulted rhythmites from syndepositional brittle (faulting) and ductile deformation (slumping). White arrows indicate small granule and pebble sized clasts occurring along bedding planes. C. Brecciated and sheared rhythmites, possible evidence for tectonism or failure along delta front.




Fig. 2.7. Mudrock/fine-grained sandstone facies (F1/Fm) in outcrop. A. Laminated siltstone (F1 facies) beds at Location 3. Rock hammer (28 cm) for scale. B. Botrychiopsis fossil from top of the F1 facies at Location 1. C. Glossopteris fossil from top of F1 facies at Location 1.




Fig. 2.8. A. Photomosaic of Location 1 (Morro do Papaléo). (1) Diamictite (Dmm/Dms) facies. (2) Root-trace-bearing mudrock facies (Mrt). (3) Cross-stratified sandstone facies (St/Sp). Channel body geometries within St/Sp facies outlined by dashed white lines. **B.** Trough cross beds from St facies at Location 1 indicated by black dashed lines. **C.** Planar cross beds from Sp facies at Location 1 indicated by white dashed lines. **D.** Rippled bedding surface at Location 1.




Fig. 2.9. A. Photomosaic of Location 3. Red solid lines are contacts between facies and black lines with arrows are stacked diamictite beds. (1) Granite basement. (2) Diamictite (Dms) facies. (3) Root-trace-bearing mudstone (Mrt) facies. (4) Mudrock/fine-grained sandstone (Fl) facies. B. Stratigraphic column of Location 3. C. Location 3 contact between unpolished/unstriated granite basement (1) and onlapping Dms facies (2) indicated by red solid line. Rock hammer (28 cm) for scale.




Fig. 2.10. A. Thin coal lenses in the diamictite (Dms) facies at Location 3. B. Outcrop at Location 1 with root-trace-bearing mudrock facies overlying the diamictite facies (Dmm). Rock hammer (28 cm) for scale. C. Root-trace-bearing mudrock facies. D. Carbonaceous siltstone rip-up clast in Dmm facies at Location 1. Rock hammer (28 cm) for scale. E. Soft-sediment deformation of siltstone raft in Dmm facies at Location 1. Rock hammer (28 cm) for scale.




Fig. 2.11. Root-trace-bearing mudstone (Mrt) facies and heterolithic bioturbated (mSb) facies. A. Root traces within Mrt facies at Location 3. B. Root traces within Mrt facies in core LA-14. C. Heterolithic bioturbated beds in core IB-94. D. Bioturbation with concave-up spreite (white arrows) in core LA-14.




Fig. 2.12. Cross section of Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys. Vertical exaggeration is 140x and datum (dashed blue line) is based on lowermost coal beds. U-Pb ages (red stars) are from Simas et al. (2012) and Griffiths et al. (2017).

Location 1 (Morro do Papaleo)




Fig. 2.13. Stratigraphic columns from Location 1 (Morro do Papaleo) and Location 2 with detrital zircon sample locations indicated by red stars (column after Iannuzzi et al. (2006); Smaniotto et al. (2006)).




Fig. 2.14. Detrital zircon results from Mariana Pimentel Paleovalley. Kernel density estimates for samples MP and MDP6 (red; this study) compared to the Damara belt in Namibia (black; Foster et al., 2015) and the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin (blue; Canile et al., 2016).

Evolution of Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys
(Asselian-Artinskian)




Fig. 2.15. Block diagrams showing evolution of Mariana Pimentel and Leão paleovalleys during early Permian. A. Lacustrine/Estuarine facies association. B. Fluvial-dominated facies association. C. Restricted marine/estuarine facies association.

Chapter 3. Carboniferous glaciotectonized sediments in the southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil: Ice marginal dynamics and paleoclimate indicators

Abstract

Carboniferous glaciogenic strata (Itararé Gp.) in the southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil exhibit soft-sediment deformation features previously interpreted as glaciotectonism. These sediments were studied in detail to confirm that they were deformed by ice and to assess the nature of the glaciation, depositional environments, and paleoclimate in this region during the Carboniferous. Five outcrops were described along a railroad transect that contains a conglomerate and diamictite facies with striated and faceted clasts, a medium sandstone facies, a fine grained silt/clay rhythmite and mudstone facies with dropstones and diamictite pellets, a sandy cliniform facies, and a folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies. The depositional environment for these sediments is interpreted as an outwash fan and fan delta from an ice-proximal, transitional terrestrial-to-estuarine setting. Rb/K values from the rhythmites reflect a transition from a freshwater to brackish environment and the Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA) of the rhythmites ranges from 65-73, reflecting a relative increase in the degree of chemical weathering through time. Deformation features include widespread folding, thrust faults, hydrofractures, décollement surfaces, and piggyback fold-thrust complexes. The deformation is interpreted as evidence of a push-moraine complex formed by at least two complete ice advance/retreat cycles. The occurrence of décollement surfaces, plastically deformed proglacial sediments, and hydrofractures indicate a dynamic, warm-based or polythermal glacier. Abundant outwash sediments, seasonal rhythmite laminae, and the shift to average CIA values all support a temperate paleoclimate. Deformation structures indicate a NW direction of ice shove that is in agreement with the regional-scale hypothesis that a NNW flowing lobe extended out of Uruguay during the Carboniferous and terminated in the

southernmost Paraná Basin. This study demonstrates that late Paleozoic glaciation in this region was more dynamic than previously understood, with high frequency fluctuations in ice marginal positions.

3.1. Introduction

The preservation of pre-Cenozoic glaciotectionized sediments is rare and this is particularly true of glacially-deformed sediments deposited in terrestrial settings. However, when recognized, these features provide information that is useful for reconstructing the motion of ancient glaciers and determining the paleoclimate under which they existed (e.g. Visser and Loock, 1982; Rocha-Campos et al., 2000; Le Heron et al., 2005; Isbell, 2010; Busfield and Le Heron, 2013; Blignault and Theron, 2015; Vesely et al., 2015). Both subglacial and proglacial deformation structures contain clues about the thermal conditions of ancient glaciers (i.e. cold based vs. warm based vs. polythermal), the hydrology, the rheology of the sediments, and the direction of ice flow (e.g. Alley, 1989, 1991; Hart and Boulton, 1991; van der Wateren, 1995, 2002; van der Wateren et al., 2000; Phillips et al., 2002, 2007, 2008; van der Meer et al., 2003; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Evans et al., 2006; Lee and Phillips, 2008; Menzies et al., 2010). Furthermore, proglacial deformation structures are directly linked to glaciers' ice-marginal processes as well as the nature of the foreland into which they advanced (e.g. Hart and Boulton, 1991; van der Wateren, 1995, 2002; Bennett, 2001; Benediktsson et al., 2015; Phillips, 2018). Thus, combining observations of glaciotectionism with descriptions of primary sedimentology is especially helpful when interpreting deep-time glaciations.

Despite this, identifying glaciotectonism in pre-Cenozoic sediments can be difficult as some non-glacial mass transport processes (i.e. slumps and slides) can produce similar deformation related to shearing, folding, and thrusting (e.g. Visser et al., 1984). Slides and slumps can also create grooved surfaces that are commonly misidentified as subglacial in origin (e.g. Posamentier and Walker, 2006). Further complicating such interpretations, slumps and slides of glaciogenic sediments are common in glacially-influenced environments due to the high sedimentation rates and steep slopes of depositional surfaces (e.g. Hart and Roberts, 1994). Many diamictites, once considered subglacial tillites and used to estimate the extent of deep-time glaciations, are now reinterpreted as sediments that were redeposited in deep-water basins via mass transport processes or ice-rafting (e.g. Eyles, 1990; Eyles and Eyles, 2000; Isbell et al., 2012a; Mottin et al., 2018; Vesely et al., 2018). For these reasons, a number of questions must be addressed before evaluating deformed strata. This includes determining whether the paleoenvironment was marine or terrestrial and identifying whether the sediments were deformed by entirely non-glacial processes, processes indirectly related to glaciation, or by the direct movement of a grounded glacier. Specifically, this means distinguishing whether deformation was the product of slumps, slides, overriding ice, iceberg keels, and/or thrusting and ploughing in front of a glacier.

Late Paleozoic glacial deposits are both geographically and temporally widespread, providing an ideal test for recognizing, describing, and interpreting glaciotectonism in deep time. The late Paleozoic ice age (LPIA; Famennian - Wuchiapingian) was the last major icehouse of the Phanerozoic and the only pre-Cenozoic glaciation with a complex terrestrial biota (e.g. Isbell et al., 2003, 2012b; Fielding et al., 2008; Montañez and Poulsen, 2013; Frank et al., 2015). This has made the LPIA an important time interval for studying the feedbacks between glacial extent,

climate, and other Earth systems. Insight into these complex relationships requires a detailed understanding of the extent, location, and nature (i.e. thermal regime, confined vs. unconfined) of glaciers during the LPIA.

Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1997) identified compressively-deformed, late Paleozoic glacial strata on the southernmost margin of the Paraná Basin (Brazil), which they interpreted as proglacial, terrestrial deposits that were deformed by a combination of glaciotectonism and/or mass-transport processes. LPIA glaciation remains poorly understood in this region of west-central Gondwana as there are multiple competing hypotheses regarding the paleoenvironment (marine vs. terrestrial), the extent and location of ice centers (multiple smaller ice centers vs. a single massive ice sheet), and the paleoclimate (temperate, warm based glaciation vs. polar, cold based glaciation) (e.g. Frakes and Crowell, 1972; Crowell and Frakes, 1975; Visser, 1993; Santos et al., 1996; Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1997; Crowell, 1999; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Tedesco et al., 2016; Fedorchuk et al., 2018).

Therefore, the aims of this study are to: (1) better characterize the architecture and sedimentology of these deformed glacial deposits, (2) distinguish the processes involved in their deposition and determine whether the deformation fits the criteria for glaciotectonism, and (3) decipher what this tells us about the nature of glaciation and the paleoclimate of west-central Gondwana during the Carboniferous. These objectives were accomplished by describing multiple stratigraphic sections through the outcrops in question, measuring the orientation of meso- to macro-scale deformation structures and paleocurrent indicators, evaluating thin section evidence, and examining the geochemistry of the sediments.

3.2. Geologic setting and location

The Paraná Basin is an intracratonic basin that covers much of southern Brazil and extends into Uruguay, Paraguay, and Argentina (Fig. 3.1A). Basin fill was deposited from the Ordovician to the Cretaceous, containing a detailed record of glaciation during the Carboniferous and early Permian. Paraná Basin glacial sediments from the Carboniferous and Permian are part of the Itararé Gp. In subsurface descriptions (basin-wide), the Itararé Gp. is divided into three formations (oldest to youngest): the Lagoa Azul, the Campo Mourão, and the Taciba (e.g. França and Potter, 1991).

In the study area (southernmost margin of the Paraná Basin), Taciba Fm. glacial sediments rest unconformably on top of Proterozoic igneous and metamorphic terranes known as the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (RGS) as well as the sedimentary rocks of the Neoproterozoic to early Cambrian Camaquã Basin (Fig. 3.1B). The RGS was part of a paleotopographic high during the late Paleozoic and the glacial sediments in this region are highly discontinuous, occurring in isolated patches on the western half of the Shield (Fig. 3.1B). This is likely due to erosion and differential uplift during post-glacial times or preservation in paleotopographic depressions (e.g. Mau, 1960; Martin, 1961; Santos et al., 1996; Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1997). Meanwhile, the eastern half of the RGS contains no preserved direct evidence of glaciation (Fedorchuk et al., 2018). While the onset of glaciation in the Paraná Basin is poorly constrained, U-Pb ages collected from postglacial tonsteins suggest that the ice center on the southernmost margin of the Paraná Basin had receded from the RGS by the end of the Carboniferous. This is based on the fact that the oldest post-glacial sediments (Rio Bonito Fm.), which unconformably overlie the Itararé Gp. in this area, were dated at ~298 Ma, close to the

Gzhelian/Asselian boundary (e.g. Cagliari et al., 2016; Griffis et al., 2018). Biostratigraphically, the upper Itararé Gp. and Rio Bonito Fm. interval on the RGS corresponds to the range of the *Vittatina costabilis* Interval Zone (VcIZ), which contains the first occurrence of glossopterids (Souza and Marques-Toigo, 2005; Iannuzzi et al., 2010). The appearance of *Vittatina* and bissacate grains has been used to define the Carboniferous-Permian boundary by palynologists throughout Gondwana (Stephenson, 2008). Although *Vittatina* is found in the post-glacial Rio Bonito Fm., it remains unclear whether a latest Carboniferous (Itararé Gp.) *Vittatina*-type association occurs on the RGS.

The outcrops described in this study are ~85 km N of the Brazil-Uruguay border and were first described by Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1997). They occur along a ~2.5 km stretch of railroad tracks located ~3 km NW of Ibaré, part of the Lavras do Sul municipality, Rio Grande do Sul State (Brazil) (Fig. 3.1C). The railroad cut is oriented NW-SE and there are five separate locations along the cut, listed here in numerical order (Figs. 3.1 and 3.2). Location 1 is the southernmost outcrop and Location 5 is the northernmost outcrop. Locations 1, 2, and 3 are laterally equivalent, as beds can be traced horizontally between these sections. Similarly, Locations 4 and 5 are laterally equivalent (Fig. 3.2). There is a 1.5 km covered section between Locations 1-3 and Locations 4-5. Locations 1-3 are ~10 m higher in elevation compared to Locations 4 and 5 (Fig. 3.2) with beds exhibiting no overall dip (excluding discrete deformed zones). All the railroad track localities occur within the the Ibaré lineament, a major NW-SE trending Proterozoic transcurrent shear zone that separates two terranes of the RGS.

3.3. Previous work

Glacial sediments on the RGS have been recognized for some time (e.g. Mau, 1960; Martin, 1961; Delaney, 1964; Corrêa da Silva, 1978; Santos et al., 1996; Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1997). Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1997) described a grooved surface only ~8 km from the study area that was formed by ice overriding soft sediment. Such grooved surfaces have been described across the western half of the RGS with N-S and NE-SW orientations (e.g. Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1982, 1997). Although some of these grooved surfaces lack evidence of relative motion, other outcrops contain clasts that were ploughed towards the north. Hence, most studies of late Paleozoic glaciation on the RGS have concluded that an unconfined lobe flowed towards the NNW out of Uruguay during the Carboniferous (e.g. Frakes and Crowell, 1972, Crowell and Frakes, 1975; Amato, 2017; Assine et al., 2018; Griffis et al., 2019; Vesely et al., 2018). This interpretation is supported by recently collected magnetic fabrics from some of the grooved surfaces that lack ploughed clasts that also show a N direction of ice flow (Amato, 2017). However, it has also been suggested that some grooved surfaces on the southern RGS might indicate ice flowing to the S or SE, implying an ice center on the RGS that radiated outward in all directions (e.g. Santos et al., 1996; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008). Other authors have interpreted (confined) outlet glaciers, restricted to a network of paleovalleys, on the RGS that were draining westward from an ice center over Africa (e.g. Mau, 1960; Tedesco et al., 2016). In contrast to this, a recent review of the sedimentary fill of these paleovalleys on the eastern RGS was found to lack clear evidence for glaciation (Fedorchuk et al., 2018). Finally, others have depicted a long-lived, unconfined ice sheet, originating in Africa, that extended

across the entire Paraná Basin (e.g. Gesicki et al., 1998; Riccomini and Velázquez, 1999; Gesicki et al., 2002; Starck and Papa, 2006).

Additionally, while almost all recent work has interpreted temperate, warm-based glaciation in the Paraná Basin based on the paleolatitude (~45-55°S; Torsvik and Cocks, 2013) and facies assemblage (e.g. Santos et al., 1996; Trosdorf et al., 2005; Vesely et al., 2015), some authors have described ice shelves from the adjacent Greater Karoo Basin in South Africa (e.g. Visser, 1989). This could imply colder conditions on the nearby and less studied RGS (southernmost margin of basin) since modern ice-shelves only exist under an isotherm of less than -5°C (e.g. Cook et al., 2005). Finally, several studies have suggested that the thin patchy rhythmite facies deposited on the RGS is terrestrial in nature (deposited in ice-marginal lakes) (e.g. Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior, 1997). However, the presence of *Tasmanites* in some of these rhythmite beds has been used to suggest a marine or marine-influenced environment (e.g. Santos et al., 1996).

3.4. Methods

Outcrops along the railroad tracks were photographed and studied using standard sedimentology field techniques. Stratigraphic sections were measured at each of the five locations and observations were noted of the following: grain size, sorting, sedimentary structures, lithology, facies, paleocurrent orientations, fold axes orientations, thrust sheet orientations, nature of contacts, sediment body geometries, relationships with adjacent strata, and unit thicknesses. Twelve samples (<0.5 kg) were collected across all five locations for thin

section analysis of microfabrics. Facies analysis was conducted based on sedimentary structures, lithologies, and key features observed in both macro and micro scales.

Fourteen samples were collected at an interval of every 10 cm from rhythmites at Location 3 for geochemical analyses using X-ray fluorescence (XRF) and laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS). Samples were taken from 10-20 cm in the outcrop to avoid recently weathered surfaces. Both XRF and LA-ICP-MS data was collected at Michigan State University according to the procedures of Rooney et al. (2012, 2013). Fused disk samples were analyzed on a Bruker S4 Pioneer (4 kW wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometer) for major element oxides. Trace element data was collected from these samples by LA-ICP-MS using a Thermo Scientific ICAP Q quadrupole inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer connected to a Photon Machines Analyte G2 193 nm excimer laser. Complete XRF and LA-ICP-MS data can be found in Appendix C.

3.4.1. Rb/K paleosalinity proxy

Rb/K ratios were measured from rhythmites at Location 3. These values were used as a paleosalinity proxy (e.g. Campbell and Williams, 1965; Scheffler et al., 2003; Scheffler et al., 2006; Ocakoğlu et al., 2016; Ye et al., 2016). This proxy is based on the fact that Rb^+ (1.48 Å) has a similar ionic radius to K^+ (1.33 Å) and, therefore, can be substituted for the K^+ in illite (Ye et al., 2016). Since Rb is significantly more abundant in seawater (~0.12 ppm) compared to freshwater (~0.0013 ppm), Rb/K ratios are typically higher in marine clays compared to lacustrine clays (e.g. Campbell and Williams, 1965; Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Rb/K ratios $<4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ are interpreted as freshwater and Rb/K ratios $>6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ are interpreted as marine, in which

ratios in between these values are interpreted as representing brackish conditions (e.g. Campbell and Williams, 1965; Scheffler et al., 2003; Scheffler et al., 2006). It should be noted that Rb/K ratios are also affected by chemical weathering, in which Rb/K values tend to increase slightly in response to higher illite and kaolinite content (e.g. Harriss and Adams, 1966; Nesbitt et al., 1980; Scheffler et al., 2006). This is due to the larger Rb⁺ ion being preferentially retained on clay minerals (Nesbitt et al., 1980). In this study, Rb/K values were used to help constrain the depositional setting of the study area by determining if the rhythmites were deposited under freshwater, brackish, or marine conditions.

3.4.2. Chemical index of alteration (CIA)

Rhythmites at Location 3 were also evaluated using the chemical index of alteration (CIA) as a proxy for the degree of chemical weathering (e.g. Nesbitt and Young, 1982; 1996). The CIA is defined as $\{(Al_2O_3)/(Al_2O_3 + K_2O + Na_2O + CaO)\} \cdot 100$, in which a value of 50 represents unweathered feldspar and 100 represents kaolinite. Typical mudstone values are ~70-75 (e.g. Nesbitt and Young, 1982). Chemical weathering of labile minerals causes the leaching of Ca²⁺, K⁺, and Na⁺, which leads to the formation of more stable minerals such as illite, kaolinite, hematite, and goethite (Nesbitt and Young, 1982; Nesbitt et al., 1996). Conversely, physical weathering processes such as glacial erosion can break down grains into smaller sizes without altering the chemical composition and mineralogy of the sediments. Therefore, the CIA can often distinguish between dry and cool glacial intervals in which the degree of chemical weathering on a landscape is relatively low (compared to physical weathering), versus wet and humid interglacial or post-glacial periods when chemical weathering is relatively high (e.g. Bahlburg

and Dobrzinski, 2011). This information was used in conjunction with the sedimentological evidence to help assess the paleoclimate of the RGS during the late Paleozoic.

3.5. Facies analysis and process interpretations

Five lithofacies (Facies A-E) were observed at Locations 1-5 (Table 3.1). These include a conglomerate and diamictite facies (A), a sandy clinoforn facies (B), a medium sandstone facies (C), a folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies (D), and a rhythmite and mudstone with outsized clasts facies (E). Classification of poorly sorted sediments was based on the criteria of Hambrey and Glasser (2003).

Conglomerate and diamictite facies (Facies A)

The conglomerate and diamictite facies (Facies A) outcrops on the southern end of the study area (Locations 1, 2, and 3). Facies A is comprised of grain-supported, sandy conglomerate and gravelly sandstone interbedded with matrix-supported, clast-rich sandy diamictite. Clasts range from granule-to-cobble sized, are sub-rounded to well-rounded, and have diverse compositions that include abundant alkali-feldspar granite, diorite, schist, phyllite, gneiss, quartzite, and sandstone. Some clasts exhibit faint striations and facets, which is consistent with subglacial abrasion during part of their transport history (Fig. 3.3A). Conglomerate beds are erosionally based and exhibit crude bedding and cross stratification in some areas (Fig. 3.3B). Similarly, interbedded gravelly sandstones contain ripples that indicate flow towards the NW (mean azimuth of all cross stratification in Facies A and C=312±10.2°, Fig. 3.2). Conglomerate

and diamictite beds range from 4 cm-1 m thick, are laterally extensive, and do not contain channelized geometries. At Locations 2 and 3, this facies shows evidence of deformation in the form of a décollement surface and two forward propagating fold-thrust complexes (i.e. piggyback thrusting) that are 1-3 m high and ~50 m apart (Fig. 3.4A-C). The dip of the thrust planes increases to the SSE of each complex, away from the frontal fault. Fold axes are oriented NE-SW and all thrust sheets dip towards the SSE (Fig. 3.2). At localities 2 and 3, Facies A is overlain by the dipping fine sandstone beds of Facies B and the rhythmites of Facies E. One critical observation is that the basal rhythmites (Facies E), which overlie Facies A at Locations 2 and 3, are undeformed and do not drape over the fold-thrust complexes. Rather, the horizontally-laminated and undeformed rhythmites, which occur between the complexes, onlap onto the limbs of the anticlinal fold-thrust complexes before eventually overtopping them (Fig. 3.4A).

Facies A is interpreted as a combination of coarse grained outwash and cohesive debris flows from a proglacial outwash fan. The fact that undeformed, basal rhythmites (Facies E) onlap onto folded and faulted conglomerate and diamictite beds (Facies A) demonstrates that deformation of this facies occurred after deposition but prior to deposition of the overlying rhythmites and mudstone with outsized clasts (Facies E). Since striations and facets are still preserved on some clasts, it is likely that deposition occurred in a glacially-influenced setting. A terrestrial environment would suggest that the conglomerate and gravelly sandstone beds were deposited by unconfined braided streams on the fan surface while a subaqueous environment implies that the sediments were deposited as part of a fan delta or as jet-efflux deposits at the exit of submerged sub/englacial tunnels. These interpretations are based on the grain-supported beds with cross stratification and sub-rounded to well-rounded clasts that indicate transport by bedload dominated flows. The lack of evidence for well-established, channelized flow supports

the interpretation of a poorly confined system with abundant sediment supply. The interbedded, matrix-supported diamictite beds are viewed as cohesive debris flows on the fan surface that were comprised of resedimented outwash. These debris flows may have been triggered during intervals of intense meltwater discharge or the sliding of debris off the glacier.

Sandy cliniform facies (Facies B)

The sandy cliniform facies (Facies B) consists of thin (~1-4 cm thick), undeformed, siltstone to fine sandstone beds with sharp contacts that are dipping ~25° to the NW (Fig. 3.5). Facies B is observed at Location 2 where it overlies Facies A. Flat-lying medium-grained sandstone, diamictite, and conglomerate beds (Facies A and C) at Location 1 grade laterally into the thin, siltstone and fine sandstone beds (Facies B) at Location 2, which dip steeply for ~10 m before flattening out and grading laterally into silt/clay rhythmites (Facies E). The entire package of dipping cliniforms is only ~2-3 m in height.

Facies B is interpreted as a small Gilbert-type delta prograding into a shallow body of water. The relatively flat lying conglomerate, diamictite, and sandstone beds at Location 1 are interpreted as the topsets, the steeply dipping siltstone and fine sandstone beds are interpreted as foresets, and the siltstone beds that flatten out and grade laterally into rhythmites are interpreted as the bottomsets. The fine sandstone cliniforms exhibit a rising delta-brink trajectory (Fig. 3.5). This stacking pattern suggests a slow and steady rise in base level (e.g. Winsemann et al., 2018). Sandstone beds that grade into silt/clay rhythmites are indicative of a delta building into a standing body of water where settling from suspension is the dominant form of sedimentation. When streams enter a still standing body of freshwater (of similar density to the effluent stream)

the water mixes and sediments quickly drop from suspension, creating steep depositional surfaces (~25° in this case) such as the dipping sandstone beds observed here (e.g. Bhattacharya, 2006).

Medium sandstone facies (Facies C)

The medium sandstone facies (Facies C) occurs at Locations 1, 4, and 5. This facies consists of undeformed, erosionally based, fine- to medium-grained sandstone and gravelly sandstone with mostly structureless beds, although rare cross-stratified beds occur. Facies C is laterally extensive, lacks evidence of channelization, and is interbedded with conglomerate and diamictite beds at Location 1. At Locations 4 and 5, Facies C occurs at the base of the section. Sandstone beds range in thickness from 4 cm to 0.5 m thick. Facies C is interpreted as sandy outwash related to Facies A from an outwash fan setting. This interpretation is based on the rare cross-stratified beds that indicate tractive flows, lack of established channels, and the fact that Facies C is interbedded with conglomerate and diamictite beds at Location 1.

Folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies (Facies D)

The folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies (Facies D) is observed at Locations 4 and 5 where it overlies Facies C. Facies D is comprised of ~1-20 cm thick fine- to medium-grained sandstone beds with intercalated mudstone beds of similar thickness. Some beds exhibit grading from sand to mud. This facies fines upwards, as beds near the base of Facies D are almost entirely composed of sandstone, while mudstone beds increase vertically in both

abundance and thickness. Facies D beds are highly deformed and exhibit both intense folding and faulting (Figs. 3.6 and 3.7). Folds increase vertically in tightness and asymmetry above a décollement surface, below which lies the undeformed sandstone beds of Facies C (Fig. 3.7A). Folds directly above the décollement surface begin as concentric and upright and become similar, overturned, and recumbent towards the top of the facies. Small parasitic folds occur in some folded beds towards the top of the facies (Figs. 3.7B and 3.7C). All the folds at Locations 4 and 5 have axes oriented NE-SW, indicating a NW-SE direction of shortening (Fig. 3.2). Folded sandstone and mudstone beds are dissected by listric thrust faults at Location 4 that originate from the underlying décollement surface and cut through the entire deformed section (Figs 3.6 and 3.7). Thrust planes are all observed dipping to the SE, indicating a NW direction of movement (mean vector of thrusting= $301\pm 24^\circ$, Fig. 3.2).

Folded beds follow the same pattern of deformation throughout the entire interval, without breaks in deformation. Furthermore, some thrust faults appear concurrent with folding as drag folds are found along fault planes. This indicates a period of time when brittle and plastic deformation occurred simultaneously (Fig. 3.6). Meanwhile, smaller normal and reverse faults clearly cross-cut the folded beds without any evidence of plastic deformation, suggesting a later stage of purely brittle faulting (Figs. 3.7D and 3.7E). At Location 5, several ~1-2 m wide medium sandstone blocks (apparently detached) can be seen within the folded sandstone and mudstone beds, which drape around them (Figs. 3.8A and 3.8B).

Facies D is interpreted as prodeltaic sediments from an outwash fan delta. Sandstone beds represent relatively higher energy conditions and may have been produced by tractive hyperpycnal flows that occurred during intervals of increased discharge. Grading between sandstone and mudstone beds suggests that the mudstone interbeds may represent suspended

sediment from waning hyperpycnal flows or low energy background settling from suspension into a standing body of water. The fining upwards trend within Facies D is interpreted as the delta back-stepping in response to increasing accommodation or decreasing sediment supply.

Deformation of Facies D is interpreted to have occurred after deposition but prior to lithification, likely with most folding and large-scale thrust faulting resulting from a sustained event that shoved the sediments to the NW. This interpretation is based on the fact that the facies lacks breaks in deformation that would indicate discrete slumping events. The vertical deformation profile (increasing intensity of folding vertically) is interpreted as the product of the variable rheology of the sediments, which is related to the fining upwards trend in grain size. An alternate interpretation is that vertical change in fold morphology represents the strain profile of an overriding mass. This hypothesis is not favored because there is no truncation of folds or typical deforming bed profile (no sheared or homogenized layer) that would indicate an overriding mass such as a glacier or large slide block. Small-scale, brittle, normal and reverse faults could be the result of dewatering or collapse after the cessation of compression. The (apparently) detached sandstone blocks may represent small slide blocks that were transported down the depositional surface of the fan delta or, alternatively, they may be sediments that were squeezed and extruded between folds and are not actually detached when viewed three-dimensionally.

Rhythmites and mudstone with outsized clasts facies (Facies E)

Thin (mm-scale) silt/clay rhythmite couplets and massive mudstone with outsized clasts (Facies E) occur at Locations 2, 3, and 5. At Locations 2 and 3, the rhythmites overlie the folded

and faulted conglomerate and diamictite beds of Facies A (Fig. 3.4). At these two locations, the rhythmites are undeformed but occur between the fold-thrust complexes comprised of conglomerate and diamictite, suggesting that the rhythmites were deposited after the deformation of the underlying facies. These rhythmites also onlap onto the limbs of the folded and faulted conglomerate and diamictite beds rather than draping over them (Fig. 3.4). There were not any observed slump features off the relatively steep sides of the fold-thrust complexes. At Location 5, the rhythmites grade vertically to massive mudstone with outsized clasts and do not have this same onlapping stratal relationship as Locations 2 and 3. Here, the rhythmites conformably overlie the folded mudstone and sandstone beds of Facies D and contain brittle faults and dewatering features near their base.

The rhythmites themselves lack grading and consist of alternating light brown silt and dark brown clay laminae that occur as silt/clay couplets (Fig. 3.9A). There are planar, sharp contacts between both individual laminae and between laminae couplets (Fig. 3.9B). The light brown silt laminae are typically thicker (~0.1-6 mm) than the dark brown/black clay laminae (~0.1-3 mm) and the combined couplets are ~0.25-6.0 mm in thickness (Fig. 3.9C). The average thickness of the couplets is $\sim 1.14 \pm 1.04$ mm (1σ) (Fig. 3.9C). Some silt laminae contain very thin (~0.1 mm) interlaminae of clay (Fig. 3.9D). Clay laminae often contain a layer of fine sand grains on the top and/or bottom of the laminae (Fig. 3.9D and 3.9E). Such fine-grained sand layers only occur on the outer edges of the clay laminae or within the clay laminae and are not found within the center of the clay or silt laminae (Fig. 3.9D and 3.9E). Outsized clasts are common and are dispersed throughout Facies E (Fig. 3.10). These outsized clasts range from granule-to-cobble-sized, are mostly composed of granite, and can be observed piercing through underlying laminae (Figs. 3.10A-C). Outsized clasts that pierce laminae suggest that the clasts

were rafted and then dropped on to the substrate from above. Also common, and scattered throughout the rhythmites, are rounded, spherical to prolate, diamictite pellets (Figs. 3.10D-F). These pellets are typically 2-3 mm in diameter and have a clay and silt matrix with fine sand-sized quartz grains scattered evenly around a central clast or clast cluster. Some pellets show evidence of internal clast rotation around the central core (Fig 3.10D). Rhythmites from location 5 are deformed within a 1-2 m thick interval above Facies D, with small (cm-scale) normal and reverse faults, brecciated zones, and fractures that cross-cut through the rhythmites (Fig. 3.11). Fractures are filled with sediment, creating small clastic dikes and sills that preferentially follow the weak boundaries between silt and clay laminae (Figs. 3.11A and 3.11B). Clastic dikes and sills are filled with brecciated rhythmite clasts, lithic fragments, and quartz sand grains.

Facies E is interpreted as meltwater-fed, lacustrine and/or estuarine sediments. The rhythmites were likely deposited by settling from suspension into a standing body of water rather than as density underflows. This conclusion is based on the lack of ripples, rip-up clasts, or erosive contacts that would indicate flows in contact with the substrate. Rhythmite couplets could potentially represent diurnal, annual, decadal, centennial, or even millennial-scale cycles related to a diverse set of biological, tidal, meteorological, or climatic variables (e.g. Church and Gilbert, 1975; Ó Cofaigh and Dowdeswell, 2001; Franco and Hinnov, 2012; Zavala and Arcuri, 2016; Zolitschka et al., 2015). However, diurnal cycles typically form thin, normally graded rhythmites with sharp basal contacts, representing a gradual change in discharge throughout the day or a waning surge-like flow (e.g. Ashley, 1975; Phillips and Auton, 2000). Conversely, like the rhythmites seen here, seasonal cycles typically produce distinct sand, silt, or clay laminae with planar sharp contacts between them, resulting from marked differences in sedimentation during summer and winter months (e.g. Ashley, 1975; Phillips and Auton, 2000; Zolitschka et

al., 2015). The rhythmite couplet thicknesses described here are consistent with those of varves in modern proglacial environments (e.g. Ashley, 1975; Leonard, 1997; Loso, 2009; Zolitschka et al., 2015). Furthermore, an interpretation of seasonal or annual cycles (i.e. true varves) is favored for these particular rhythmites based on the fine sand layers that occur on either side of the clay laminae (Figs. 3.9D and 3.9E). These fine sand layers are interpreted as either ice-rafted grains, wind-blown grains scattered on a frozen water body, or the product of rapid snow melt discharge and, thereby, suggest seasonal freeze-thaw cycles. In this scenario, the clay layers were deposited when the lakes froze during winter, meltwater discharge declined, and sedimentation rates were low. The fine sand grains (the largest grains) only occur on either side of the clay laminae or within the outer edges of the clay laminae. Therefore, these may represent early and late ice formation/break-up or snow melt that scattered the sand grains via ice rafting or sudden meltwater discharge during intervals of overall low sedimentation rates (e.g. Zolitschka et al., 2015). Meanwhile, the silt layers may represent summer sedimentation, when relatively coarser (silt) grains are transported into the environment due to overall higher meltwater discharge.

The prevalence of large (up to cobble-sized) and abundant granite dropstones, piercing through silt/clay rhythmites, supports the interpretation that ice-rafting was common in the depositional environment. Furthermore, diamictite pellets are often cited as evidence of ancient glacially influenced sedimentation (e.g. Ovenshine, 1970; Chumakov et al., 2011; Hoffman et al., 2011; Menzies and Meer, 2017). These pellets are often deposited by ice rafting in bodies of water that are in direct contact with the ice margin (e.g. Goldschmidt et al., 1992). Cowan et al. (2012) suggest two possible mechanisms for the formation of diamictite pellets within a glacier's deforming bed. One is a mechanical model that involves fracturing and brecciation of subglacial till under low pore-water, high shear, conditions. Fractured till clasts are rotated and rounded

when the glacier thickens and basal melting occurs, which increases pore-water pressure. A thermal model for pellet formation suggests that basal freezing occurs preferentially in large pore spaces where unconsolidated till is frozen and a pellet is formed. Basal melting and thawing under distributed shear stress causes rotation and consolidation of the pellets which are entrained upward into a debris rich zone (Cowan et al., 2012). Both models suggest that diamictite pellets are evidence of a subglacial deforming bed under freezing-thawing conditions, which may be representative of both stagnant and fast moving ice. Therefore, diamictite pellets have been considered by some authors as evidence for ancient ice streams (Piotrowski et al., 2006; Cowan et al., 2012). Despite this, Gilbert (1990) points out that diamictite pellets can form under sea or lake ice without direct glacial influence and be rafted seasonally when the ice breaks up.

Deformed rhythmites at Location 5 are consistent with hydrofracturing and microfaulting of partially cohesive sediments. The rhythmites must have been deposited for long enough to be compacted and partially lithified to deform in a brittle fashion. An alternate possibility is that the rhythmites were frozen when hydrofractured. The hydrofracturing of subglacial or proglacial sediments is commonly produced as glaciers advance over their own deposits, creating high pore-water pressures under a confining layer, such as the silt and clay rhythmites observed here (e.g. Phillips and Auton, 2000; Phillips et al., 2007; Roberts et al., 2009; Phillips et al., 2013; Ravier et al., 2015). The pressure gradient from underneath a glacier, radiating outwards to its margins, will drive meltwater to the ice margin (e.g. Boulton et al., 1999). Once the pore-water pressure exceeds the minimum cohesive stress of the confining proglacial sediments then hydrofracturing occurs (e.g. Phillips and Auton, 2000).

3.6. Geochemistry of rhythmites

Rhythmite (Facies E) samples were acquired from Location 3 for geochemical analysis (see Appendix C). Fourteen samples (s1-s14) were collected at a sampling interval of 10 cm, starting at the base of Facies E and moving upwards. Rb/K ratios were used as a proxy for the paleosalinity. These ratios show a gradual increase in values vertically that range from $3.30 \cdot 10^{-3}$ to $4.95 \cdot 10^{-3}$ (Fig. 3.12). The three basal samples (s1-s3) contain Rb/K values of $<4.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$, which is considered typical of a freshwater depositional environment (e.g. Campbell and Williams, 1965). The rest of the values (s4-s14) have Rb/K values between $4.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $6.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$ that are considered more indicative of a brackish environment (e.g. Campbell and Williams, 1965; Fig. 3.12). However, the increase in Rb/K values could also reflect an increase in chemical weathering. Chemical index of alteration values (CIA) were also calculated from these same samples (s1-s14) as a proxy for the degree of chemical weathering. CIA data shows a similar trend to Rb/K values, with a gradual increase vertically through the section (Fig. 3.12). The values range from 65.4 at the base of the sampling interval to 73.7 near the top (Fig. 3.12). The two basal CIA values (s1-s2) are below average shale values (i.e. 70-75, Nesbitt and Young, 1982), while the rest (s3-s14) are within the range of average shale. Therefore, the Rb/K and CIA data may reflect a shift from freshwater to brackish conditions, a gradual transition from an environment dominated by physical weathering to a more average chemical weathering of the landscape, or some combination of these two trends.

3.7. Horizontal variability of facies and deformation

Trends in grain size and deformation were observed horizontally across the five outcrops (~2.5 km). In general, there is a fining of sediments towards the NW (Fig. 3.2). Location 1 (southernmost outcrop) contains coarse grained beds of diamictite, conglomerate, and medium sandstone (Facies A and C). At Locations 2 and 3, coarse-grained conglomerates, diamictites, and sandstones are overlain by rhythmites of Facies E. Locations 4 and 5 have beds of medium-grained sandstone (Facies C) at the base of the outcrops below a décollement surface. Interbedded mudstone and sandstone beds (Facies D) overlie the décollement surface. At Location 5, the interbedded mudstone and sandstone beds are overlain by ~4 m of the silt/clay rhythmites of Facies E (Fig. 3.2).

Deformation at Locations 2 and 3 consists of fold-thrust complexes (~50 m apart and 1-3 m in height) that originate from an underlying décollement surface (Fig. 3.4). Location 4 has short wavelength (<1 m) folds that are relatively small compared to the anticlinal fold-thrust complexes at Locations 2 and 3 (Figs. 3.6 and 3.7). Location 4 is also dissected by thrust faults originating from the underlying décollement surface, while Location 5 does not contain thrust faults. Deformation at Location 5 consists entirely of short (<1 m) wavelength folds, dissected by normal and reverse faults, within a vertical deformation profile.

3.8. Discussion

The outcrops described in this study are interpreted as part of an outwash fan and fan delta system from a transitional terrestrial-to-estuarine, ice-proximal setting. These sediments

were likely deformed by a polythermal or warm-based glacier frozen to the foreland, which exhibited episodic stagnation and advances. The pattern of deformation is consistent with a multi-crested push-moraine complex formed during at least two glacial advances and retreat cycles. Highly pressurized groundwater, abundant outwash, and possible seasonal freeze/thaw cyclicity is suggestive of a temperate paleoclimate.

3.8.1. Interpretation of depositional environment

The sediments described are interpreted to have been deposited in an ice-proximal depositional environment. Evidence for this includes striated and faceted clasts that are indicative of subglacial abrasion, rhythmites with outsized clasts that pierce laminae (i.e. dropstones), and diamictite pellets. Diamictite pellets and dropstones are often cited as evidence of ice rafting and are common in glacially-influenced settings. Additionally, CIA values of ~65 from the basal rhythmites are below that of average shale (~70-75) and are consistent with both modern and ancient glacial deposits where physical weathering is dominant over chemical weathering (e.g. Nesbitt and Young, 1982). The interpretation of a glacially-influenced environment is also in agreement with Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1997) who described grooved surfaces interpreted as subglacial within the same facies described here ~8 km from the study area.

Diamictites, conglomerates, and sandstones from Facies A and C are interpreted as outwash fan deposits since they show evidence of bedload dominated transport in the form of cross-stratification and ripples. They also contain poorly sorted sediments that alternate between grain and matrix support beds, suggesting alternations between high viscosity debris flows and

flowing water. Paleocurrent orientations from Facies A and C indicate flow towards the NW (mean azimuth= $312\pm 10.2^\circ$), down the axis of the Ibaré Lineament. The interbedded mudstones and sandstones of Facies D are interpreted as outwash fan delta sediments deposited into a standing body of water. Fine-grained silt/clay rhythmites (Facies E) are interpreted as glacially-influenced lacustrine and/or estuarine sediments that were deposited primarily by settling from suspension and ice-rafting. The sand/silt clinofolds of Facies B are interpreted to be a small gilbert-type delta that is time equivalent to the rhythmites of Facies E. This is based on the fact that the dipping sand/silt beds can be traced laterally into the rhythmites of Facies E.

Although a solely estuarine setting cannot be ruled out, a transitional lacustrine to estuarine environment is favored for the rhythmites and mudstone of Facies E. The undeformed, horizontally-bedded, basal rhythmites onlap (rather than drape over) thrust and folded beds of underlying sediments at Locations 2 and 3, which suggests that the anticlinal fold-thrust complexes of Facies A existed as positive topographic features with standing water between them. There do not appear to be any slump features off the relatively steep sides of these deformation features as would be expected if the sediments were deposited from above on an inclined surface. Furthermore, the steeply dipping sandy clinofolds of Facies B are only ~2-3 m high, laterally grading into rhythmites within a synclinal fold, indicating that they were prograding into a shallow body of water. The rising delta-brink geometry of the gilbert-type delta suggests a gradual rise in accommodation within these small sub-basins (e.g. Winsemann et al., 2018). It is common for small proglacial lakes to form between push/thrust ridges on an outwash plain or directly at the ice margin during ice retreat or stagnation. Analogous shallow, interconnected glacio-fluvial-lacustrine sediments can be found in both modern and Pleistocene deformational moraines (e.g. Ashley, 2002; Roberts et al., 2009; Benediktsson et al., 2015).

Based on the hypothesis that the rhythmite couplets are annual varves with an average thickness of ~1.14 mm, the rhythmite packages (~1.5-3 m thick) observed in this study would represent ~1,315-2,631 years of sedimentation. This rough estimate is within the range of varve chronologies collected from modern proglacial lakes (e.g. Ashley, 1975; Leonard, 1997; Loso, 2009; Larsen et al., 2011). Additionally, the Rb/K values of the basal rhythmites at Location 2 (s1-s3) are $<4.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$, which is typical of sediments deposited in a freshwater environment. Both the CIA and Rb/K values increase vertically through the section. Increasing CIA values are possibly related to climate amelioration and increased chemical weathering of the landscape during glacial retreat. Meanwhile, the increase in Rb/K values may reflect a marine inundation of the area following ice retreat. Similar glacio-lacustrine to estuarine transitions have been interpreted from paleovalleys on the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin based on changes in ichnology (e.g. Netto et al., 2009). However, an alternative interpretation to be considered is that the basal freshwater Rb/K values may be reflective of a surge in meltwater into a pre-existing estuarine environment due to the rapid retreat of the glacier. This interpretation is not favored here because the stratal relationships described above support small standing bodies of water between deformed ridges.

3.8.2. Glaciotectonic versus mass transport related deformation

Distinguishing glaciotectonic folding and thrusting from mass transport deformation is difficult and must be considered before addressing deformation processes. Therefore, the following criteria were considered for identification of glaciotectonized sediments: (1) truncation of underlying strata throughout the entire zone of deformation, (2) compressional features found

throughout the entire deposit, (3) décollement surfaces that ramp up and over truncated proglacial beds near the frontal thrust, (4) thrust complexes where older thrust sheets rest on top of younger thrust sheets (piggyback thrusting), (5) when older thrust sheets are near vertical due to rotation due to transport on younger thrust sheets during continued thrusting, (6) decreasing deformation in the direction of transport (Croot, 1987; Aber et al., 1989; Hart and Boulton, 1991; Isbell, 2010).

Conversely, the following criteria can be used to identify deformation related to slumps and slides: (1) truncation of underlying strata from rotational extension in areas adjacent to the slump-slide scarp, (2) deposits where the head of the slump/slide dips away from the headwall scarp, (3) at the toe of the slump/slide, the deposits typically rest on slopes that dip in the direction of sliding, (4) deposits are composed of one to several sheets and younger sheets rest on the backs of older sheets, (5) the occurrence of slump fold noses, (6) an increase in the degree of deformation in the direction of transport because compression occurs at the toe of the slump-slide, and (7) deformation concentrated near a basal shear zone (e.g. Isbell, 2010; Ogata et al., 2012).

The deformation described in this study fits with the criteria for glaciotectonism rather than slumps for several reasons. First, there is clear sedimentologic evidence of a fluctuating glacial margin in the form of striated/faceted clasts, rhythmites with dropstones, diamictite pellets and subglacial grooves. Within the deformed intervals, fairly unidirectional folds and thrusts, without breaks in deformation, suggest that the sediments were deformed by sustained events rather than multiple discrete events, which negates the interpretation of multiple smaller slumps. Assuming the entire succession deformed as a single large slump, there is no supporting evidence of detachment from the laterally equivalent undeformed beds (at Location 1).

Moreover, there is no evidence of a headwall scarp to trigger a large slump or evidence for a deep-water environment where large-scale slumps are common. Rather, evidence supporting a glaciotectonic origin to the deformation described includes: compressional features found throughout the entire succession, décollement surfaces that ramp over proglacial facies, examples of piggyback thrusting in which older thrust sheets becoming increasingly steeper on the backs of large folds and thrust beds, and decreasing deformation in the direction of sediment transport at Locations 2-5.

3.8.3. A late Paleozoic push-moraine complex

Push-moraines are defined broadly by Bennet and Glasser (2009) as “the product of constructional deformation of ice, sediment and/or rock to produce a ridge or ridges, transverse or oblique to the direction of ice flow in front of, at, or beneath an ice margin”. This definition of push-moraines is applied herein. However, it should be noted that features matching this description are also described by some authors as composite ridges, thrust-block moraines, thrust-block ridges, or thrust moraines (e.g. Benn and Evans, 1998). These features can be either terrestrial or marine (e.g. Boulton, 1986). Over the past few decades, push-moraines have become better characterized and they have been increasingly used to reconstruct Pleistocene ice dynamics (e.g. Bennet, 2001). However, few examples of push-moraines exist from deep-time glacial deposits (e.g. Le Heron et al., 2005; Isbell, 2010; Vesely et al., 2015) and it is even less common to find pre-Cenozoic terrestrial examples preserved.

Comparisons are frequently been made between push-moraines and “thin-skinned” orogenic belts in which both thrusting and folding occur throughout a relatively thin zone of deformation that is subjected to lateral stresses above a detachment surface (e.g. Croot, 1987).

There are some generally recognized conditions that favor the formation of push-moraines. This includes: (1) the coupling (freezing) of a glacier's snout to the foreland wedge due to the cessation of pressure melting, (2) the build-up of an outwash fan during ice-front stagnation, which a subsequently advancing glacier can push into and deform, (3) a reverse bedrock slope, which can also provide the required resistance to an advancing ice mass, and (4) the development of a décollement surface along a weak layer in the substrate, due to either high pressure groundwater and/or the presence of permafrost (e.g. Bennet, 2001; van der Wateren, 1995).

The deformed strata described in this study fit the criteria of Bennet and Glasser (2009) for identification as a late Paleozoic, multi-crested push-moraine. The deformation is considered “constructive” since a stagnant ice front had to first build-up a wedge comprised of braided stream and lacustrine sediments before subsequently coupling to and advancing into these sediments without eroding them. Additionally, the two fold-thrust complexes at Locations 2 and 3 have axes roughly transverse (NE-SW orientation indicated by fold axes) to the SE dipping thrust planes, which indicate a NW (mean vector= $301\pm 24^\circ$) direction of shove. These deformational features certainly would have existed as elongated ridges since there is evidence of ponded rhythmites onlapping them. The variability in deformation along the railroad transect is consistent with observations of recent push moraines and is most likely attributed to the rheology of the proglacial sediment wedge (e.g. Hart and Watts, 1997). The coarser grained sediments (Locations 2 and 3) behave in a brittle fashion with faults and long wavelength folds while the finer grained sediments (Locations 4 and 5) tend to deform in a plastic manner with short wavelength folds.

At least two glacier advance and retreat cycles are recorded in the outcrops from this study (Fig. 3.13). However, it should be noted that the 1.5 km covered section may contain a

more complex pattern of ice advance and retreat. Nevertheless, the following sequence of events is interpreted based on the observable deformation (Fig. 3.13): (1) outwash fan and fan delta sediments (Facies C and D) were deposited (observed at Locations 4 and 5), (2) a glacier, coupled to its foreland, advanced NW to the edge of the fan delta and intensely folded and faulted the sediments (Facies C and D, observed at Locations 4 and 5), (3) the glacier rapidly retreated to the SE, depositing rhythmites and mudstone (Facies E) on top of the folded deltaic sediments (Facies D) at Locations 4 and 5 and proglacial outwash fan sediments (Facies A and C) at Locations 2, and 3, (4) the glacier re-advanced to the NW, creating fold-thrust complexes comprised of outwash fan sediments (Facies A) at Locations 2 and 3, and causing pressurized groundwater to hydrofracture through the partially-consolidated, impermeable rhythmites (Facies E) previously deposited at Locations 4 and 5, and (5) the glacier again retreated to the SE, depositing undeformed outwash fan beds (Facies A and C) at Location 1 and thin ponded rhythmites (Facies E) between the fold-thrust complexes at Locations 2 and 3. At the same time, the small gilbert-type delta (Facies B) built out into the shallow, ponded water between the fold-thrust complex ridges at Location 2. As the glacier continued to retreat, a marine transgression flooded the study area, creating brackish conditions consistent with the observed shift in Rb/K values.

3.8.4. Regional implications for glacier dynamics and paleoclimate

The NW direction of ice movement observed in the glaciotectonic complex is in agreement with the regional-scale interpretation, based on the orientations of grooved surfaces, that an unconfined ice lobe advanced NNW out of Uruguay during the Carboniferous and radiated across the western half of the RGS (Figs. 3.14A-C; e.g. Frakes and Crowell, 1972;

Crowell and Frakes, 1975; Assine et al., 2018). This implies that separate ice centers were responsible for glaciation on the southern and eastern margins of the Paraná Basin. The NW direction of ice shove recorded in this study is slightly different from previously described N-S oriented grooved surfaces on the RGS (Fig. 3.14A). This implies that the “Uruguayan Lobe” either spread out radially across the RGS or was channelized into zones of pre-existing bedrock weakness such as that associated with the Ibaré Lineament as it flowed into the Paraná Basin.

The possible presence of fast-flowing channelized ice is supported by previously described, streamlined “whaleback” structures carved into igneous basement in Uruguay (e.g. Assine et al., 2018). These structures are located ~185 km SE of the outcrops described here and also have a NW orientation (Fig. 3.14). Whalebacks are commonly formed under rapidly flowing, thick ice (e.g. Stokes and Clark, 1999; Margold et al., 2015; Assine et al., 2018). Fast flowing ice that advanced out of the Chaco-Paraná Basin in Uruguay excludes the interpretation of a small ice cap on the RGS that expanded outwards in all directions as depicted by some authors (e.g. Santos et al., 1996; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008). The whalebacks also suggest that glaciation did not consist of outlet glaciers flowing E to W out of Namibia through a series of paleovalleys onto the eastern RGS (e.g. Tedesco et al., 2016). Rather, such a NW flowing glacier may have originated farther afield in the Cargonian Highlands of Africa, terminating on the RGS.

The sediments and the pattern of deformation described here are supportive of an ice margin that experienced episodic stagnation and re-advances. The ice must have been stagnant for long enough to build-up proglacial outwash fan deposits. However, not enough time elapsed for the sediments to lithify before the glacier subsequently advanced into its own deposits and deformed them (e.g. Bennet and Glasser, 2009). The fact that at least two advance and retreat

cycles are recorded along the railroad track outcrops is possible evidence for surging behavior. Surging is thought to occur when subglacial water pressure builds up enough for a glacier to detach from its bed and rapidly advance (e.g. Weertman, 1969). Boulton et al. (1999) attributed the surging behavior recorded in a modern push-moraine to the sub-polar thermal regime of the glacier, in which the thinner outer zone of the glacier is frozen and the thicker inner zone produces a build-up of meltwater that eventually overcomes bed friction. Once the pressurized meltwater is released during a surge, the glacier recouples to its bed and the process begins again.

Several pieces of evidence support a temperate paleoclimate and a warm based or polythermal glacier. The presence of a deforming bed is implied by the nearby grooved surfaces described by Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1997) and the ice rafted diamictite pellets with rotational features found throughout Facies E that may have formed subglacially (e.g. Cowan et al., 2012). High porewater pressures are required to lower the effective stress of the sediments and facilitate the movement of a glacier through its bed (e.g. Boulton, 1996; Fischer et al., 2001; Evans et al., 2006; Busfield and Le Heron, 2013). High porewater pressures are also implied by the plastically deformed proglacial sediments, décollement surfaces, and hydrofractures in rhythmites. In particular, the propagation of décollement surfaces is often driven by overpressurized groundwater within a permeable bed that allows for separation between layers of different composition (e.g. Boulton et al., 1999; Bennet, 2001; Waller et al, 2012). In the case of the hydrofractures, the cohesive stress of the confining, overlying rhythmite beds must have been overcome by the upwards-directed pressure of the underlying groundwater (Phillips and Auton, 2000). Push moraines comprised of glaciofluvial and glaciolacustrine sediments, such as the one

observed here, are common products of both temperate and polythermal glaciers (e.g. Hambrey and Glasser, 2012).

Based on a paleolatitude of $\sim 45\text{-}55^\circ$ S (e.g. Torsvik and Cocks, 2013) a temperate paleoclimate is expected for this region. At least some of the rhythmic, fine-grained sediments described here are interpreted as the product of annual freeze-thaw cycles. The seasonality expressed in the freeze-thaw cycles is possibly direct sedimentological evidence for such a temperate paleoclimate. Moreover, the abundance of coarse-grained outwash deposits that contain evidence of flowing water suggests significant meltwater discharge at the ice front. These features are not observed in polar environments, where surficial meltwater production is rare to minimal (e.g. Menzies and van der Meer, 2018). Other evidence for a temperate paleoclimate is the CIA values of the rhythmites. The majority of the CIA values are within the range of average shale (70-75), suggesting a shift to relatively average chemical weathering of the landscape, which would be unusual in a frigid, polar setting (e.g. Nesbitt and Young, 1982). While no direct evidence for permafrost was observed in these sediments, some authors have argued that permafrost conditions are often necessary for the formation of a push moraine (e.g. Boulton and Caban, 1995; Boulton et al., 1999). This is because permafrost allows the transmission of stress to be concentrated into a relatively thin package of sediments for up to kilometers when a glacier is frozen to the foreland. The permafrost table also facilitates the build-up of high porewater pressures by inhibiting proglacial drainage and, therefore, contributes to surging behavior and the deformation observed in some push moraines. However, it should be noted that the exact role of permafrost in push-moraine formation is still unresolved and some researchers do not consider permafrost to be a requirement (e.g. Aber, 1988; van der Wateren, 1995). Further biostratigraphic work is needed to determine how these glacial deposits on the

RGS correlate to the VcIZ-bearing intervals. The dominance of pollen species with conifer and pteridosperm affinities (e.g. mono- and baccate pollen) in the post-glacial Rio Bonito Fm. supports the presence of temperate forests on the RGS, which could hypothetically coexist on the RGS with partial ice cover (e.g. Iannuzzi et al., 2010).

Nevertheless, the combined evidence challenges conventional interpretations of the LPIA that argued for a long-lived, massive, and stable ice center covering much of Gondwana during the Carboniferous and Permian. This study suggests that a dynamic, warm-based or polythermal ice margin terminated in the southernmost Paraná Basin during the late Carboniferous. This ice margin may represent the end of a thick, fast-flowing lobe extending NNW out of Uruguay. The evidence for a temperate paleoenvironment implies that it is unlikely that an extensive ice shelf covered the entire southern Paraná Basin and that a single, massive ice sheet covered the entire basin during the late Carboniferous.

3.9. Conclusions

- (1) Deformed Carboniferous (Itararé Gp.) sediments near Ibaré, Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil are interpreted to have a glaciogenic origin. This conclusion is based on the presence of striated and faceted clasts, rhythmites with abundant dropstones, and diamictite pellets.
- (2) The depositional environment for these sediments is interpreted to have been an ice-proximal outwash fan and fan delta in a transitional, terrestrial-to-estuarine setting. Cross-stratified sandstone and conglomerate beds indicate the presence of flowing water. Stratal relationships are indicative of small, ponded bodies of water on the surface of the

outwash fan that were situated between positive, paleotopographic deformation features. Rb/K values reflect a shift from freshwater to estuarine conditions.

- (3) The pattern of soft-sediment deformation observed in this study is typical of a glacier coupled to its foreland. Key features supporting this interpretation include: compressional features through the entire succession, décollement surfaces that ramp over proglacial facies, examples of piggyback thrusting in which younger thrust sheets become increasingly steeper on the backs of folded and thrust beds, and decreasing deformation in the direction of movement.
- (4) The glacially-deformed sediments described in this study fit the Bennet and Glasser (2009) definition of a late Paleozoic push moraine because they contain evidence for constructive deformation and transverse ridges oriented perpendicular to the direction of ice shove.
- (5) Hydrofractured rhythmites, décollement surfaces, and the plastic deformation of sediments are supporting evidence for pressurized groundwater under a warm-based or polythermal glacier.
- (6) Rhythmites with dropstones and possible seasonal laminae are interpreted as evidence for a temperate paleoclimate. This is supported by average CIA values and outwash-dominated proglacial sediments with abundant sedimentologic evidence for meltwater production.
- (7) Measurements of fold axes and thrust planes support a NW ($\sim 301 \pm 24^\circ$) direction of ice shove, which is consistent with other regional interpretations of a NNW moving ice lobe that extended out of Uruguay. The ice dynamics interpreted from the pattern of

deformation and sedimentation reflect an ice margin that fluctuated between stagnation and rapid advances.

References

- Aber, J.S., 1988. Ice-shoved hills of Saskatchewan compared with Mississippi delta mudlumps—implications for glaciotectionic models. In: Croot D.G. (Ed.), *Glaciotectionic Forms and Processes*. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 1-9.
- Aber, J.S., Croot, D.G., Fenton, M.M., 1989. *Glaciotectionic landforms and structures*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (200 pp.).
- Alley, R.B., 1989. Water pressure coupling of sliding and bed deformation: I. Water system. *Journal of Glaciology* 35, 108-118.
- Alley, R.B., 1991. Deforming-bed origin for southern Laurentide till sheets? *Journal of Glaciology* 37, 67-76.
- Amato, J.A., 2017. Using AMS to Help Interpret Glaciogenic Deposits of the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in the Paraná Basin, Brazil (Masters Thesis). University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee.
- Ashley, G.M., 1975. Rhythmic sedimentation in glacial Lake Hitchcock, Massachusetts-Connecticut. Published by: Society of economic paleontologists and mineralogists, Tulsa, Oklahoma (USA).
- Ashley, G.M., 2002. 11 - Glaciolacustrine environments. In: Menzies J. (Ed.), *Modern and Past Glacial Environments*. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, pp. 335-359.
- Assine, M.L., de Santa Ana, H., Veroslavsky, G., Vesely, F.F., 2018. Exhumed subglacial landscape in Uruguay; erosional landforms, depositional environments, and paleo-ice flow in the context of the late Paleozoic Gondwanan glaciation. *Sedimentary Geology* 369, 1-12.
- Bahlburg, H., Dobrzinski, N., 2011. A review of the Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA) and its application to the study of Neoproterozoic glacial deposits and climate transitions. *Memoir*, 36. Geological Society, London, pp. 81-92.
- Benediktsson, I.O., Schomacker, A., Johnson, M.D., Geiger, A.J., Ingolfsson, O., Guethmundsdottir, E.R., 2015. Architecture and structural evolution of an early Little Ice Age terminal moraine at the surge-type glacier Mulajokull, Iceland. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* 120, 1895-1910.
- Benn, D.I., Evans, D.J.A., 1998. *Glaciers and Glaciation*. Arnold, London (734 pp.).
- Bennet, M.R., 2001. The morphology, structural evolution and significance of push-moraines. *Earth Science Reviews* 53, 197-236.
- Bennet, M.R., Glasser, N.F., 2009. *Glacial Geology: Ice Sheets and Landforms*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester (385 pp.).
- Bhattacharya, J.P., 2006. Deltas. *Society for Sedimentary Geology, Special Publication* 84, 237-292.
- Blignault, H.J., Theron, J.N., 2015. The facies association tillite, boulder beds, boulder pavements, liquefaction structures and deformed drainage channels in the Permo-

- Carboniferous Dwyka Group, Elandsvlei area, South Africa. *South African Journal of Geology* 118.2, 157-172.
- Boulton, G.S., 1986. Push-moraines and glacier-contact fans in marine and terrestrial environments. *Sedimentology* 33, 677-698.
- Boulton, G.S., 2017. Theory of glacial erosion, transport and deposition as a consequence of subglacial sediment deformation. *Journal of Glaciology* 42, 43-62.
- Boulton, G.S., Caban, P., 1995. Groundwater flow beneath ice sheets: Part II — Its impact on glacier tectonic structures and moraine formation. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 14, 563-587.
- Boulton, G.S., van der Meer, J.J.M., Beets, D.J., Hart, J.K., Ruegg, G.H.J., 1999. The sedimentary and structural evolution of a recent push moraine complex: Holmstrømbreen, Spitsbergen. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 18, 339-371.
- Boulton, G.S., van der Meer, J.J.M., Beets, D.J., Ruegg, G.H.J., 1999. The sedimentary and structural evolution of a recent push moraine complex; Holmstrombreen, Spitsbergen. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 18, 339-371.
- Busfield, M.E., Le Heron, D.P., 2013. Glacitectonic deformation in the Chuos Formation of northern Namibia: implications for Neoproterozoic ice dynamics. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association* 124, 778-789.
- Cagliari, J., Philipp, R.P., Buso, V.V., Netto, R.G., Klaus Hillebrand, P., da Cunha Lopes, R., Stipp Basei, M.A., Faccini, U.F., 2016. Age constraints of the glaciation in the Paraná Basin: evidence from new U–Pb dates. *Journal of the Geological Society* 173, 871-874.
- Campbell, F.A., Williams, G.D., 1965. Chemical composition of shales of Manville Group (Lower Cretaceous) of central Alberta, Canada. *Bulletin of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists* 49, 81-87.
- Chumakov, N.M., Pokrovsky, B.G., Melezhik, V.A., 2011. The glaciogenic Bol'shoy Patom Formation, Lena River, central Siberia. *Memoir 36. Geological Society of London*, pp. 309-316.
- Church, M., Gilbert, R., 1975. *Proglacial fluvial and lacustrine environments*. Published by: Society of economic paleontologists and mineralogists, Tulsa, Oklahoma (USA).
- Cook, A.J., Fox, A.J., Vaughan, D.G., Ferrigno, J.G., 2005. Retreating Glacier Fronts on the Antarctic Peninsula over the Past Half-Century. *Science* 308, 541-544.
- Corrêa da Silva, Z.C., 1978. Observações sobre o Grupo Tubarão no Rio Grande do Sul, com especial destaque á estratigrafia da Formação Itararé. *Pesquisas—UFRGS*, 9, 27-44.
- Cowan, E.A., Christoffersen, P., Powell, R.D., 2012. Sedimentological signature of a deformable bed preserved beneath an ice stream in a late Pleistocene glacial sequence, Ross Sea, Antarctica. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 82, 270-282.
- Croot, D.G., 1987. Glacio-tectonic structures: a mesoscale model of thin-skinned thrust sheets? *Journal of Structural Geology* 9, 797-808.

- Crowell, J.C., 1999. Pre-Mesozoic ice ages: Their bearing on understanding the climate system. Geological Society of America, Memoir 192, The Geological Society of America, Boulder (106 pp.).
- Crowell, J.C., Frakes, L.A., 1975. The late Paleozoic glaciation. In: Cambell, K.S.W. (Ed.), Gondwana Geology. Australian National University Press, Canberra, pp. 313-331.
- Delaney, P.I.V., 1964. Itararé outliers in Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Bol. Paran. Geogr. 10, 161-173.
- Eyles, N., 1990. Marine debris flows; late Precambrian “tillites” of the Avalnoian-Cadomian orogenic belt. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 79, 73-98.
- Eyles, C.H., Eyles, N., 2000. Subaqueous mass flow origin for Lower Permian diamictites and associated facies of the Grant Group, Barbwire Terrace, Canning Basin, Western Australia. Sedimentology 47, 343 – 356.
- Evans, D.J.A., Hiemstra, J.F., 2005. Till deposition by glacier submarginal, incremental thickening. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 30, 1633-1662.
- Evans, D.J.A., Phillips, E.R., Hiemstra, J.F., Auton, C.A., 2006. Subglacial till: formation, sedimentary characteristics and classification. Earth Science Reviews 78, 115-176.
- Fedorchuk, N.D., Isbell, J.L., Griffis, N.P., Montañez, I.P., Vesely, F.F., Iannuzzi, R., Mundil, R., Yin, Q.-Z., Pauls, K.N., Rosa, E.L.M., 2019. Origin of paleovalleys on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (Brazil): Implications for the extent of late Paleozoic glaciation in west-central Gondwana. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology. In Press.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.04.013>
- Fielding, C.R., Frank, T.D., Isbell, J.L., 2008. The late Paleozoic ice age – a review of current understanding and synthesis of global climate patterns. In: Fielding, C.R., Frank, T.D., Isbell, J.L. (Eds.), Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space. Geological Society of America Special Paper 441, pp. 343-354.
- Fischer, U.H., Porter, P.R., Schuler, T., Evans, A.J., Gudmundsson, G.H., 2002. Hydraulic and mechanical properties of glacial sediments beneath Unteraargletscher, Switzerland: implications for glacier basal motion. Hydrological Processes 15, 3525-3540.
- Frakes, L., Crowell, J., 1972. Late Paleozoic glacial geography between the Paraná Basin and the Andean geosyncline. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 44, 139-145.
- Franca, A.B., Potter, P.E., 1991. Stratigraphy and reservoir potential of glacial deposits of the Itararé Group (Carboniferous-Permian), Parana Basin, Brazil. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin 75, 62-85.
- Franco, D.R., Hinnov, L.A., 2012. Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility and sedimentary cycle data from Permo-Carboniferous rhythmites (Paraná Basin, Brazil): a multiple proxy record of astronomical and millennial scale palaeoclimate change in a glacial setting. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 373, 355-374.

- Frank, T.D., Shultis, A.I., Fielding, C.R., 2015. Acme and demise of the late Paleozoic ice age: a view from the southeastern margin of Gondwana. *Palaeogeography. Palaeoclimatology. Palaeoecology* 418, 176-192.
- Gesicki, A.L.D., Riccomini, C., Boggiani, P.C., 2002. Ice flow direction during late Paleozoic glaciation in western Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 14, 933-939.
- Gesicki, A.L.D., Riccomini, C., Boggiani, P.C., Coimbra, A.M., 1998. The Aquidauana Formation (Parana Basin) in the context of late Palaeozoic glaciation in western Gondwana. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 27, 81-82.
- Gilbert, R., 1990. Rafting in glacimarine environments. In: Dowdeswell, J.A., Scourse, J.D. (Eds.), *Glacimarine Environments: processes and sediments*. Geological Society, London, Special Publication 53, pp. 105-120.
- Goldschmidt, P.M., Pfirman, S.L., Wollenburg, I., Henrich, R., 1992. Origin of sediment pellets from the Arctic seafloor: sea ice or icebergs? *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers* 39, S539-S565.
- Griffis, N.P., Montañez, I.P., Fedorchuk, N., Isbell, J., Mundil, R., Vesely, F., Weinshultz, L., Iannuzzi, R., Gulbranson, E., Taboada, A., Pagani, A., Sanborn, M.E., Huyskens, M., Wimpenny, J., Linol, B., Yin, Q.-Z., 2019. Isotopes to ice: Constraining provenance of glacial deposits and ice centers in west-central Gondwana. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*. In Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.04.020>
- Griffis, N.P., Mundil, R., Montañez, I.P., Isbell, J., Fedorchuk, N., Vesely, F., Iannuzzi, R., Yin, Q.-Z., 2018. A new stratigraphic framework built on U-Pb single-zircon TIMS ages and implications for the timing of the penultimate icehouse (Paraná Basin, Brazil). *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 130, 848-858.
- Hambrey, M. J., Glasser, N. F., 2003. Glacial sediments: processes, environments and facies. In: Middleton, G.V. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Sediments and Sedimentary Rocks*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp. 316-331.
- Hambrey, M.J., Glasser, N.F., 2012. Discriminating glacier thermal and dynamic regimes in the sedimentary record. *Sedimentary Geology* 251-252, 1-33.
- Harriss, R.C., Adams, J.A.S., 1966. Geochemical and mineralogical studies on the weathering of granitic rocks. *American Journal of Science* 264, 146-173.
- Hart, J.K., Boulton, G.S., 1991. The interrelation of glaciotectonic and glaciodepositional processes within the glacial environment. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 10, 335-350.
- Hart, J.K., Roberts, D.H., 1994. Criteria to distinguish between subglacial glaciotectonic and glaciomarine sedimentation, I. Deformation styles and sedimentology. *Sedimentary Geology* 91, 191-213.
- Hart, J.K., Watts, R.J., 1998. A comparison of the styles of deformation associated with two recent push moraines, South Van Keulenfjorden, Svalbard. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 22, 1089-1107.

- Hoffman, P.F., Halverson, G.P., 2011. Neoproterozoic glacial record in the Mackenzie Mountains, northern Canadian Cordillera. *Memoir*, 36. Geological Society, London, pp. 397-412.
- Iannuzzi, R., Souza, P.A., Holz, M., 2010. Stratigraphic and paleofloristic record of the Lower Permian post-glacial succession in the southern Brazilian. In: López-Gamundí, O.R., Buatois, L.A. (Eds.), *Late Paleozoic Glacial Events and Postglacial Transgressions in Gondwana*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 468, 113-132.
- Isbell, J.L., 2010. Environmental and paleogeographic implications of glaciotectonic deformation of glaciomarine deposits within Permian strata of the Metschel Tillite, southern Victoria Land, Antarctica. In: López-Gamundí, O.R., Buatois, L.A. (Eds.), *Late Paleozoic Glacial Events and Postglacial Transgressions in Gondwana*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 486, 81-100.
- Isbell, J.L., Miller, M.F., Wolfe, K.L., Lenaker, P.A., 2003. Timing of late Paleozoic glaciation in Gondwana: Was glaciation responsible for the development of northern hemisphere cyclothems? In: Chan, M.A., Archer, A.W. (Eds.), *Extreme depositional environments: Mega end members in geologic time*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 370, 5-24.
- Isbell, J.L., Henry, L.C., Fraiser, M.L., 2012a. Sedimentology and palaeoecology of lonestone-bearing mixed clastic rocks and cold-water carbonates of the Lower Permian Basal Beds at Fossil Cliffs, Maria Island, Tasmania (Australia): Insight into the initial decline of the late Palaeozoic ice age. In: Gąsiewicz, A., Słowakiewicz, M. (Eds.), *Palaeozoic Climate Cycles: Their Evolutionary and Sedimentological Impact*. Geological Society, London, Special Publication 376, 307-341.
- Isbell, J.L., Henry, L.C., Gulbranson, E.L., Limarino, C.O., Fraiser, M.L., Koch, Z.J., Ciccioli, P.L., Dineen, A.A., 2012b. Glacial paradoxes during the late Paleozoic ice age: evaluating the equilibrium line altitude as a control on glaciation. *Gondwana Research* 22, 1-19.
- Larsen, D.J., Miller, G.H., Geirsdóttir, Á., Thordarson, T., 2011. A 3000-year varved record of glacier activity and climate change from the proglacial lake Hvítárvatn, Iceland. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 2715-2731.
- Lawver, L.A., Dalziel, I.W.D., Norton, I.O., Gahagan, L.M., 2011. *The Plates 2011 Atlas of Plate Reconstructions (500 Ma to Present Day)*. Plates Progress Report No. 345-0811, University of Texas Technical Report No. 198 (189 pp.).
- Lee, J.R., Phillips, E.R., 2008. Progressive soft sediment deformation within a subglacial shear zone – a hybrid mosaic-pervasive deformation model for Middle Pleistocene glaciotectonised sediments from Eastern England. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 27, 1350-1362.
- Le Heron, D.P., Sutcliffe, O.E., Whittington, R.J., Craig, J., 2005. The origins of glacially related soft-sediment deformation structures in Upper Ordovician glaciogenic rocks: implications for ice-sheet dynamics. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 218, 75-103.

- Leonard, E.M., 1997. The relationship between glacial activity and sediment production: evidence from a 4450-year varve record of neoglacial sedimentation in Hector Lake, Alberta, Canada. *Journal of Paleolimnology* 17, 319-330.
- Loso, M.G., 2009. Summer temperatures during the Medieval Warm Period and Little Ice Age inferred from varved proglacial lake sediments in southern Alaska. *Journal of Paleolimnology* 41, 117-128.
- Margold, M., Stokes, C.R., Clark, C.D., 2015. Ice streams in the Laurentide Ice Sheet: Identification, characteristics and comparison to modern ice sheets. *Earth-Science Reviews* 143, 117-146.
- Martin, H., 1981. The late Palaeozoic Dwyka Group of the South Kalahari Basin in Namibia and Botswana and the subglacial valleys of the Kaokoveld in Namibia. In: Hambrey, M.J., Harland, W.B. (Eds.), *Pre-Pleistocene Glacial Record*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 61-66.
- Mau, H., 1960. Vale pré-glacial ao norte de Lavras do Sul. *Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Geologia* 9, 79-82.
- Menzies, J., van der Meer, J.J.M., Domack, E., Wellner, J.S., 2010. Micromorphology: as a tool in the detection, analyses and interpretation of (glacial) sediments and man-made materials. *Proceedings of Geologists' Association* 121, 281-292.
- Menzies, J., van der Meer, J.J.M., 2018. Chapter 21 - Micromorphology and Microsedimentology of Glacial Sediments. In: Menzies, J., van der Meer, J.J.M. (Eds.), *Past Glacial Environments (Second Edition)*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 753-806.
- Montañez, I.P., Poulsen, C.J., 2013. The late Paleozoic ice age: an evolving paradigm. *Annual Review of Earth Planetary Sciences* 41, 1-28.
- Mottin, T.E., Vesely, F.F., de Lima Rodrigues, M.C.N., Kipper, F., de Souza, P.A., 2018. The paths and timing of late Paleozoic ice revisited; new stratigraphic and paleo-ice flow interpretations from a glacial succession in the upper Itarare Group (Parana Basin, Brazil). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 490, 488-504.
- Nesbitt, H.W., Markovics, G., Price, R.C., 1980. Chemical processes affecting alkalis and alkaline earths during continental weathering. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 44, 1659-1666.
- Nesbitt, H.W., Young, G.M., 1982. Early Proterozoic climates and plate motions inferred from major element chemistry of lutites. *Nature* 299, 715.
- Nesbitt, H.W., Young, G.M., McLennan, S.M., Keays, R.R., 1996. Effects of Chemical Weathering and Sorting on the Petrogenesis of Siliciclastic Sediments, with Implications for Provenance Studies. *The Journal of Geology* 104, 525-542.
- Netto, R.G., Balistieri, P., Lavina, E.L.C., Silveira, D.M., 2009. Ichnological signatures of shallow freshwater lakes in the glacial Itarare Group (Mafra Formation, Upper Carboniferous-Lower Permian of Parana Basin, S Brazil). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 272, 240-255.

- Ó Cofaigh, C., Dowdeswell, J.A., 2001. Laminated sediments in glacial marine environments: diagnostic criteria for their interpretation. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 20, 1411-1436.
- Ocañoğlu, F., Dönmez, E.O., Akbulut, A., Tunoğlu, C., Kır, O., Açıklın, S., Erayık, C., Yılmaz, İ.Ö., Leroy, S.A.G., 2015. A 2800-year multi-proxy sedimentary record of climate change from Lake Çubuk (Göynük, Bolu, NW Anatolia). *The Holocene* 26, 205-221.
- Ogata, K., Mutti, E., Pini, G.A., Tinterri, R., 2012. Mass transport-related stratal disruption within sedimentary mélanges: examples from the northern Apennines (Italy) and south-central Pyrenees (Spain). *Tectonophysics* 568-569, 185-199.
- Ovenshine, A.T., 1970. Observations of Iceberg Rafting in Glacier Bay, Alaska, and the Identification of Ancient Ice-Rafted Deposits. *Geological Society of Association Bulletin* 81, 891-894.
- Phillips, E.R., 2018. 13 – Glacitectonics. In: Menzies, J., van der Meer, J.J.M. (Eds.), *Past Glacial Environments*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 467-502.
- Phillips, E.R., Auton, C.A., 2000. Micromorphological evidence for polyphase deformation of glaciolacustrine sediments for Strathspey, Scotland. *Geological Society, Special Publication* 176, 279-292.
- Phillips, E.R., Evans, D.J.A., Auton, C.A., 2002. Polyphase deformation of an oscillating ice margin following the Loch Lomond Readvance, central Scotland, UK. *Sedimentary Geology* 149, 157-182.
- Phillips, E., Everest, J., Reeves, H., 2012. Micromorphological evidence for subglacial multiphase sedimentation and deformation during overpressurized fluid flow associated with hydrofracturing. *Boreas* 42, 395-427.
- Phillips, E.R., Lee, J.R., Burke, H., 2008. Progressive proglacial to subglacial deformation and syntectonic sedimentation at the margins of the Mid-Pleistocene British Ice Sheet: evidence from north Norfolk, UK. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 27, 1848-1871.
- Phillips, E.R., Merrit, J., Auton, C., Golledge, N., 2007. Microstructures in subglacial and proglacial sediments: understanding faults, folds and fabrics, and the influence of water on the style of deformation. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26, 1499-1528.
- Piotrowski, J.A., Larsen, N.K., Menzies, J., Wysota, W., 2006. Formation of subglacial till under transient bed conditions; deposition, deformation, and basal decoupling under a Weichselian ice sheet lobe, central Poland. *Sedimentology* 53, 83-106.
- Posamentier, H.W., Walker, R.G., 2006. Deep-water turbidites and submarine fans. *Society for Sedimentary Geology, Special Publication* 84, 399-520.
- Ravier, E., Buoncristiani, J.F., Menzies, J., Guiraud, M., Portier, E., 2015. Clastic injection dynamics during ice front oscillations: A case example from Sólheimajökull (Iceland). *Sedimentary Geology* 323, 92-109.
- Riccomini, C., Velázquez, V.F., 1999. Superfície estriada por geleira Neopaleozoica no Paraguai oriental. *Revista Brasileira de Geociências* 29, 233-236.

- Roberts, D.H., Yde, J.C., Knudsen, N.T., Long, A.J., Lloyd, J.M., 2009. Ice marginal dynamics during surge activity, Kuannersuit Glacier, Disko Island, West Greenland. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28, 209-222.
- Rocha-Campos, A.C., Canuto, J.R., Santos, P.R., 2000. Late Paleozoic glaciotectionic structures in northern Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Sedimentary Geology* 130, 131-143.
- Rocha-Campos, A.C., Santos, P.R., Canuto, J.R., 2008. Late Paleozoic glacial deposits of Brazil: Paraná Basin. In: Fielding, C.R., Frank, T.D., Isbell, J.L.(Eds.). *Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 441, 97-114.
- Rooney, T.O., Hart, W.K., Hall, C.M., Ayalew, D., Ghiorso, M.S., Hidalgo, P.J., Yirgu, G., 2012. Peralkaline magma evolution and the tephra record in the Ethiopian Rift. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 164, 407-426.
- Rooney, T.O., Mohr, P., Dosso, L., Hall, C.M., 2013. Geochemical evidence of matle reservoir evolution during progressive rifting. *Geochimica et Cosmoschimica Acta* 102, 65-88.
- Rosa E.L.M., Vesely, F.F., França, A.B., 2016. A review on late Paleozoic ice-related erosional landforms in the Paraná Basin: origin and paleogeographical implications. *Brazilian Journal of Geology* 46, 147-166.
- Rotnicki, K., 1976. The theoretical basis for and a model of the origin of glaciotectionic deformations. *Quaestiones geographicae* 3, 103-139.
- Santos, P.R., Rocha-Campos, A.C., Canuto, J.R., 1996. Patterns of late Palaeozoic deglaciation in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 125, 165-184.
- Scheffler, K., Buehmann, D., Schwark, L., 2006. Analysis of late Palaeozoic glacial to postglacial sedimentary successions in South Africa by geochemical proxies; response to climate evolution and sedimentary environment. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 240, 184-203.
- Scheffler, K., Hoernes, S., Schwark, L., 2003. Global changes during Carboniferous-Permian glaciation of Gondwana; linking polar and equatorial climate evolution by geochemical proxies. *Geology (Boulder)* 31, 605-608.
- Souza, P.A., 2006. Late Carboniferous palynostratigraphy of the Itarare Subgroup, northeastern Parana Basin, Brazil. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 138, 9-29.
- Souza, P.A. Marques-Toigo, M., 2005. Progress on the palynostratigraphy of the Paraná strata in Rio Grande do Sul State, Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, 77, 353-365.
- Starck, D., Papa, C., 2006. The northwestern Argentina Tarija Basin: stratigraphy, depositional systems, and controlling factors in a glaciated basin. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 22, 169-184.
- Stephenson, M.H., 2008. A review of the palynostratigraphy of Gondwanan Late Carboniferous to Early Permian glaciogene successions. In: Fielding, C.R., Frank, T.D., Isbell, J.L.(Eds.).

- Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space. Geological Society of America Special Paper, 441, 115-129.
- Stokes, C.R., Clark, C.D., 2017. Geomorphological criteria for identifying Pleistocene ice streams. *Annals of Glaciology* 28, 67-74.
- Taylor, S.R., McClennan, S.M., 1985. The continental crust; its composition and evolution; an examination of the geochemical record preserved in sedimentary rocks. Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford (312 pp.).
- Tedesco, J., Cagliari, J., Coitinho, J.D.R., da Cunha Lopes, R., Lavina, E.L.C., 2016. Late Paleozoic paleofjord in the southernmost Parana Basin (Brazil); geomorphology and sedimentary fill. *Geomorphology* 269, 203-214.
- Tomazelli, L.J., Soliani Júnior, E., 1982. Evidências de atividade glacial no Paleozóico Superior do Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. *Anais II Congresso Brasileiro de Geologia, Salvador* 4, 1378-1389.
- Tomazelli, L.J., and Soliani Júnior, E., 1997. Sedimentary facies and depositional environments related to Gondwana glaciation in Batovi and Suspiro Regions, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 10, 295-303.
- Torsvik, T.H., Cocks, L.R.M., 2013. Gondwana from top to base in space and time. *Gondwana Research* 24, 999-1030.
- Trosdorf, I., Rocha-Campos, A., Santos, P.R., Tomio, A., 2005. Origin of Late Paleozoic, multiple, glacially striated surfaces in northern Paraná Basin (Brazil): Some implications for the dynamics of the Paraná glacial lobe. *Sedimentary Geology* 181, 59-71.
- van der Meer, J.J.M., Menzies, J., Rose, J., 2003. Subglacial till: the deforming glacier bed. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22, 1659-1685.
- van der Wateren, D.F.M., 1985. A model of glacial tectonics, applied to the ice-pushed ridges in the central Netherlands. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Denmark* 34, 55-74.
- van der Wateren, F.M., 1995. Structural geology and sedimentology of push moraines; processes of soft sediment deformation in a glacial environment and the distribution of glaciotectonic styles. *Mededelingen Rijks Geologische Dienst* 54, 167.
- van der Wateren, F.M., 2002. 14-Processes of glaciotectonism. In: Menzies, J. (Ed.), *Modern and Past Glacial Environments*. Butterworth and Heinemann, Oxford, pp. 417-443.
- van der Wateren, F.M., Kluiving, S.J., Bartek, L.R., 2000. Kinematic indicators of subglacial shearing. In: Maltman, A.J., Hubbard, B., Hambrey, M.J. (Eds.), *Deformation of Glacial Materials*. Geological Society, London, Special Publication 176, 259-278.
- Vesely, F.F., Rodrigues, M.C.N.L., Rosa, E.L.M., Amato, J.A., Trzaskos, B., Isbell, J.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., 2018. Recurrent emplacement of non-glacial diamictite during the late Paleozoic ice age. *Geology (Boulder)* 46, 615-618.

- Vesely, F.F., Trzaskos, B., Kipper, F., Assine, M.L., Souza, P.A., 2015. Sedimentary record of a fluctuating ice margin from the Pennsylvanian of western Gondwana: Paraná Basin, southern Brazil. *Sedimentary Geology* 326, 45-63.
- Visser, J.N.J., 1989. The Permo-Carboniferous Dwyka Formation of Southern Africa: Deposition by a predominantly subpolar marine ice sheet. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 70, 377-391.
- Visser, J.N.J., 1993. A reconstruction of the late Palaeozoic ice sheet on southwestern Gondwana. In: Findlay, R.H., Unrug, R., Banks, M.R., Veevers, J.J. (Eds.), *Gondwana 8 Assembly, Evolution and Dispersal*. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 449-458.
- Visser, J.N.J., Colliston, W.P., Terblanche, J.C., 1984. The origin of soft-sediment deformation structures in Permo-Carboniferous glacial and proglacial beds, South Africa. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 54, 1183-1196.
- Visser, J.N.J., Looock, J.C., 1982. An investigation of the basal Dwyka Tillite in the southern part of the Karoo Basin, South Africa. *Transactions of Geological Society of South Africa* 85, 179-187.
- Waller, R.I., Murton, J.B., Kristensen, L., 2012. Glacier-permafrost interactions: Processes, products and glaciological implications. *Sedimentary Geology* 255-256, 1-28.
- Winsemann, J., Lang, J., Polom, U, Loewer, M., Igel, J., Pollok, L., Brandes, C., 2018. Ice-marginal forced regressive deltas in glacial lake basins: geomorphology, facies variability and large-scale depositional architecture. *Boreas* 47, 973-1002.
- Weertman, J., 1969. Water lubrication mechanism of glacier surges. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 6, 929-942.
- Ye, C., Yang, Y., Fang, X., Zhang, W., 2016. Late Eocene clay boron-derived paleosalinity in the Qaidam Basin and its implications for regional tectonics and climate. *Sedimentary Geology* 346, 49-59.
- Zavala, C., Arcuri, M., 2016. Intrabasinal and extrabasinal turbidites: Origin and distinctive characteristics. *Sedimentary Geology* 337, 36-54.
- Zolitschka, B., Francus, P., Ojala, A.E.K., Schimmelmann, A., 2015. Varves in lake sediments – a review. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 117, 1-41.




Fig. 3.1. Paleogeography, location, and stratigraphy of study area. (A) Gondwanan paleogeography with location of Paraná Basin in yellow and Rio Grande do Sul Shield located within red square. After Lawver et al. (2011) and Torsvik and Cocks (2013). (B) Map of Rio Grande do Sul Shield and late Paleozoic glacial outcrops (gray) with study area (Fig. 3.1C) located within red square. After Assine et al. (2018). (C) Geologic map of the study area located 3 km NW of Ibaré, Rio Grande do Sul State. Study locations 1-5 numbered along railroad tracks. Image from GoogleEarth (2018) and location of Itararé Gp./Ibaré Lineament from Companhia de Pesquisa de Recursos Minerais (Brazilian Geological Survey). (D) Stratigraphic position of glacial strata on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield, Itararé Gp. in red. Modified from Holz et al. (2006).




Fig. 3.2 Measured stratigraphic sections from Locations 1-5. Paleocurrent data, fold axes, and thrust planes data combined from all locations.

| Lithofacies name | Symbol | Lithologies | Key features and sedimentary structures | Bed thickness | Interpretation |
|--|---------------|---|---|---|--|
| Conglomerate and diamictite facies | A | Sandy conglomerate and clast-rich sandy diamictite, interbeds of gravelly sandstone | Rare crude cross-bedding and ripples, diverse clast composition, clasts have striations and facets, deformation of this facies includes large (1-3 m high) folds-thrust complexes | ~4 cm to 1 m | Coarse grained outwash and debris flows from outwash fan, deformed by ice pushing into sediment wedge |
| Sandy clinof orm facies | B | Siltstone to fine sandstone | Undeformed thin siltstone and sandstone beds dip ~25° before laterally grading into silt/clay rhythmites | ~1-4 cm | Foresets from small gilbert-type delta prograding into a shallow meltwater-fed lake that ponded between positive deformation features |
| Medium sandstone facies | C | Fine- to medium-grained sandstone and gravelly sandstone | Undeformed, mostly structureless, contains rare ripples and cross-beds | ~4 cm to 0.5 m | Sandy outwash fan deposits (distal to Facies A) |
| Folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies | D | Fine- to medium-grained sandstone with interbedded mudstone | Some normal grading between sandstone and mudstone beds, deformation includes intense folding , thrust faults, normal faults, reverse faults, fractures | ~1-20 cm | Fan delta deposits formed by combination of settling from suspension and hyperpycnal flows, deformed by ice pushing into sediment wedge |
| Rhythmites and mudstone with outsized clasts facies | E | Massive mudstone and clay/silt rhythmites with mm-scale laminae | Contains outsized clasts that pierce through laminae ranging from granule to cobble sized, mm-sized diamictite pellets, hydrofractures filled with brecciated rhythmite fragments | Individual rhythmite laminae are ~0.1-6 mm thick and occur in packages up to ~3 m thick, massive mudstone is ~1 m thick | Settling from suspension into meltwater-fed lakes or estuary, rhythmites interpreted as annual varves, outsized clasts and diamictite pellets are interpreted as ice-rafted dropstones, hydrofractures produced by pressurized proglacial porewater trapped under confining rhythmites |

Table 3.1. Lithofacies codes, descriptions, and paleoenvironmental interpretations.




Fig. 3.3. Key features from Facies A. (A) Striated clast from Facies A at Location 3. (B) Crudely bedded sandy conglomerate from Facies A at Location 1.




Fig. 3.4. (A-C) Example of piggyback fold-thrust complex at Location 3 indicating motion towards the NW.



Fig. 3.5. (A-B) Sandy clinoforms (white dashed lines) of Facies B at Location 2.




Fig. 3.6. (A-B) Drag fold (white arrow) and thrust faults (red solid lines) in folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies (Facies D, bedding=white dashed lines) at Location 4.




Fig. 3.7. Key features of folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies (Facies D) at Locations 4 and 5. (A) Folded beds (white dashed lines) overlying a décollement surface (red solid lines) and dissected by thrust fault (red solid line) at Location 4. (B-C) Small parasitic folds (white arrow) superimposed on larger fold at Location 5. (D-E) Intensely folded beds with later stage brittle faulting and fractures (white arrows) at Location 5. Rock hammer (28 cm) for scale.




Fig. 3.8. (A-B) Possible slide blocks or extruded sediment between folds (white arrows) within the folded sandstone with interbedded mudstone facies (Facies D) at Location 5.




Fig. 3.9. Key features of rhythmites and mudstone with outsized clasts facies (Facies E) at Location 3 and 5. (A) Hand sample of rhythmites from Location 3. (B) Thin section viewed under crossed polars of alternating silt/clay rhythmite laminae with sharp contacts from Location 3. (C) Histogram and cumulative frequency distribution plot of rhythmite couple thicknesses (standard deviation of average thickness is 1σ). Measurements collected from four thin section samples collected at Locations 3 and 5. (D) Thin section viewed under crossed polars of clay interlamina (red arrow) and fine-sand-sized layers (white arrows) always located within clay laminae or on borders of clay laminae. (E) Thin section viewed under crossed polars of fine sand layers (white arrows).




Fig. 3.10. Dropstones and diamictite pellets viewed under crossed polars and located within the rhythmite and mudstone with outsized clasts facies (Facies E) from Location 3. (A) Large (cobble-sized), granite outsized clast (white arrow) that pierces through rhythmite laminae (i.e. dropstone). (B) Thin section (viewed under crossed polars) of granule-sized granite outsized clast that pierces through rhythmite laminae (i.e. dropstone). (C) Pebble-sized, granite outsized clast (white arrow) that pierces through rhythmite laminae (i.e. dropstone). (D) Thin section of diamictite pellet viewed under crossed polars with grains rotated around a central clast (red dashed arrow). (E) Thin section viewed under crossed polars of diamictite pellet. (F) Diamictite/till pellet viewed under reflected light.




Fig. 3.11. Deformation features within rhythmites and mudstone with outsized clast facies (Facies E) at Location 5. (A) Thin section photomosaic of clastic dike (hydrofracture) through rhythmites viewed under crossed polars. Boundaries indicated by white arrows. (B) Clastic dike (hydrofracture) through rhythmites viewed under plain polarized light. Boundaries indicated by white arrows. (C) Thin section under crossed polars of small (mm-scale) reverse fault (red dashed line) in rhythmites. (D) Thin section of (mm-scale) normal faults (red dashed lines) and diamictite pellets (red arrows) in rhythmites viewed under plain polarized light.




Fig. 3.12. Geochemical (Rb/K and Chemical Index of Alteration) data collected from rhythmites at Location 3. The increase in Rb/K values could be related to an influx of freshwater, increased chemical weathering, or a combination of the two.




Fig. 3.13. Interpretation of two advance/retreat cycles responsible for deposition and deformation observed at Locations 1-5. After Roberts et al. (2009).




Fig. 3.14. Interpretation of glacialiation on Rio Grande do Sul during late Carboniferous. (A) Published ice flow directions from grooved surfaces and ice-contact deformation indicated by black arrows. (1) Assine et al., 2018. (2) Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1982). (3) This study. (4) Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1997). (5) Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1982). (B) “Uruguayan Ice Center” paleogeographic reconstruction during glacial advance phase. Such an ice center may have originated in Cargonian Highlands of Africa. After Crowell and Frakes (1975) and Assine et al. (2018). (C) Interpretation of “Uruguayan Ice Center” during glacial retreat phase. After Crowell and Frakes (1975) and Assine et al. (2018).

Chapter 4. Provenance of late Paleozoic glacial/post-glacial deposits in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin, Uruguay and southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil

Abstract

The Paraná Basin, Brazil and the Chaco-Paraná Basin, Uruguay both contain sedimentary records that are critical to reconstructing late Paleozoic ice centers in central Gondwana. The orientations of subglacial erosional features and glaciotectonic deformation features suggest that late Paleozoic glacial deposits in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin and the southernmost Paraná Basin are genetically related. However, the location and extent of the ice center responsible for depositing these sediments is unclear. Furthermore, changes in drainage patterns between glacial, inter-glacial, and post-glacial intervals are not understood for this region. Therefore, this study utilized U-Pb detrital zircon geochronology to assess the provenance of glacial and post-glacial sediments from the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin (San Gregorio, Cerro Pelado, Tres Islas Fms.) and the southernmost Paraná Basin (Itararé Gp.). Results show dominant age peaks at ~520-555 Ma, ~625 Ma, 750-780 Ma, and 900-1000 Ma in all samples from the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin. These zircons are interpreted to have been derived from sources in the Cuchilla Dionisio Terrane, Punta del Este Terrane, and Namaqua Belt located in southeastern Uruguay and southern Namibia. Another important source was likely Devonian sedimentary rocks of the Durazno Gp. in eastern Uruguay. Meanwhile, a sample of the glaciogenic Itararé Gp. from the southernmost Paraná Basin contains a different detrital zircon signature with peaks at ~580 Ma, ~780 Ma, ~2110 Ma, and ~2500 Ma that closely resembles underlying sedimentary and meta-sedimentary rocks of the Precambrian/Cambrian Camaquã Basin. Detrital zircon ages in the glacial and post-glacial sediments indicate that local sources were dominant. In contrast, zircon ages from relatively ice-distal glaciomarine intervals reflect more distal sources to the E and SE, which indicates a larger drainage catchment opened up when glaciers retreated. These results

support the hypothesis that an ice center in the highlands of southern Namibia and southeast Uruguay expanded W into the Chaco-Paraná Basin and NW into the Paraná Basin and receded to the SE. This ice center was separated from the ice center responsible for glaciation of the eastern Paraná Basin. Therefore, at least two separate ice centers, based in African highlands, were responsible for depositing glacial sediments in the Paraná and Chaco-Paraná Basins.

4.1. Introduction

The late Paleozoic ice age (LPIA, late Devonian to late Permian) was a time characterized by unique climate conditions relative to most of Earth's history. Glaciers were present in high- to mid-latitude basins across Gondwana, coinciding with the growth of widespread equatorial forests and an atmosphere defined by low $p\text{CO}_2$ and high $p\text{O}_2$ (e.g. Gastaldo et al., 1996, Isbell et al., 2003, 2012; Raymond and Metz, 2004; Montañez and Soreghan, 2006; Fielding et al., 2008; Montañez and Poulsen, 2013; Frank et al., 2015). Interpretations of glaciation during this period have evolved over the past several decades from the original hypothesis of a single, continental-scale ice sheet that persisted for ~100 my to a new paradigm of multiple smaller ice sheets and ice caps that fluctuated in time and space on shorter, ~1-10 my timescales (e.g. Isbell et al., 2003, 2012; Fielding et al., 2008). However, the true nature of the glacial interval, including ice extent, the role of the various climate drivers, and the timing of fluctuations in ice volume, remains unclear.

Considerable work has been done on the LPIA glacial record of individual basins across Gondwana, providing new levels of insight into the sedimentary processes and intrabasinal correlations (e.g. Lopez-Gamundi, 1997; Visser, 1997a; Vesely and Assine, 2006; Fielding et al.,

2010). Despite these advances, the temporal and physical connections between strata in adjacent basins remain been poorly constrained due to the lack of reliable radiometric ages and dispersed biostratigraphic zonations. However, the introduction of detrital zircon geochronology as a tool to assess the provenance of glacial strata allows for improved understanding of paleo-drainage patterns, ice extent, and the interbasinal connections between seemingly disparate deposits (e.g. Canile et al., 2016; Linol et al., 2016; Griffis et al., 2019; Craddock et al., 2019). In particular, one critical area to this problem is the cross-Atlantic correlation of late Paleozoic glacial sediments in Africa and South America. Two basins relevant to this question are the Paraná and Chaco-Paraná Basins, which were located on the border between present-day Africa and South America during the late Paleozoic (Fig. 4.1).

The Paraná Basin is an intracratonic sedimentary basin located in Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay, and Argentina while the Chaco-Paraná Basin is located to the south and southwest in Uruguay, Paraguay, and Argentina (e.g. França and Potter, 1991; Goso, 1995; De Santa Ana, 2004; De Santa Ana et al., 2006a, 2006b; Holz et al., 2010; Vesely et al., 2015; Assine et al., 2018). The Chaco-Paraná Basin is connected to the main body of the Paraná Basin near the Brazil/Uruguay/Argentina border (Fig. 4.1) (Winn Jr. and Steinmatz, 1998). Both basins contain a Permo-Carboniferous glacial record and are thought to have a closely related depositional history (e.g. Assine et al., 2018). However, the glacial record in the Chaco-Paraná Basin is significantly understudied compared to the Paraná Basin due to poor surficial exposure of outcrops (e.g. Winn Jr. and Steinmatz, 1998). The basins are partially separated by a paleotopographic high known as the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (RGS) that contains isolated outcrops of Carboniferous glacial sediments (Fig. 4.2) (e.g. Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior 1982, 1997; Fedorchuk et al., 2019a).

Northeast of the RGS, on the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin (Brazil), the primarily E-W orientations of subglacial grooves and striations, combined with paleocurrent orientations and ice marginal deformation structures, have long implied that a northern Namibia-based ice center drained west into the eastern Paraná Basin (e.g. Frakes and Crowell, 1972; Crowell and Frakes, 1975; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008, Vesely et al., 2015; Rosa et al., 2016, 2019). In support of this hypothesis, recent detrital zircon geochronology studies have indicated that the glacial sediments on the eastern margin of the Paraná basin indeed have an African provenance (e.g. Canile et al., 2016; Griffis et al., 2019). In contrast, detrital zircons from the southernmost margin of the Paraná Basin, collected from the RGS, have a completely different age distribution from those on the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin and southern samples do not appear to have an African source (Griffis et al., 2019, Fedorchuk et al., 2019b). When this distinction is combined with the mostly N and NW flow directions of grooved surfaces and other ice-flow indicators located on the RGS, a separate ice center has been suggested for the southernmost Paraná Basin (and by extension, the Chaco-Paraná Basin) compared to the eastern margin of the Paraná basin (e.g. Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior 1982, 1997; Fedorchuk et al., 2019a). Despite this, the location and extent of this separate ice center remain unclear.

Although there are scattered outcrops of LPIA glacial deposits in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin (Fig. 4.2), no previous study has analyzed the provenance of these sediments. Therefore, detrital zircon samples were collected from these sediments in Uruguay and on the RGS for U-Pb dating by laser ablation inductively-coupled-plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS). The goals of this project were to: (1) test the hypothesis that an ice center, separate from the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin, flowed into the Chaco-Paraná Basin and the southern Paraná Basin, (2) constrain ice extent and location by comparing the detrital zircon age

distributions to other studies to determine whether glacial sediments in the Chaco-Paraná Basin have a local provenance, an African provenance, or a provenance from elsewhere in South America, and (3) determine if the provenance of the sediments changed during the shift from glacial to post-glacial conditions, reflecting a widespread shift in paleo-ice-drainage patterns.

4.2. Geologic Setting

Late Paleozoic glacial strata in the Paraná Basin of Brazil are part of the Itararé Gp (Fig. 4.3), which consists of three formations: the Lagoa Azul, Campo Mourão, and Taciba Fms (e.g. França and Potter, 1991). Only the youngest of these, the Taciba Fm., is exposed on the southernmost part of the basin (e.g. França and Potter, 1991; Holz et al., 2010). Meanwhile, LPIA glacial strata in the Chaco-Paraná Basin (Uruguay) are known as the San Gregorio Fm. (Fig. 4.3) (e.g. Goso, 1995; De Santa Ana, 2004; De Santa Ana et al., 2006a, 2006b; Assine et al., 2018). Both the Itararé Gp. and San Gregorio Fm. are characterized by glacially-influenced facies associations that include sandstones, rhythmites with dropstones, grooved/striated surfaces interpreted as subglacial erosional features, conglomerates, and diamictites with striated and faceted clasts (e.g. De Santa Ana, 2004; De Santa Ana et al., 2006a, 2006ba; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008; Vesely et al., 2015). On the RGS, the Itararé Gp. overlies Precambrian igneous and metamorphic terranes including the Taquarembó Block, the Porongos Belt, the São Gabriel Terrane, and the Pelotas Batholith, as well as Neoproterozoic and Cambrian sedimentary rocks of the Camaquã Basin (Fig. 4.3) (e.g. Gastal et al., 2005; Saalman et al., 2005, 2006, 2011; Hartmann et al., 2011). In Uruguay, outcrops of the San Gregorio Fm. overlie several Precambrian igneous and metamorphic terranes including the Cuchilla Dionisio Terrane, the Nico Pérez Terrane, and the Piedra Alta Terrane (e.g. Santos et al., 2003; Mallmann et al., 2007;

Gaucher et al., 2008; Saalman et al., 2011). The San Gregorio Fm. also rests on Devonian sedimentary rocks of the Durazno Group (Fig. 4.3) (e.g. Uriz et al., 2016).

On the RGS, the postglacial unit that directly overlies the Itararé Group is the coal bearing Rio Bonito Fm (e.g. Holz, 2003; Holz et al., 2006). Radiogenic U-Pb ages of zircons have been measured from ash fall beds within the Rio Bonito Fm. These ages place the Itararé Gp./Rio Bonito Fm. contact very close to the Gzhelian/Asselian boundary (Fig. 4.3), thus constraining glaciation on the RGS to the Carboniferous (e.g. Cagliari et al., 2016; Griffis et al., 2018). In Uruguay, the San Gregorio Fm. interfingers with and is topped by glaciomarine sediments of the Cerro Pelado Fm. and the postglacial, fluvial-deltaic Tres Islas Fm. that pinches out to the west (e.g. De Santa Ana, 2004; De Santa Ana et al., 2006a, 2006ba). The lack of ash beds in the San Gregorio, Cerro Pelado, or Tres Islas Fms. means that the only age constraints on the glaciation are placed by biostratigraphy. Some researchers consider the glacial deposits of the San Gregorio Fm. to have been deposited entirely in the Carboniferous, while others consider deposition to have extended into the early Permian (e.g. Closs, 1967a, 1967b, 1969; Marques-Toigo, 1970, 1974; Beltan, 1981; Braun et al., 2003; Beri et al., 2011, 2015).

Several hypotheses exist for the location and extent of an ice center that supplied sediment to the RGS and the Chaco-Paraná Basin. One scenario, which is typical of traditional views of the late Paleozoic ice age, suggests that a single massive ice center over Africa or Antarctica spread westward into the Chaco-Paraná and Paraná Basins (Gesicki et al., 1998; Gesicki et al., 2002; Starck and Papa, 2006; Holz et al., 2008). Another hypothesis illustrates at least two separate ice centers that spread multiple unconfined lobes onto the eastern and southern margins of the Paraná Basin (e.g. Frakes and Crowell, 1972; Crowell and Frakes, 1975; Assine et al., 2018). This hypothesis commonly portrays a northern ice center over the Winhoek Highlands

in northern Namibia and a southern ice center over the Cargonian Highlands in southern Namibia and South Africa (Fig. 4.1). A third hypothesis envisions ice that entered the Chaco-Paraná Basin and southernmost Paraná Basin to have been confined to a network of paleofjords draining off Africa (e.g. Tedesco et al., 2016; Fallgatter and Paim, 2017). Finally, a fourth hypothesis depicts multiple small ice caps or sheets on locally uplifted areas around South American Basins (e.g. Santos et al., 1996; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008). Potential sediment source areas for the southernmost Paraná Basin and eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin that have been interpreted as paleotopographic highs include the RGS (Brazil), the Pampean Arch (Argentina), and the Cargonian Highlands (southern Namibia) (e.g. Winn Jr. and Steinmetz, 1998; Visser, 1997b; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008). Each of these areas has a distinct detrital zircon age signature that can be compared to samples collected on the RGS and in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin.

4.3. Location

Samples were collected from a total of four localities that include one location on the RGS (Paraná Basin, Brazil) and three locations in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin, Uruguay (Fig. 4.2). The RGS sample was collected from a glaciotectonized complex of Itararé Gp. sediments in the Lavras do Sul Municipality, Rio Grande do Sul State (Location 1) (Fig. 4.2). The sedimentology and stratigraphy of this locality were described in detail by Tomazelli and Soliani Jr (1997) and Fedorchuk et al. (2019a) (Fig. 4.4). The mean orientation of paleocurrents at Location 1 is to the NW at $312.2 \pm 10.2^\circ$ (1σ) (Fig. 4.4). Two of the Uruguayan localities (Locations 2 and 3) are situated in the Cerro Largo Department, outside the city of Melo (~ 50 km from the Brazil/Uruguay border) (Fig. 4.2). Location 2 was described in detail by Assine et al. (2018) and contains large subglacially carved “whaleback” structures, oriented between 320°

and 340° carved into igneous basement. Sandstones and diamictites of the San Gregorio Fm. overlie these whalebacks and contain multi-directional iceberg keel marks carved into bedding planes (Fig. 4.4). This location also contains an outcrop of the postglacial Tres Islas Fm. that overlies the glaciogenic sediments. Paleocurrent data collected from the Tres Islas Fm. at Location 2 indicates flow towards the SE at $155 \pm 12.8^\circ$ (1σ) (Fig. 4.4). Meanwhile, Location 3 was described by Goso (1995) and contains outcrops of the Cerro Pelado Fm. overlain by coarse fluvial sandstones of the Tres Islas Fm. (Figs. 4.2 and 4.4). Measurements of paleocurrents in the Tres Islas Fm. at Location 3 reveal flow to the SW at $251 \pm 23.6^\circ$ (1σ) (Fig. 4.4). Finally, Location 4 is a quarry outside of Andresito (Uruguay, ~300 km SW of Locations 2 and 3) that contains the San Gregorio Fm. (Figs. 4.2 and 4.4).

4.4. Methods

In total, six samples were collected and analyzed for provenance in this study (SUS-1, LMF-2, LMF-3, LMF-5, LP-3, and AND-1). Sample SUS-1 is from a clast-rich sandy diamictite of the Itararé Gp. at Location 1 (RGS, Brazil) (Fig. 4.4) (e.g. Hambrey and Glasser, 2003). LMF-2 and LMF-3 are from the San Gregorio Fm. at Location 2 (Uruguay) (Fig. 4.4). LMF-3 is a sample of clast-rich intermediate diamictite that immediately overlies striated whaleback structures. LMF-2 was collected from a medium, quartz sandstone bed with soft-sediment grooves (interpreted as iceberg keel marks) that is located stratigraphically ~15 m above LMF-3 (Fig. 4.4). LMF-5 was taken from a cross-bedded, arkosic, medium sandstone of the post-glacial Tres Islas Fm. at Location 2 that is located stratigraphically ~10 m above LMF-2 (Fig. 4.4). LP-3 is from a very fine quartz sandstone bed in the glaciomarine Cerro Pelado Fm. at Location 3

(Uruguay) (Fig. 4.4). Finally, AND-1 is from a fine quartz sandstone bed in the San Gregorio Fm. at Location 4 (Uruguay) (Fig. 4.4).

The provenance of these samples was determined by U-Pb detrital zircon geochronology. The samples were all analyzed by laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) at the University of California, Davis. A complete description of the zircon separation and ablation methods and complete data is found in Appendices A and B. Samples were compared to each other and to possible sources using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) Test with error in the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF), cross-correlation coefficients from probability density plots (PDPs) of the samples, and multidimensional scaling (MDS) (e.g. Saylor and Sundell, 2016; Vermeesch et al., 2016). For the K-S Test, a P-value of <0.05 indicates with 95% confidence that the two age distributions are not the same. However, this test cannot assess if the samples have the same provenance (e.g. Berry et al., 2001; DeGraaff-Surpless et al., 2003; Saylor and Sundell, 2016). The Cross-correlation coefficient is the coefficient of determination of a cross-plot of two PDPs for the same age range (e.g. Saylor et al., 2012, 2013; Saylor and Sundell, 2016). For this test, an R^2 value will range from 0 to 1 whereby a value close to 1 means that the PDPs are very similar, with closely matching age peaks and peak heights. Kernel Density Plots (KDEs) with adaptive bandwidths were used for visual comparison of samples since their peaks are smoother than PDPs and less likely to contain small peaks that make it difficult to identify broad patterns (Figs. 4.5, 4.6, 4.7) (e.g. Saylor and Sundell, 2016; Vermeesch et al., 2016). Multidimensional scaling (MDS) is similar to a principle component analysis. This test reproduces relative ranks of dissimilarity between samples so that samples more similar to each other plot closer together and samples more dissimilar to each other plot farther apart (e.g. Vermeesch et al., 2016).

To place detrital zircon samples in their proper stratigraphic context, most samples were collected from measured sections describe in previous studies (i.e. Locations 1, 2, and 3). Location 4 is from a previously undescribed section so a new stratigraphic column was measured. The stratigraphic position of each sample is shown next to the stratigraphic column of the locality from which it was collected.

4.5. Results

Sample SUS-1 (Location 1, Itararé Gp., Paraná Basin)

Sample SUS-1 was collected from a clast-rich sandy diamictite of the Itararé Gp. at Location 1. The KDE ($N=53$) of this sample shows a dominant Neoproterozoic peak at ~580 Ma with much smaller (and broader) secondary peaks at ~780 Ma, ~2110 Ma, and ~2500 Ma (Fig. 4.5). In this sample, 2% of the zircons analyzed were Ordovician, 0% were Cambrian, 74% were Neoproterozoic, 2% were Mesoproterozoic, 22% were Paleoproterozoic, and 0% were Archean (Fig. 4.8).

Sample LMF-3 (Location 2, San Gregorio Fm., Chaco-Paraná Basin)

Sample LMF-3 was collected from a clast-rich intermediate diamictite bed of the San Gregorio Fm. at Location 2. Stratigraphically, this bed is <1 m above a whaleback structure carved into crystalline basement. The KDE of LMF-3 ($N=59$) exhibits a dominant peak at ~625

Ma and a secondary peak at ~520 Ma. Less constrained peaks occur at ~750 Ma, ~990 Ma, and ~1850 Ma (Fig. 4.6). LMF-3 contains 0% Ordovician grains, 24% Cambrian grains, 63% Neoproterozoic grains, 7% Mesoproterozoic grains, 5% Paleoproterozoic grains, and 1% Archean grains (Fig. 4.8).

Sample LMF-2 (Location 2, San Gregorio Fm., Chaco-Paraná Basin)

Sample LMF-2 was collected from a medium quartz sandstone bed within the San Gregorio Fm. at Location 2. This sandstone bed is ~15 m higher in the measured section than sample LMF-3 and contains soft sediment grooves interpreted as iceberg keel marks. The KDE of this sample ($N=73$) has a primary peak at ~555 Ma and a secondary peak at ~650 Ma. Smaller and broader peaks occur at ~780 Ma, ~1250 Ma, and ~1890 Ma (Fig. 4.6). LMF-2 contains 0% Ordovician grains, 16% Cambrian grains, 72% Neoproterozoic grains, 4% Mesoproterozoic grains, 8% Paleoproterozoic grains, and 0% Archean grains (Fig. 4.8).

Sample LMF-5 (Location 2, Tres Islas Fm., Chaco-Paraná Basin)

Sample LMF-5 was collected from a medium grained, arkosic sandstone within the Tres Islas Fm. at Location 2. Stratigraphically, this bed is ~10 m above sample LMF-2. The KDE of this sample ($N=50$) shows a primary peak at ~625 Ma and a secondary peak at ~555 Ma (Fig. 4.6). LMF-5 has a less diverse zircon population when compared to most other samples, with 0% Ordovician grains, 4% Cambrian grains, 96% Neoproterozoic grains, 0% Mesoproterozoic grains, 0% Paleoproterozoic grains, and 0% Archean grains (Fig. 4.8).

Sample LP-3 (Location 3, Cerro Pelado Fm., Chaco-Paraná Basin)

Sample LP-3 was collected from a very fine quartz sandstone bed within the Cerro Pelado Fm. at Location 3. The KDE of this sample ($N=37$) shows a primary peak at ~525 Ma and a secondary peak at ~640 Ma. Smaller and broader third-order peaks are located at ~755 Ma, ~1010 Ma, and ~2050 Ma (Fig. 4.6). Sample LP-3 contains 0% Ordovician grains, 35% Cambrian grains, 46% Neoproterozoic grains, 8% Mesoproterozoic grains, 11% Paleoproterozoic grains, and 0% Archean grains (Fig. 4.8).

Sample AND-1 (Location 4, San Gregorio Fm., Chaco-Paraná Basin)

Sample AND-1 is from a fine quartz sandstone bed that is located within the San Gregorio Fm. at Location 4. The KDE of this sample ($N=127$) exhibits a strong primary peak at ~1010 Ma with secondary peaks at ~525 Ma and ~620 Ma. Smaller, less constrained peaks are located at ~850 Ma, 1080 Ma, ~1280 Ma, and ~1960 Ma (Fig. 4.7). AND-1 contains 0% Ordovician grains, 14% Cambrian grains, 41% Neoproterozoic grains, 34% Mesoproterozoic grains, 10% Paleoproterozoic grains, and 1% Archean grains (Fig. 4.8).

4.5.1. Statistical comparison of samples

Samples were compared to each other using the K-S test (Table 4.1), the cross-correlation coefficient (Table 4.2), and MDS (Fig. 4.9) to assess potential source regions and variations in

drainage. Although all of these statistical comparisons are useful, it should be noted that in relatively small- N samples and samples with variable N , such as those in this study, the cross-correlation coefficient is considered a more sensitive metric of similarity (e.g. Saylor and Sundell, 2016). For visual comparison, in Table 4.1, K-S P-values > 0.05 (samples that cannot be distinguished) are highlighted in yellow and in Table 4.2 cross-correlation coefficients (R^2) > 0.5 (an arbitrary cutoff for visual comparison) are highlighted in yellow. In Table 4.2, values that meet the criteria of K-S P-values > 0.05 and $R^2 > 0.5$ have solid red squares around them to indicate samples that are most similar to each other. To examine possible source regions for the samples, their age distributions were compared to detrital zircon samples from several prior studies that vary geographically and temporally (Tables 4.1 and 4.2, Fig. 4.9). These comparative detrital zircon studies include: Carboniferous (Itararé Gp.) rocks from the Paraná Basin in Brazil (Sample STR, Griffis et al., 2019), Neoproterozoic sedimentary rocks (Nomtsas Fm.) from the Nama Basin in southern Namibia (Sample NOM, Blanco et al., 2011), Neoproterozoic metasedimentary rocks (Guaritas Group) from the Camaquã on the RGS, Brazil (Sample GG, Oliveira et al., 2014), Devonian sedimentary rocks (Durazno Gp.) from the Chaco-Paraná Basin in Uruguay (Sample UC-13, Uriz et al., 2016), and Ordovician metasedimentary rocks (Ambato metamorphic complex) from the Sierras Pampeanas in Argentina (Sample CEB-392, Verdecchia et al., 2011).

Sample SUS-1 (Location 1, Itararé Gp., Paraná Basin)

The K-S test indicates that the detrital zircon age distribution of sample SUS-1 cannot be distinguished from samples LMF-3 (San Gregorio Fm.), LMF-2 (San Gregorio Fm.), LMF-5

(Tres Islas Fm.), and UP-12 (Durazno Gp.) (i.e. P-value > 0.05) (Table 4.1). Similarly, MDS indicates SUS-1 is most closely related to LMF-2 and LMF-3 (Fig. 4.9). A comparison to all other samples exhibits a P-value of < 0.05 and, therefore, it can be said with 95% confidence that the other samples have a different zircon population than SUS-1. In direct contrast to these K-S test and MDS results, sample STR (Itararé Gp.) from Griffis et al. (2019) has the highest cross-correlation coefficient ($R^2=0.63$) compared to SUS-1 (Table 4.2). Although this result contradicts the K-S test and MDS, the relatively high R^2 value would seem logical since SUS-1 and STR are both samples of the Itararé Gp. collected on the RGS. The reason for the contradictory result may be that the small sample sizes of both SUS-1 and STR make the K-S test overly sensitive to their differences. No samples had both cross-correlation coefficients > 0.5 and K-S test P-values > 0.05 when compared to SUS-1 (Table 4.2).

Sample LMF-3 (Location 2, San Gregorio Fm., Chaco-Paraná Basin)

The detrital zircon population of sample LMF-3 cannot be distinguished from samples SUS-1 (Itararé Gp.), LMF-2 (San Gregorio Fm.), LMF-5 (Tres Islas Fm.) and LP-3 (Cerro Pelado Fm.) using the K-S test (Table 4.1). All the other samples have P-values < 0.05 and therefore are likely to have different provenance. Only sample LMF-5 has a cross-correlation coefficient > 0.5 ($R^2=0.57$) with sample LMF-3 (Table 4.2). Based on the agreement of the K-S test and the cross-correlation coefficient, it is hypothesized that the zircon provenance of sample LMF-5 is most similar to sample LMF-3. This is supported by the MDS, which also indicates that LMF-5 is most similar to LMF-3 (Fig. 4.9).

Sample LMF-2 (Location 2, San Gregorio Fm., Chaco-Paraná Basin)

The detrital zircon population of LMF-2 cannot be distinguished from those of samples SUS-1 (Itararé Gp.), LMF-3 (San Gregorio Fm.), LMF-5 (Tres Islas Fm.), and LP-3 (Cerro Pelado Fm.) using the K-S test (P-values > 0.05) (Table 4.1). Samples LP-3 and UP-12 (Durazno Gp.) have cross-correlation coefficients that are > 0.5 when compared to LMF-2 (Table 4.2). Sample LP-3 has an R² value of 0.68 and UP-12 has an R² value of 0.70 versus LMF-2. Based on the agreement of the K-S test and the cross-correlation coefficient for sample LP-3, it is hypothesized that the provenance of sample LMF-2 is most similar to sample LP-3. Similarly, the MDS shows that LP-3 is closely related to LMF-2 (Fig. 4.9).

Sample LMF-5 (Location 2, Tres Islas Fm., Chaco-Paraná Basin)

The detrital zircon population of LMF-5 cannot be distinguished from samples SUS-1 (Itararé Gp.), LMF-3 (San Gregorio Fm.), and LMF-2 (San Gregorio Fm.) based on the K-S test (Table 4.1). However, samples LMF-3, STR (Itararé Gp.), and GG (Gauritas Gp.) all have cross-correlation coefficient's > 0.5 that stand out from the rest of the samples (Table 4.2). Sample LMF-3 has a R² value of 0.58, STR has a R² value of 0.55, and GG has a R² of 0.56 compared to sample LMF-5. However, only LMF-3 has both a K-S test P-value > 0.05 and a R² > 0.5, which suggests that LMF-3 has a provenance most similar to LMF-5 (Table 4.2). Supporting this, the MDS connects LMF-5 to LMF-3 as its nearest neighbor (Fig. 4.9).

Sample LP-3 (Location 3, Cerro Pelado Fm., Chaco-Paraná Basin)

The detrital zircon population of LP-3 cannot be distinguished from samples LMF-3 (San Gregorio Fm.), LMF-2 (San Gregorio Fm.), and UP-12 (Durazno Gp.) based on the K-S test (Table 4.1). Both samples LMF-2 and UP-12 also have cross-correlation coefficients that are > 0.5 and are significantly larger than all other samples (Table 4.2). LMF-2 has a R^2 value of 0.68 and UP-12 has an R^2 value of 0.61 in relation to LP-3. Since both LMF-2 and UP-12 have K-S P-values > 0.05 and R^2 values in agreement, these two samples are interpreted to have the most similar provenance to sample LP-3 (Table 4.2). The MDS connects LP-3 to LMF-2 as its nearest neighbor (Fig. 4.9).

Sample AND-1 (Location 4, San Gregorio Fm., Chaco-Paraná Basin)

The detrital zircon population of AND-1 cannot be distinguished from samples NOM (Nomtsas Fm.) and UC-13 (Durazno Gp.) using the K-S test (Table 4.1). Both NOM and UC-13 also have cross-correlation coefficients > 0.5 when compared to AND-1. NOM has an R^2 value of 0.57 and UC-13 has an R^2 value of 0.60 (Table 4.2). Both of these R^2 values are significantly higher than any other samples that were compared to AND-1. Therefore, samples NOM and UC-13 are considered to have similar provenance to AND-1 (Table 4.2). This interpretation is further supported by the MDS which shows nearest neighbor lines connecting AND-1 to both UC-13 and NOM (Fig. 4.9).

4.6. Discussion

Based on the U-Pb detrital zircon results presented here, the most likely source region for the glacial sediments (San Gregorio Fm.) deposited in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin is the Cuchilla Dionisio terrane (Uruguay), the Punta del Este terrane (Uruguay) and, by extension, the Namaqua Belt in Africa, which would have been directly connected to the Punta del Este terrane during the late Paleozoic (Figs 4.1 and 4.2) (Basei et al., 2011). These terranes were located to the southeast and east of the basin and were likely connected to the Cargonian Highlands that stretched across southern Africa (Fig. 4.1) (e.g. Visser, 1997b). A comparison of the detrital zircon signature from glacial (ice-proximal), glaciomarine (relatively ice-distal), and postglacial samples exhibits an interesting trend whereby glacial sediments reflect highly local erosion of underlying sediments or crystalline basement. Meanwhile, more diverse detrital zircon ages from distal sources are found under glaciomarine conditions. Post-glacial fluvial sediments reflect incision of local underlying units and a return to highly local provenance that is similar to that of ice-proximal sediments.

4.6.1. Comparison of samples to likely source regions

The Cuchilla Dionisio Terrane and Punta del Este Terrane are interpreted as extensions of the Gariep Belt and Namaqua Belt in southern Africa that were formed by the collision of the Río de la Plata and Kalahari Cratons during final assembly of SW Gondwana in the Neoproterozoic (Fig. 4.1) (the Brasiliano Orogeny, e.g. Gaucher et al., 2008). These terranes have been previously depicted as highlands that supplied the sediments of the Devonian Durazno

Gp. in eastern Uruguay (e.g. Uriz et al., 2016) and were likely connected to the Cargonian Highlands of southern Africa, a paleotopographic high formed by subduction under the southern margin of Gondwana (Fig. 4.1) (e.g. Visser, 1997b).

Based on the detrital zircon ages described here, the Cuchilla Dionisio and Punta del Este Terranes are considered here as the most likely source of sediment to the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin during the LPIA. For example, in the samples from the Chaco-Paraná Basin that were analyzed (i.e. samples LMF-3, LMF-2, LMF-5, LP-3, AND-1) the two most common age peaks were ~520-555 Ma and ~625 Ma. The ~625 Ma ages are typical of syn-tectonic granites of the Cuchilla Dionisio Terrane (Uruguay) which directly underlies the study Locations 2 and 3 and is therefore the most plausible source of zircons (e.g. Blanco, 2009, Basei et al., 2011). Meanwhile, the ~520-555 Ma ages are consistent with post-tectonic granite intrusions (Fig. 4.2B) to the south and east in the Punta del Este Terrane (Uruguay) such as the El Pintor Granite, José Ignacio Granite, the Rocha Granite, and the Santa Teresa Granite (e.g. Blanco et al., 2009, Basei et al., 2011). Grenvillian ages of ~900-1000 Ma are also found within gneisses of the Cerro Olivo Complex, part of the Punta del Este Terrane and, correlatively, the Namaqua Belt (e.g. Blanco et al., 2009, Basei et al., 2011). Finally, pre-tectonic Brasiliano ages of ~750-780 Ma are found in migmatites of the Punta del Este Terrane (e.g. Bossi and Gaucher, 2004; Blanco et al., 2009). Critically, in all the samples analyzed from the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin, the relatively low abundance (<12%) of Paleoproterozoic grains, which are typical to the Nico Pérez and the Piedra Alta Terranes (Fig. 4.2B) indicates that rocks to the SW and W of the Uruguayan Shield were not a significant source of sediment.

Along with the Cuchilla Dionisio Terrane and Punta del Este Terrane, another important source of zircons for the Chaco-Paraná Basin samples (LMF-3, LMF-2, LMF-5, LP-3, AND-1)

appears to be recycled Devonian sediments on the Rio de la Plata Craton whose provenance was described by Uriz et al. (2016). This is particularly true of sample AND-1, which is from an outcrop of the San Gregorio Fm. located just west of Devonian Durazno Gp. outcrops (Fig. 4.2B). The age distribution of zircons in sample AND-1 is quite different from the other Chaco-Paraná Basin samples that were analyzed, with a higher percentage of Mesoproterozoic grains (34% compared to 7%, 4%, 0%, and 8%) (Fig. 4.8) but is very similar to sample UC-13 (Uriz et al., 2016) of the Durazno Gp., which was collected ~80 km from AND-1. Both the K-S test and cross-correlation coefficient ($R^2=0.60$) support the likelihood that the Durazno Gp. was the primary source of sediments in AND-1 (Table 4.2). This indicates a different drainage network, flowing towards the west, for Location 4. Interestingly, AND-1 also has similar provenance to NOM (Nomtsas Fm.) from the Nama Basin in Namibia (Table 4.2) (Blanco et al., 2011). In particular the ~1080 Ma peak is most similar to the ~1065 Ma peak in sample NOM (Fig. 4.7). The likely explanation for the similarity is that Devonian Durazno Gp. and the Cambrian Nomtsas Fm. have similar provenance with both samples consisting of zircons derived from elevated areas of the Cuchilla Dionisio Terrane and Namaqua Terrane. It also seems plausible that the Nama Basin sediments were eroded and resedimented into the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin during the LPIA and were possibly mixed with resedimented Devonian sediments.

Alternative source areas for the Chaco-Paraná Basin sediments in Uruguay that had to be ruled out include the RGS in southern Brazil and the Sierra Pampeanas located in Argentina. When looking at the RGS, the primary Neoproterozoic peak detected from sample SUS-1 (Itararé Gp.) is ~680 Ma with a secondary peak at ~780 Ma. These peaks and their relative heights are similar to sample STR (Itararé Gp.) from Griffis et al. (2019) and sample GG (Guaritas Group) from Oliveira et al. (2014), which are also from the RGS (Fig. 4.5). The

relatively high cross-correlation coefficients support a similar provenance for SUS-1, STR, and GG (Table 4.2). Thus, it seems most likely that the Precambrian/Cambrian Camaquã Basin sediments (Guaritas Group) were easily eroded by glaciers and resedimented across the RGS during the late Paleozoic.

The K-S test indicates different provenance for the RGS samples and the Chaco-Paraná Basin samples (Table 4.1). Only LMF-5 (the post-glacial Tres Islas Fm.) has a relatively high cross-correlation coefficient when compared to the RGS (Table 4.2). Furthermore, the RGS samples (SUS-1, GG, and STR) lack the slightly younger ~520-555 Ma peak common in the Chaco-Paraná Basin samples (LMF-3, LMF-2, LMF-5, LP-3, AND-1) (Figs. 4.5 and 4.6). The RGS samples also contain more Paleoproterozoic grains compared to the Chaco-Paraná Basin samples (Fig. 4.8). Based on this data, we hypothesize that the RGS was not a major source of sediment to the Chaco-Paraná Basin samples analyzed here. However, it should be noted that the MDS indicates similarity between SUS-1 and samples LMF-3 and LMF-2 (the San Gregorio Fm.) from Uruguay (Fig. 4.9). This may indicate that some sediment from Uruguay was transported N onto the RGS during glaciation and mixed with the Camaquã Basin sediments, although this connection seems tenuous. Meanwhile, none of the samples from the Chaco-Paraná Basin or the RGS (LMF-3, LMF-2, LMF-5, LP-3, AND-1, SUS-1) have K-S P-values or cross-correlation coefficients that support a similar provenance to sample CEB-392 from the Sierra Pampeanas (Table 4.2) (Verdecchia et al., 2011).

4.6.2. Late Paleozoic drainage patterns in southern Brazil and Uruguay

Trends in the detrital zircon age distributions demonstrate shifts in drainage between ice-proximal, relatively ice-distal, and post-glacial sediments. For example, in the sample LMF-3 (Location 2) of diamictite from the San Gregorio Fm. that directly overlies glacially carved basement features (i.e. ice-proximal sediments), there is a higher proportion of ages that reflect the underlying syn-tectonic granites (Figs. 4.2B and 4.6). This supports local erosion and deposition. In the KDE, this is represented by a dominance of the older ~625 Ma peak over the younger ~520-555 Ma peak (post-tectonic granites) (Figs. 4.2B and 4.6) (Blanco, 2009, Basei et al., 2011). Meanwhile, sample LMF-2 was collected in the slightly more ice-distal (glaciomarine) sandstones of the San Gregorio Fm. at the sample location that contain iceberg keel marks and are stratigraphically ~15 m above sample LMF-3 (Fig. 4.4). In contrast to sample LMF-3, LMF-2 contains a dominant younger ~520-555 Ma peak which is probably derived from zircons of the post-tectonic granite intrusions to the E and SE of the study area (Figs. 4.2B and 4.6). This indicates that when ice retreated to the E and SE a wider drainage catchment opened up and more young zircons were eroded, transported, and deposited at Location 2. This interpretation is also supported by sample LP-3 which is from the glaciomarine Cerro Pelado Fm. at Location 3. Similar to LMF-2, LP-3 also shows a dominant younger ~520-555 Ma peak over the older ~625 Ma peak, which supports a wider drainage for this glaciomarine sample (Figs. 4.2B and 4.6). The similar provenance of samples LMF-2 and LP-3 is supported by the K-S test (Table 4.1), the MDS (Fig. 4.9), and the cross-correlation coefficient ($R^2=0.68$) (Table 4.2), which indicate that these samples are most similar to each other. A strikingly similar trend was observed for ice-proximal/ice-distal sediments in the eastern Paraná Basin by Griffis et al. (2019). The study by Griffis et al. (2019) also noted the shift to more diverse and exotic zircons

from Africa in ice-distal glaciomarine facies and less diverse and more local South American zircons in ice-proximal facies.

At Location 2, the postglacial Tres Islas Fm. is comprised of arkosic, cross-bedded, fluvial sandstones. Sample LMF-5 was collected from one of these sandstones ~12 m above the glaciomarine sample LMF-2 (Fig. 4.4). The detrital zircon age distribution shows a similarity to the ice-proximal sample LMF-3 with a dominant ~625 Ma peak and lack of diverse grains (Fig. 4.6). The K-S P-value and cross-correlation coefficient ($R^2=0.57$) both support a close relationship between LMF-5 and LMF-3 (Table 4.2). This similarity reflects a return to primarily local provenance (Fig. 4.2B). The abundance of locally sourced sediment may be the result of fluvial incision driven by a drop in base level, tectonically driven uplift, or glacial rebound (isostatic uplift) during postglacial times.

4.6.3. Ice center and paleogeographic reconstructions

The detrital zircon ages measured from the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin at Locations 2, 3, and 4 all reflect sources in eastern and southeastern Uruguay (Fig. 4.2B), and possibly southwestern Namibia (Fig. 4.1A). This indicates that sediments were likely transported towards the W and NW, out of present Africa during the late Paleozoic. Grooved surfaces and whalebacks at Location 2 also indicate fast-flowing ice moved towards the NW (Fig. 4.10A) (e.g. Assine et al., 2018). This is further supported by previous studies of glacial deposits and grooved surfaces in Africa that show an ice center in the Cargonian Highlands of Africa that drained radially into adjacent basins, including a westward flow towards the Chaco-Paraná Basin (Fig. 4.10B) (e.g. Visser, 1989, 1997b). The detrital zircon ages detailed here represent a similar

drainage pattern to that responsible for deposition of the Devonian Durazno Gp. As reported by Uriz et al. (2016), which also hypothesizes that sediments were sourced in highlands of southeastern Uruguay and southern Namibia. This implies that this area was a persistent source area since the Devonian.

Meanwhile, grooved surfaces and glaciotectionic deformation on the RGS (southernmost Brazil) show a pattern of radial ice flow to the N, NE, and NW (Fig. 4.10A) (e.g. Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior 1982, 1997; Fedorchuk et al., 2019a). This aligns with the hypothesis that the southern Namibian based ice center extended N across SE Uruguay and onto the RGS as an unconfined lobe (Fig. 4.10B). However, the detrital zircon ages from the San Gregorio Fm. in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin are quite different from the Itararé Gp. on the RGS. Some authors have proposed a small isolated ice center on the RGS that drained radially outward (e.g. Santo et al., 1996; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008). However, based on this study and the abundance of paleo-ice flow indicators showing flow to the north, the most likely explanation for the different provenance is that the abundance of easily-erodible Precambrian/Cambrian Camaquã Basin sediments contributed most of zircons to the Itararé Gp. on the RGS and erased any Uruguayan signature. Meanwhile, previous detrital zircon studies have suggested that the Itararé Gp. on the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin (north of the RGS) have a northern Namibian provenance (e.g. Canile et al., 2016; Griffis et al., 2019). These samples from the eastern Paraná Basin have dominant Mesoproterozoic peaks that are quite different than those from both the RGS (e.g. Griffis et al., 2019) and the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin. This supports the interpretation that the RGS was a paleotopographic divide between the Paraná and Chaco-Paraná Basins.

In conclusion, the results from this study help create a link between the glacial deposits in SW Namibia, eastern Uruguay, and southernmost Brazil. This supports the hypothesis that

unconfined ice extended out of the Cargonian Highlands (southwestern Namibia and southeastern Uruguay) into the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin and southernmost Paraná Basin (Fig. 4.10B). This glacier was likely from a different ice center than the Windhoek ice center that was responsible for glacial sediments on the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin (Fig. 4.10B) (e.g. Frakes and Crowell, 1972; Crowell and Frakes, 1975). This contradicts both the hypothesis of a single massive ice center and the hypothesis of a small isolated ice cap on the RGS (e.g. Santos et al., 1996; Gesicki et al., 1998; Gesicki et al., 2002; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008). The presence of two topographically controlled ice centers in Africa is evidence that glaciers preferentially persisted in some areas, and emanated out of these areas when paleoclimate cooled. This reinforces the Equilibrium Line Altitude (ELA) as an important control on where glaciers nucleated and spread from during the LPIA (Fig. 4.10B). Furthermore, while the detrital zircon ages described in this study primarily reflected local sources, clear differences in drainage were noted between ice-proximal, relatively ice-distal glaciomarine, and post-glacial sediments. This may be related to isostatic adjustments such as the formation of an isostatic trough during glacial advance and rebound of this trough as ice retreated. This may have occurred in conjunction with the blockage and opening of preglacial drainage systems as ice advanced and retreated over the study area. These environment-specific differences in detrital zircon signatures may have important implications for how detrital zircons are interpreted in glacially-influenced margins. Therefore, future detrital zircon studies of late Paleozoic glacial deposits should account for the possibility of regional and environmental variability when making broad interpretations of sediment provenance.

4.7. Conclusions

- (1) The San Gregorio and Cerro Pelado Fms., as well as the Tres Islas Fm. from the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin in Uruguay have a different provenance than the glacial sediments (Itararé Gp.) on the RGS in southernmost Brazil.
- (2) The detrital zircon samples collected from late Paleozoic strata in the Chaco-Paraná Basin primarily reflect source terranes in E and SE Uruguay or Africa such as the the Cuchilla Dionisio Terrane, Punta del Este Terrane, and Namaqua Belt. The Devonian Durazno Gp. is also a likely source for these sediments.
- (3) The detrital zircon sample (SUS-1) of the Itararé Gp. collected from the RGS has a similar provenance to another Itararé Gp. sample collected from elsewhere on the RGS reported by Griffis et al. (2019). This sample also has a similar provenance to the Precambrian/Cambrian Guaritas Gp. sample (Oliviera et al., 2014) from the Camaquã Basin on the RGS. Therefore, it is hypothesized that glaciers easily eroded the Camaquã Basin strata on the RGS and redeposited those sediments across the RGS during the Carboniferous.
- (4) Based on the provenance of the late Paleozoic sediments from the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin and southernmost Paraná Basin, combined with paleo-ice flow directions from other studies, the most likely scenario is that an ice center was located over highlands in SE Uruguay, southern Namibia, and northern South Africa. An unconfined lobe likely flowed NW onto the RGS and W into the Chaco-Paraná Basin from this ice center. The detrital zircon ages do not support an isolated ice center over the RGS or an eastward flowing ice-center from the Sierra Pampeanas.

- (5) Comparison of the detrital zircon age distribution from ice-proximal, relatively ice-distal glaciomarine, and post-glacial strata indicates that changes in drainage occurred during ice advance/retreat. Ice-proximal and post-glacial fluvial strata primarily have zircons sources from local igneous basement (~625 Ma), while the relatively ice-distal glaciomarine strata contain more younger zircons (~520-555 Ma) from a larger drainage catchment to the E and SE of the study area.
- (6) This study supports the hypothesis that at least two topographically controlled ice centers located over different highlands in Africa supplied sediments to the Paraná and Chaco-Paraná Basins in Brazil and Uruguay. One ice center was likely located over the Windhoek Highlands in northern Namibia and another was located in the Cargonian Highlands of southern Namibia, northern South Africa, and southeast Uruguay.

References

- Assine, M. L., de Santa Ana, H., Veroslavsky, G., Vesely, F. F., 2018. Exhumed subglacial landscape in Uruguay; erosional landforms, depositional environments, and paleo-ice flow in the context of the late Paleozoic Gondwanan glaciation. *Sedimentary Geology* 369, 1-12.
- Basei, M. A. S., Peel, E., Sanchez Bettucci, L., Preciozzi, F., Nutman, A. P., 2011. The basement of the Punta del Este Terrane (Uruguay); an African Mesoproterozoic fragment at the eastern border of the South American Rio de La Plata Craton. *International Journal of Earth Sciences = Geologische Rundschau* 100, 289-304.
- Beltan, L., 1981. *Coccocephalichthys tessellatus* n. sp. (Pisces, Actinopterygii) from the Upper Carboniferous of Uruguay. 2nd Congresso Latino-Americano de Paleontologia, Porto Alegre, pp. 95–105.
- Beri, Á., Gutiérrez, P., Balarino, L., 2011. Palynostratigraphy of the late Palaeozoic of Uruguay, Paraná Basin. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 167, 16–29.
- Beri, Á., Gutiérrez, P.R., Balarino, M.L., 2015. The late Paleozoic palynological diversity in southernmost Paraná (Uruguay), Claromecó and Paganzo basins (Argentina), Western Gondwana. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 64, 183–189.
- Berry, R.F., Jenner, G.A., Meffre, S., Tubrett, M.N., 2001. A North American provenance for Neoproterozoic to Cambrian sandstones in Tasmania? *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 192, 207-222.
- Blanco, G., Germs, G. J. B., Rajesh, H. M., Chemale, F., Jr., Dussin, I. A., Justino, D., 2011. Provenance and paleogeography of the Nama Group (Ediacaran to early Palaeozoic, Namibia); petrography, geochemistry and U/Pb detrital zircon geochronology. *Precambrian Research* 187, 15-32.
- Blanco, G., Rajesh, H. M., Gaucher, C., Germs, G. J. B., Chemale, F., Jr., 2009. Provenance of the Arroyo del Soldado Group (Ediacaran to Cambrian, Uruguay); implications for the paleogeographic evolution of southwestern Gondwana. *Precambrian Research* 171, 57-73.
- Bossi, J., Gaucher, C., 2004. The Cuchilla Dionisio Terrane, Uruguay; an allochthonous block accreted in the Cambrian to SW-Gondwana. *Gondwana Research* 7, 661-674.
- Braun, A., Sprechmann, P., Gaucher, C., 2003. Stratigraphic age of phosphorite-nodules from the San Gregorio Formation of Uruguay. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Monatshefte* 12, 739–748.
- Cagliari, J., Philipp, R. P., Buso, V. V., Netto, R. G., Klaus Hillebrand, P., da Cunha Lopes, R., Stipp Basei, M. A., Faccini, U. F., 2016. Age constraints of the glaciation in the Paraná Basin: evidence from new U–Pb dates. *Journal of the Geological Society* 173, 871-874.
- Canile, F. M., Babinski, M., Rocha-Campos, A. C., 2016. Evolution of the Carboniferous-Early Cretaceous units of Parana Basin from provenance studies based on U-Pb, Hf and O isotopes from detrital zircons. *Gondwana Research* 40, 142-169.

- Closs, D., 1967a. Orthocone cephalopods from the Upper Carboniferous of Argentina and Uruguay. *Ameghiniana* 5, 123–129.
- Closs, D., 1967b,. Upper Carboniferous anaptychi from Uruguay. *Ameghiniana* 5, 145–148.
- Closs, D., 1969. Intercalation of goniatites in the Gondwanic glacial beds of Uruguay. *Gondwana Stratigraphy, IUGS 1st Gondwana Symposium*. UNESCO, Paris, Buenos Aires, pp. 197–212.
- Craddock, J. P., Ojakangas, R. W., Malone, D. H., Konstantinou, A., Mory, A., Bauer, W., Thomas, R. J., Affinati, S. C., Pauls, K., Zimmerman, U., Botha, G., Rochas-Campos, A., Tohver, E., Riccomini, C., Martin, J., Redfern, J., Horstwood, M., Gehrels, G., 2019. Detrital zircon provenance of Permo-Carboniferous glacial diamictites across Gondwana. *Earth-Science Reviews*.
- Crowell, J., Frakes, L., 1975. The late Paleozoic glaciation. *Gondwana geology* 3, 313-331.
- DeGraaff-Surpless, K., Mahoney, J.B., Wooden, J.L., McWilliams, M.O., 2003,. Lithofacies control in detrital zircon provenance studies: insights from the Cretaceous Methow Basin, southern Canadian Cordillera. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 115, 899-915.
- De Santa Ana, H., 2004. Análise Tectono-Estratigráfica das Sequências Permotriássica e Jurocretácea da Bacia Chacoparanense Uruguiaia (“Cuenca Norte”) (PhD Dissertation). Universidade Estadual Paulista (Unesp), Rio Claro. Brasil, 274 pp.
- De Santa Ana, H., Goso, C., Daners, G., 2006a. Cuenca Norte: estratigrafía del Carbonífero-Pérmico. In: Veroslavsky, G., Ubilla, M., Martinez, S. (Eds.), *Cuencas Sedimentarias de Uruguay. Geología, Paleontología y Recursos Minerales*. Facultad de Ciencias, Montevideo, pp. 147–208.
- De Santa Ana, H., Veroslavsky, G., Fulfaro, V.J., Rossello, E.A., 2006b. Cuenca Norte: Evolución tectónica y sedimentaria del Carbonífero-Pérmico. In: Veroslavsky, G., Ubilla, M., Martinez, S. (Eds.), *Cuencas Sedimentarias de Uruguay. Geología, Paleontología y Recursos Minerales*. Facultad de Ciencias, Montevideo, pp. 209–256.
- Fallgatter, C., Paim, P. S. G., 2017. On the origin of the Itararé Group basal nonconformity and its implications for the Late Paleozoic glaciation in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* (in press). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.02.039>.
- Fedorchuk, N.D., Isbell, J.L., Griffis N.P, Vesely, F.F., Rosa, E.L.M., Montañez, I.P., Mundil R., Yin, Q.-Z., Iannuzzi, R., Roesler, G., Pauls, K.N., 2019a. Carboniferous glaciotectionized sediments in the southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil. Ice marginal dynamics and paleoclimate indicators: *Sedimentary Geology* (in review).
- Fedorchuk, N. D., Isbell, J. L., Griffis, N. P., Montañez, I. P., Vesely, F. F., Iannuzzi, R., Mundil, R., Yin, Q.-Z., Pauls, K. N., Rosa, E. L. M., 2019b. Origin of paleovalleys on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (Brazil): Implications for the extent of late Paleozoic glaciation in

- west-central Gondwana. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, (in press).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.04.013>.
- Fielding, C. R., Frank, T. D., Isbell, J. L., 2008. The late Paleozoic ice age--A review of current understanding and synthesis of global climate patterns. In: Fielding, C. R., Frank, T. D., Isbell, J. L. (Eds.), *Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 441, pp. 343-354.
- Fielding, C. R., Frank, T. D., Isbell, J. L., Henry, L. C., Domack, E. W., 2010. Stratigraphic signature of the late Palaeozoic ice age in the Parmeener Supergroup of Tasmania, SE Australia, and inter-regional comparisons. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 298, 70-90.
- Frakes, L., Crowell, J., 1972. Late Paleozoic glacial geography between the Paraná Basin and the Andean geosyncline. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 44, 139-145.
- Franca, A. B., Potter, P. E., 1991. Stratigraphy and reservoir potential of glacial deposits of the Itarare Group (Carboniferous-Permian), Parana Basin, Brazil. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* 75, 62-85.
- Frank, T. D., Shultis, A. I., Fielding, C. R., 2015. Acme and demise of the late Palaeozoic ice age: A view from the southeastern margin of Gondwana. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 418, 176-192.
- Gastal, M. d. C. P., Lafon, J. M., Hartmann, L. A., Koester, E., 2005. Sm/Nd isotopic compositions as a proxy for magmatic processes during the Neoproterozoic of the southern Brazilian Shield. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 18, 255-276.
- Gastaldo, R. A., DiMichele, W. A., Pfefferkorn, H. W., 1996. Out of the icehouse into the greenhouse; a late Paleozoic analog for modern global vegetational change. *Geological Society America Today* 6, 1-7.
- Gaucher, C., Finney, S. C., Poire, D. G., Valencia, V. A., Grove, M., Blanco, G., Pamoukaghlian, K., Gomez Peral, L., 2008. Detrital zircon ages of Neoproterozoic sedimentary successions in Uruguay and Argentina; insights into the geological evolution of the Rio de la Plata Craton. *Precambrian Research* 167, 150-170.
- Gesicki, A. L. D., Riccomini, C., Boggiani, P. C., 2002. Ice flow direction during late Paleozoic glaciation in western Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 14, 933-939.
- Gesicki, A. L. D., Riccomini, C., Boggiani, P. C., Coimbra, A. M., 1998. The Aquidauana Formation (Parana Basin) in the context of late Palaeozoic glaciation in western Gondwana. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 27, 81-82.
- Goso, C., 1995. Análise estratigráfica da Formação San Gregorio na borda leste da Bacia Norte Uruguaia (Masters Thesis). Unesp - Univeridade Estadual Paulista, Rio Claro, Brazil, 214 pp.
- Griffis, N. P., Mundil, R., Montañez, I. P., Isbell, J., Fedorchuk, N., Vesely, F., Iannuzzi, R., Yin, Q.-Z., 2018,. A new stratigraphic framework built on U-Pb single-zircon TIMS ages and

- implications for the timing of the penultimate icehouse (Paraná Basin, Brazil). *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 130, 848-858.
- Griffis, N. P., Montañez, I. P., Fedorchuk, N., Isbell, J., Mundil, R., Vesely, F., Weinshultz, L., Iannuzzi, R., Gulbranson, E., Taboada, A., Pagani, A., Sanborn, M. E., Huyskens, M., Wimpenny, J., Linol, B., Yin, Q.-Z., 2019. Isotopes to ice: Constraining provenance of glacial deposits and ice centers in west-central Gondwana. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* (in press).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.04.020>.
- Gulbranson, E. L., Montanez, I. P., Schmitz, M. D., Limarino, C. O., Isbell, J. L., Marensi, S. A., Crowley, J. L., 2010. High-precision U-Pb calibration of Carboniferous glaciation and climate history, Paganzo Group, NW Argentina. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 122, 1480-1498.
- Hambrey, M. J., Glasser, N. F., 2003. Glacial sediments: processes, environments and facies. In: Middleton, G.V. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Sediments and Sedimentary Rocks*: Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp. 316-331.
- Hartmann, L. A., Philipp, R. P., Santos, J. O. S., McNaughton, N. J., 2011. Time frame of 753–680Ma juvenile accretion during the São Gabriel orogeny, southern Brazilian Shield. *Gondwana Research* 19, 84-99.
- Holz, M., 2003. Sequence stratigraphy of a lagoonal estuarine system; an example from the Lower Permian Rio Bonito Formation, Parana Basin, Brazil. *Sedimentary Geology* 162, 305-331.
- Holz, M., Franca, A. B., Souza, P. A., Iannuzzi, R., Rohn, R., 2010. A stratigraphic chart of the Late Carboniferous/Permian succession of the eastern border of the Parana Basin, Brazil, South America. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 29, 381-399.
- Holz, M., Kuchle, J., Philipp, R. P., Bischoff, A. P., Arima, N., 2006. Hierarchy of tectonic control on stratigraphic signatures: Base-level changes during the Early Permian in the Paraná Basin, southernmost Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 22, 185-204.
- Holz, M., Souza, P. A., Iannuzzi, R., 2008. Sequence stratigraphy and biostratigraphy of the Late Carboniferous to Early Permian glacial succession (Itarare Subgroup) at the eastern-southeastern margin of the Parana Basin, Brazil. *Special Paper - Geological Society of America* 441, 115-129.
- Isbell, J.L., Miller, M.F., Wolfe, K.L., Lenaker, P.A., 2003. Timing of late Paleozoic glaciation in Gondwana: Was glaciation responsible for the development of northern hemisphere cyclothems? In: Chan, M.A., Archer, A.W. (Eds.), *Extreme depositional environments: Mega end members in geologic time*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 370, 5-24.
- Isbell, J. L., Henry, L. C., Gulbranson, E. L., Limarino, C. O., Fraiser, M. L., Koch, Z. J., Ciccio, P. L., Dineen, A. A., 2012,. Glacial paradoxes during the late Paleozoic ice age:

- Evaluating the equilibrium line altitude as a control on glaciation. *Gondwana Research* 22, 1-19.
- Lopez Gamundi, O. R., 1997. *Glacial-postglacial transition in the late Paleozoic basins of southern South America*, Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- Mallmann, G., Chemale, F., Jr., Avila, J. N., Kawashita, K., Armstrong, R. A., 2007. Isotope geochemistry and geochronology of the Nico Perez Terrane, Rio de la Plata Craton, Uruguay. *Gondwana Research* 12, 489-508.
- Marques-Toigo, M., 1970. *Anabaculites* nov. gen., a new miospore genus from San Gregorio Formation of Uruguay. *Ameghiniana* 7, 79–82.
- Marques-Toigo, M., 1974. Some new species of spores and pollens of Lower Permian age from the San Gregorio Formation in Uruguay. *Anais Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 46, 601–616.
- Montanez, I.P., Soreghan, G., 2006. Earth's fickle climate: lessons learned from deep-time ice ages. *Geotimes* 51, 24.
- Montañez, I. P., Poulsen, C. J., 2013. The Late Paleozoic Ice Age: An Evolving Paradigm. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 41, 629-656.
- Oliveira, C. H. E., Chemale, F., Jelinek, A. R., Bicca, M. M., Philipp, R. P., 2014. U–Pb and Lu–Hf isotopes applied to the evolution of the late to post-orogenic transtensional basins of the dom feliciano belt, Brazil. *Precambrian Research* 246, 240-255.
- Raymond, A. L., Metz, C., Parrish, J. T., 2004. Ice and its consequences; glaciation in the Late Ordovician, Late Devonian, Pennsylvanian-Permian, and Cenozoic compared. *Journal of Geology* 112, 655-670.
- Rocha-Campos, A. C., dos Santos, P. R., Canuto, J. R., 2008. Late Paleozoic glacial deposits of Brazil; Parana Basin. *Special Paper - Geological Society of America* 441, 97-114.
- Rosa, E. L. M., Vesely, F. F., Isbell, J. L., Kipper, F., Fedorchuk, N. D., Souza, P. A., 2019. Constraining the timing, kinematics and cyclicity of Mississippian-Early Pennsylvanian glaciations in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Sedimentary Geology* 384, p29-49.
- Rosa, E. L. M. d., Vesely, F. F., França, A. B., 2016. A review on late Paleozoic ice-related erosional landforms in the Paraná Basin: origin and paleogeographical implications. *Brazilian Journal of Geology* 46, 147-166.
- Saalmann, K., Gerdes, A., Lahaye, Y., Hartmann, L. A., Remus, M. V. D., aLaeufer, A., 2011. Multiple accretion at the eastern margin of the Rio de la Plata Craton; the prolonged Brasiliano Orogeny in southernmost Brazil. *International Journal of Earth Sciences = Geologische Rundschau* 100, 355-378.
- Saalmann, K., Remus, M. V. D., Hartmann, L. A., 2005. Geochemistry and crustal evolution of volcano-sedimentary successions and orthogneisses in the Sao Gabriel Block, southernmost Brazil; relics of Neoproterozoic magmatic arcs. *Gondwana Research* 8, 143-161.

- Saalmann, K., Remus, M. V. D., Hartmann, L. A., 2006. Tectonic evolution of the Neoproterozoic Sao Gabriel Block, southern Brazil; constraints on Brasiliano orogenic evolution of the Rio de la Plata cratonic margin. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 21, 204-227.
- Santos, P. R., Rocha-Campos, A. C., Canuto, J. R., 1996. Patterns of late Palaeozoic deglaciation in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 125, 165-184.
- Saylor, J. E., Knowles, J. N., Horton, B. K., Nie, J., Mora, A., 2013. Mixing of source populations recorded in detrital zircon U-Pb age spectra of modern river sands. *Journal of Geology* 121, 17-33.
- Saylor, J. E., Stockli, D. F., Horton, B. K., Nie, J., Mora, A., 2012. Discriminating rapid exhumation from syndepositional volcanism using detrital zircon double dating; implications for the tectonic history of the Eastern Cordillera, Colombia. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 124, 762-779.
- Saylor, J. E., Sundell, K. E., 2016. Quantifying comparison of large detrital geochronology data sets. *Geosphere* (Boulder, CO) 12, 203-220.
- Schneider Santos, J. O., Hartmann, L. A., Bossi, J., Campal, N., Schipilov, A., Pineyro, D., and McNaughton, N. J., 2003. Duration of the Trans-Amazonian cycle and its correlation within South America based on U-Pb SHRIMP geochronology of the La Plata Craton, Uruguay. *International Geology Review* 45, 27-48.
- Starck, D., Papa, C. d., 2006. The northwestern Argentina Tarija Basin: Stratigraphy, depositional systems, and controlling factors in a glaciated basin. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 22, 169-184.
- Stollhofen, H., Werner, M., Stanistreet, I. G., Armstrong, R. A., 2008. Single-zircon U-Pb dating of Carboniferous-Permian tuffs, Namibia, and the intercontinental deglaciation cycle framework. *Special Paper - Geological Society of America* 441, 83-96.
- Tedesco, J., Cagliari, J., Coitinho, J. d. R., da Cunha Lopes, R., Lavina, E. L. C., 2016. Late Paleozoic paleofjord in the southernmost Parana Basin (Brazil); geomorphology and sedimentary fill. *Geomorphology* 269, 203-214.
- Tomazelli, L.J., Soliani Júnior, E., 1982. Evidências de atividade glacial no Paleozóico Superior do Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. *Anais II Congresso Brasileiro de Geologia*, Salvador 4, 1378-1389.
- Tomazelli, L.J., Soliani Júnior, E., 1997. Sedimentary facies and depositional environments related to Gondwana glaciation in Batovi and Suspiro Regions, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 10, 295-303.
- Uriz, N. J., Cingolani, C. A., Basei, M. A. S., Blanco, G., Abre, P., Portillo, N. S., Siccardi, A., 2016. Provenance and paleogeography of the Devonian Durazno Group, southern Parana Basin in Uruguay. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 66, 248-267.
- Verdecchia, S. O., Casquet, C., Baldo, E. G., Pankhurst, R. J., Rapela, C. W., Fanning, M., Galindo, C., 2011. Mid- to Late Cambrian docking of the Rio de la Plata Craton to

- southwestern Gondwana; age constraints from U-Pb SHRIMP detrital zircon ages from Sierras de Ambato and Velasco (Sierras Pampeanas, Argentina). *Journal of the Geological Society of London* 168, 1061-1071.
- Vermeesch, P., Resentini, A., Garzanti, E., 2016. An R package for statistical provenance analysis. *Sedimentary Geology* 336, 14-25.
- Vesely, F. F., Assine, M. L., 2006. Deglaciation sequences in the Permo-Carboniferous Itarare Group, Parana Basin, southern Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 22, 156-168.
- Vesely, F. F., Trzaskos, B., Kipper, F., Assine, M. L., Souza, P. A., 2015. Sedimentary record of a fluctuating ice margin from the Pennsylvanian of western Gondwana; Parana Basin, southern Brazil. *Sedimentary Geology* 326, 45-63.
- Visser, J. N. J., 1989. The Permo-Carboniferous Dwyka Formation of Southern Africa: Deposition by a predominantly subpolar marine ice sheet. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 70, 377-391.
- Visser, J. N. J., 1997a. Deglaciation sequences in the Permo-Carboniferous Karoo and Kalahari basins of Southern Africa; a tool in the analysis of cyclic glaciomarine basin fills. *Sedimentology* 44, 507-521.
- Visser, J.N.J., 1997b, A review of the Permo-Carboniferous glaciation in Africa. In: Martini, I.P. (Ed.), *Late glacial and postglacial environmental changes: Quaternary, Carboniferous-Permian, and Proterozoic*. Oxford, UK, Oxford University Press, pp. 169–191.
- Winn Jr., R.D., Steinmetz, J.C., 1998. Upper Paleozoic strata of the Chaco-Paraná basin, Argentina, and the great Gondwana glaciation. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 11, 153-168.




Fig. 4.1. Paleogeography of southernmost Paraná Basin and Chaco-Paraná Basin during late Carboniferous. (A) Simplified map of possible source terranes and their ages in South America and southern Africa after Uriz et al. (2016). (B) Map of study area showing late Paleozoic sedimentary basins and paleotopographic highs after Visser (1997b), Winn Jr. and Steinmetz (1998), and Uriz et al. (2016). Possible source areas for sediments examined in this study are in red. Red box indicates location of Fig. 3.2A.





Fig. 4.2. Study area on Rio Grande do Sul Shield and Uruguayan Shield. (A) Study area with late Paleozoic glacial outcrops in gray after Assine et al. (2018). Study locations indicated by colored circles and samples collected from each location labeled in matching color. (B) Possible source terranes within Uruguayan Shield. CDT =Cuchilla Dionisio Terrane, PET=Punta del Este Terrane, NPT= Nico Pérez Terrane, PAT = Piedra Alta Terrane.




Fig. 4.3. Stratigraphy of southernmost Paraná Basin and eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin after de Santa Ana (2004, 2006a) and Holz et al. (2006). Red star indicates U-Pb zircon age collected by Griffis et al. (2018).




Fig. 4.4. Stratigraphic columns from study sample locations after Goso (1995), Assine et al. (2018), and Fedorchuk et al. (2019a). Detrital zircon sample locations shown with asterisks and paleocurrent orientations collected from cross-stratification depicted using rose diagrams.




Fig. 4.5. Kernel density plot of sample SUS-1 compared to other samples from Rio Grande do Sul Shield including sample STR (Griffis et al., 2018) and sample GG (Oliveira et al., 2014). Similar peaks and relative peaks heights indicates a close relationship between these samples.




Fig. 4.6. Kernel density plots of samples LMF-5, LMF-3, LMF-2, and LP-3. Relative changes in the amount of syn-tectonic and post-tectonic zircons between ice-proximal, relatively ice-distal, and post-glacial environments reflects changes to drainage patterns.




Fig. 4.7. Kernel density plots of samples AND-1, UC-13 (Uriz et al., 2016), NOM (Blanco et al., 2011), and CEB-392 (Verdecchia et al., 2011). Sample AND-1 has similar peaks to both sample UC-13 from Devonian Durazno Gp. and sample NOM from Cambrian Nomtsas Fm.




Fig. 4.8. Pie charts showing percentage of Ordovician, Cambrian, Neoproterozoic, Mesoproterozoic, Paleoproterozoic, and Archean grains in each detrital zircon sample. Note sample LMF-5 has a lack of diverse grains and sample AND-1 is unique for its abundance of Mesoproterozoic grains.

| | SUS-1 | LMF-3 | LMF-2 | LMF-5 | LP-3 | AND-1 | STR | GG | Nom | CEB-392 | UC-13 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|------|---------|-------|
| SUS-1 | | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| LMF-3 | 0.14 | | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LMF-2 | 0.15 | 0.21 | | 0.06 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| LMF-5 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.06 | | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LP-3 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.39 | 0.04 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| AND-1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.73 |
| STR | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.80 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| GG | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.80 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nom | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.21 |
| CEB-392 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.10 |
| UC-13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.10 | |
| UP-12 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.04 |

Table 4.1. Comparison of K-S P-values between samples analyzed in this study and other studies. P-values < 0.05 indicate that two samples were drawn from different populations (i.e. have different provenance). P-values > 0.05 (highlighted in yellow) indicate samples cannot be clearly distinguished as having separate provenance.

| | SUS-1 | LMF-3 | LMF-2 | LMF-5 | LP-3 | AND-1 | STR | GG | Nom | CEB-392 | UC-13 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|------|---------|-------|
| SUS-1 | | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.08 |
| LMF-3 | 0.29 | | 0.18 | 0.58 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.09 |
| LMF-2 | 0.32 | 0.18 | | 0.38 | 0.68 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.27 |
| LMF-5 | 0.43 | 0.58 | 0.38 | | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 0.08 |
| LP-3 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.68 | 0.20 | | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.27 |
| AND-1 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.25 | | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.57 | 0.49 | 0.60 |
| STR | 0.63 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.12 | 0.08 | | 0.87 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.06 |
| GG | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.87 | | 0.12 | 0.27 | 0.03 |
| Nom | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 0.13 | 0.12 | | 0.34 | 0.46 |
| CEB-392 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.49 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.34 | | 0.43 |
| UC-13 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.46 | 0.43 | |
| UP-12 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.70 | 0.38 | 0.61 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.50 | 0.35 |

Table 4.2. Comparison of cross-correlation coefficients (R^2) between samples analyzed in this study and other studies. Samples where R^2 is > 0.50 are highlighted in yellow. Samples in red have both R^2 values > 0.50 and K-S P-values > 0.05 .




Fig. 4.9. Multidimensional scaling analysis of samples analyzed in this study and other studies. Samples more similar to each other plot closer together and samples more dissimilar to each other plot farther apart.




Fig. 4.10. Paleogeographic reconstruction of ice centers that affected the Paraná Basin and eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin. (A) Map of study area showing published ice flow directions. (1) Assine et al. (2018). (2) Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1982). (3) Fedorchuk et al. (2019a). (4) Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1997). (5) Tomazelli and Soliani Júnior (1982). (B) Reconstruction of two hypothesized ice centers over African highlands draining into Paraná and Chaco-Paraná Basins during the Carboniferous. After Visser (1997b), Rocha-Campos et al. (2008), Uriz et al. (2016), and Assine et al. (2018).

Chapter 5. Conclusions

5.1. Outcomes of stated project objectives

1. *Conduct a sedimentologic and stratigraphic analysis of glaciogenic deposits in the southern Paraná Basin to determine the presence, size, extent, timing, depositional environments, and thermal regime of the glaciation.*
 - The Mariana Pimentel and Leão Paleovalleys on the eastern Rio Grande do Sul Shield are not glacially-carved fjords draining off Africa.
 - The depositional fill of the paleovalleys is consistent with the post-glacial Rio Bonito and Palermo Fms. This suggests that this area may not have been glaciated during the Carboniferous and was certainly not glaciated during the early Permian.
 - Sediments in the paleovalleys represent a transition from an estuarine/lacustrine environment, to a fluvial-dominated environment, to an estuarine environment formed by a marine transgression. Some of these base level changes were likely driven by active tectonism (reactivation of basement structures) during the late Paleozoic.
 - Ice did not flow directly W from the Windhoek Highlands in Namibia onto the RGS as confined valley glaciers.
 - Deformed glacial (Itararé Gp.) strata on the western Rio Grande do Sul Shield are consistent with a late Paleozoic push-moraine complex formed by a grounded, fluctuating ice margin.

- Proglacial sediments from the western Rio Grande do Sul Shield exhibit evidence of a temperate paleoclimate and warm-based glaciation. Some of these sediments were likely deposited in proglacial lakes on the RGS, supporting a terrestrial glacial environment in some areas.
- Deformation structures and grooved surfaces on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield indicate that ice flowed radially NNW and NNE across the RGS. This supports the hypothesis that an unconfined lobe advanced out of Uruguay as proposed by Crowell and Frakes (1975).

2. *Constrain the location and size of ice centers affecting the study area by determining the provenance of glaciogenic units.*

- U-Pb detrital zircon geochronology revealed that sediments located in the Mariana Pimentel and Leão Paleovalleys did not originate from Africa. This helped constrain the size of the ice center that advanced over the RGS by demonstrating that it was separate from the ice center that extended into the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin.
- Detrital zircon samples from glacial sediments on the western RGS (Itararé Gp.) were discussed in Chapter 4 and in Griffis et al. (2019). These indicate that the late Paleozoic sediments on the RGS were primarily derived from local sources. The most significant source of these sediments was the easily erodible, Precambrian/Cambrian Camaquã Basin located on the RGS.

- The provenance of these sediments from the RGS are different than those on the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin, which have an African source in the highlands of northern Namibia (e.g. Canile et al., 2016).

3. *Compare and contrast the provenance and sedimentology of glaciogenic sediments within the study area to related localities in the Chaco-Paraná Basin, Uruguay in order to evaluate drainage patterns during the late Paleozoic.*

- Subglacially-carved whalebacks were described south of the RGS on the eastern margin of the Chaco-Paraná Basin, Uruguay (Assine et al., 2018). These features have orientations that imply thick, fast-flowing ice that moved towards the NW. This supports the hypothesis that an unconfined lobe flowed out of the Chaco-Paraná Basin in Uruguay and into the southernmost Paraná Basin (e.g. Crowell and Frakes, 1975).
- Detrital zircon samples collected from the Chaco-Paraná Basin in Uruguay indicate that sediment was sourced from igneous and metamorphic terranes in SE Uruguay and likely SW Namibia. This is consistent with an ice center over the Cargonian Highlands in southern Namibia, SE Uruguay, and northern South Africa that was separate from the Windhoek Highlands in northern Namibia that fed the eastern Paraná Basin.
- Detrital zircon signatures differ between ice-proximal glacial settings, more ice-distal environments, and post-glacial environments. This is interpreted to reflect differences in drainage catchments as ice advanced and retreated, possibly due to isostatic adjustments and the blockage/release of preglacial fluvial networks. Zircons ages reflect more local sources when ice extended further into the basin and more distal sources when ice has

retreated further back. Post-glacial zircons reflect a return to local sources, likely due to incision of underlying basement material.

5.2. Implications for the late Paleozoic ice volume paradox

The results of this project are broadly consistent with the interpretation that an unconfined lobe(s), originating from an ice center in the Cargonian Highlands of southern Africa, extended out into the Chaco-Paraná Basin and onto the RGS (e.g. Frakes and Crowell, 1972; Crowell and Frakes, 1975; Assine et al., 2018). Based on the orientations of subglacially grooved surfaces in Africa, such an ice center was likely separate from the ice center located over the Windhoek Highlands that supplied ice to the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin (Visser, 1997). Therefore, this project is clear evidence for the emerging view of glaciation during the late Paleozoic as consisting of multiple, distinct, topographically controlled ice centers. However, this work did not support the most limited interpretation of glaciation on the RGS, which envisioned a small, isolated, ice cap (e.g. Santos et al., 1996; Rocha-Campos et al., 2008). This implies that glaciation during the late Paleozoic was significantly more complex than previously believed, in which topographically controlled ice centers waxed and waned under the influence of various drivers and emanated (in some cases 100s-1000s of kms) out into various depositional basins. Nevertheless, the combined ice-volume from these ice-centers could simply not contain enough water volume to produce 100s of m of eustatic sea-level change (c.f. Crowley and Baum, 1992; Isbell, 2003). For example, as discussed in Chapter 2, two massive ice centers over both northern and southern Namibia would only create ~3.9 m of global sea-level change

even if they completely grew to their maximum extension and then disappeared over Milankovitch timespans.

This study further contradicts the hypothesis of a single, massive, long-lived glaciation by illustrating that peak glaciation did not occur everywhere at the same time. Chapter 3 of this study shows that the southernmost margin of the Paraná Basin experienced frequent changes in ice-marginal positions during the Carboniferous and Chapter 2 demonstrates that the RGS was deglaciated by the early Permian. Both of these data points indicate that a massive ice sheet or ice-shelf could not have persisted over the study area through the early Permian. Despite this, the early Permian appears to represent a time of widespread glaciation in Africa (e.g. Visser, 1997; Fielding et al., 2008; Stollhofen et al., 2008). Meanwhile, glaciers in various basins in western South America had disappeared long prior to those that deposited sediments on the RGS (e.g. Fielding et al., 2008; Isbell et al., 2012). A record of widespread, time-equivalent ice cover does not appear to exist across Gondwana.

This begs the question: what was the true nature of eustatic sea-level fluctuations during the late Paleozoic? The answer to this question is beyond the scope of this study but the results presented here show the complexity involved with interpreting base-level changes. For example, in Chapter 2, the depositional fill of the paleovalleys in question were likely influenced by (at a minimum) some combination of local tectonism, regional tectonism, and eustatic fluctuations. This was likely the case for many sedimentary basins during the LPIA, which implies that previous interpretations of eustatic changes may be need to be reconsidered. When combined, all of the evidence points towards the influence of multiple drivers of climate and base level fluctuations that include but are not limited to the drift of the continents, local and regional tectonism, $p\text{CO}_2$, orbital parameters, ocean and atmospheric circulation, and plant and animal

evolution (e.g. Heckel, 1977; Isbell et al., 2012; Montañez and Poulsen, 2013). These drivers were likely operating at different frequencies to control glaciation during the late Paleozoic but their exact roles remain an important topic of discussion.

5.3. Future Directions

There are several areas where this project could be expanded. Firstly, more work is needed on the sedimentology and stratigraphy of the glacial and post-glacial record of the Chaco-Paraná Basin in Uruguay. The stratigraphic record of glacial advance/retreat cycles is generally unknown for the Chaco-Paraná Basin and some effort is required to correlate the cycles to those recognized in the Paraná Basin (e.g. Vesely and Assine, 2006). Although most of the stratigraphic record for the Chaco-Paraná Basin is located in the subsurface, cores do exist (e.g. Winn Jr. and Steinmetz, 1998). It is unclear if glaciation persisted longer in the Chaco-Paraná Basin compared to the Paraná Basin. Additionally, it is unclear if the depositional processes and environments are different from the southern margin of the Paraná Basin.

Secondly, the glacial records in the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin and eastern Paraná basin also need to be better tied to their respective ice centers in Africa. The Windhoek Highlands of northern Namibia contain westward draining paleovalleys with a late Paleozoic glaciogenic fill (Dwyka Gp.) (e.g. Martin, 1981; Visser, 1997). The stratigraphy and sedimentology of the fill needs to be examined to determine if glaciers were confined to the valleys or if they overtopped the valley walls as massive unconfined lobes that drained west into the Paraná Basin. This can help determine whether or not glaciers that entered the eastern Paraná Basin were confined to fjords as suggested by some authors (e.g. Fallgatter and Paim, 2016) or existed as unconfined

lobes as proposed by other authors (e.g. Crowell and Frakes, 1975). The provenance of these sediments should also be examined to determine how similar they are to those on the eastern margin of the Paraná Basin and to possible source regions in Namibia or further east in Africa. Finally, more work is needed on the glacial record bordering the Cargonian Highlands in Namibia and South Africa. Here, the paleo ice-flow indicators and detrital zircon (U-Pb) geochronology should be re-examined for the Dwyka Gp. to see how well these sediments correspond to those described here from the eastern Chaco-Paraná Basin.

In conclusion, the LPIA continues to be one of the most interesting and relevant time periods in Earth's history and an important point of reference for understanding modern Earth systems. The late Paleozoic saw the beginnings of widespread, complex terrestrial plant and animal life. This time interval also saw some of the most dramatic climatic shifts of the entire Phanerozoic. The results of this work in the southernmost Paraná Basin represent one temporally and geographically small piece of the interpretations into this time. Therefore, continued research into the LPIA is needed to ground truth the glacial record in basins across Gondwana. Hopefully, this can lead to an improved understanding of how current human activities might interact with Earth's complex, deep-time climate drivers.

References

- Assine, M. L., de Santa Ana, H., Veroslavsky, G., Vesely, F. F., 2018. Exhumed subglacial landscape in Uruguay; erosional landforms, depositional environments, and paleo-ice flow in the context of the late Paleozoic Gondwanan glaciation. *Sedimentary Geology* 369, 1-12.
- Canile, F. M., Babinski, M., Rocha-Campos, A. C., 2016. Evolution of the Carboniferous-Early Cretaceous units of Parana Basin from provenance studies based on U-Pb, Hf and O isotopes from detrital zircons. *Gondwana Research* 40, 142-169.
- Crowell, J.C., Frakes, L.A., 1975. The late Paleozoic glaciation. In: Campbell, K.S.W. (Ed.), *Gondwana Geology*. Australian National University Press, Canberra, pp. 313-331.
- Crowley, T.J., Baum, S.K., 1992, Modeling late Paleozoic glaciation. *Geology* 20, 507-510.
- Fallgatter, C., Paim, P. S. G., 2017. On the origin of the Itararé Group basal nonconformity and its implications for the Late Paleozoic glaciation in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* (in press).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.02.039>.
- Fielding, C. R., Frank, T. D., Isbell, J. L., 2008. The late Paleozoic ice age-A review of current understanding and synthesis of global climate patterns. In: Fielding, C. R., Frank, T. D., Isbell, J. L. (Eds.), *Resolving the Late Paleozoic Ice Age in Time and Space*. Geological Society of America Special Publication 441, pp. 343-354.
- Frakes, L.A., Crowell, J.C., 1972. Late Paleozoic glacial geography between the Paraná Basin and the Andean Geosyncline. *Anais. Academia Brasileira de Ciencias* 44, pp. 139- 145.
- Griffis, N. P., Montañez, I. P., Fedorchuk, N., Isbell, J., Mundil, R., Vesely, F., Weinshultz, L., Iannuzzi, R., Gulbranson, E., Taboada, A., Pagani, A., Sanborn, M. E., Huyskens, M., Wimpenny, J., Linol, B., Yin, Q.-Z., 2019. Isotopes to ice: Constraining provenance of glacial deposits and ice centers in west-central Gondwana. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* (in press).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.04.020>.
- Heckel, P. H., 1977. Origin of phosphatic black shale facies in Pennsylvanian cyclothems of mid-continent North America. *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* 61, 1045-1068.
- Isbell, J.L., Miller, M.F., Wolfe, K.L., Lenaker, P.A., 2003. Timing of late Paleozoic glaciation in Gondwana: Was glaciation responsible for the development of northern hemisphere cyclothems? In: Chan, M.A., Archer, A.W. (Eds.), *Extreme depositional environments: Mega end members in geologic time*. Geological Society of America Special Paper 370, 5-24.
- Isbell, J. L., Henry, L. C., Gulbranson, E. L., Limarino, C. O., Fraiser, M. L., Koch, Z. J., Ciccioni, P. L., Dineen, A. A., 2012,. Glacial paradoxes during the late Paleozoic ice age:

- Evaluating the equilibrium line altitude as a control on glaciation. *Gondwana Research* 22, 1-19.
- Martin, H., 1981. The late Palaeozoic Dwyka Group of the South Kalahari Basin in Namibia and Botswana and the subglacial valleys of the Kaokoveld in Namibia. In: Hambrey, M.J., Harland, W.B. (Eds.), *Earth's Pre-Pleistocene Glacial Record*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 61-66.
- Montañez, I. P., Poulsen, C. J., 2013. The late Paleozoic ice age: an evolving paradigm. *Annual Review of Earth & Planetary Sciences* 41, 1-28.
- Rocha-Campos, A. C., dos Santos, P. R., Canuto, J. R., 2008. Late Paleozoic glacial deposits of Brazil; Parana Basin. *Special Paper - Geological Society of America* 441, 97-114.
- Santos, P. R., Rocha-Campos, A. C., Canuto, J. R., 1996. Patterns of late Palaeozoic deglaciation in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 125, 165-184.
- Stollhofen, H., Werner, M., Stanistreet, I. G., Armstrong, R. A., 2008. Single-zircon U-Pb dating of Carboniferous-Permian tuffs, Namibia, and the intercontinental deglaciation cycle framework. *Special Paper - Geological Society of America* 441, 83-96.
- Vesely, F. F., Assine, M. L., 2006. Deglaciation sequences in the Permo-Carboniferous Itarare Group, Parana Basin, southern Brazil. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 22, 156-168.
- Visser, J.N.J., 1997, A review of the Permo-Carboniferous glaciation in Africa. In: Martini, I.P. (Ed.), *Late glacial and postglacial environmental changes: Quaternary, Carboniferous-Permian, and Proterozoic*. Oxford, UK, Oxford University Press, pp. 169–191.
- Winn Jr., R.D., Steinmetz, J.C., 1998. Upper Paleozoic strata of the Chaco-Paraná basin, Argentina, and the great Gondwana glaciation. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 11, 153-168.

Appendices

Appendix A: Detrital zircon methods

A1. Supplemental U-Pb detrital zircon methods (Chapter 2)

Detrital zircon samples were crushed and sieved to separate out the 63-250 μm fraction. A density separation was performed using lithium sodium tungstate (LST) and zircons were picked from the heavy fraction under a binocular scope. Careful attention was paid to not bias zircon picks based on size, morphology, or color. Zircons were mounted in an epoxy resin, polished, and imaged using back scattered electrons to check for fractures, inherited cores, rims, zonation, and inclusions that were avoided when possible. However, in many cases, these features were unavoidable due to their prevalence in the complex (recycled) zircons analyzed in this study (Figs. A1.1 and A1.2). All zircons picked, regardless of appearance, were ablated in order to not bias the data.




Fig. A1.1. Back scattered electron images of zircons analyzed in this study. (A-C) Examples of zircons that produced concordant data meeting quality standards. (D-E) Examples of zircons producing data below quality standards excluded from dataset. A=MDP15_6_21; B=MDP15_6_91; C=MDP15_6_72; D=MDP15_6_2; E=MDP15_6_71; F=MDP15_6_22.




Fig. A1.2. Spot MDP15_6_96 (white circle) of inherited core. Produced concordant age of 1257 Ma (Fig. A1.3).

U-Th-Pb data was collected via laser-ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) using a Photon Machines Analyte 193H excimer laser (193 nm) connected to an Element XR HR-ICP-MS at the University of California, Davis. Counts were measured of $^{204}\text{(Pb+Hg)}$, ^{206}Pb , ^{207}Pb , ^{208}Pb , ^{232}Th , and ^{238}U . $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{U}$ ratios were calculated by using a natural abundance ratio of $^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ equal to 1/137.88. Runs consisted of 20 seconds of background measurement, 60 seconds of analysis, followed by another 20 seconds of background. Every 10 unknown samples was bracketed by two measurements of the 91500 (1065 Ma; Wiedenbeck et al., 1995) reference standard, which was used for calibration, downhole fractionation correction, and machine drift correction during data reduction. GJ-1 (609 Ma; Jackson et al., 2004), Temora (417 Ma; Black et al., 2003), and Plesovitch (337 Ma; Slama et al., 2008) were also measured as check standards between every 10 unknowns (Fig. A1.3).




Fig. A1.3. Check standards from analysis of MDP6. Plesovitch=337 Ma, Temora=417 Ma, GJ-1=609 Ma.

Data reduction was performed offline using the *Iolite* add-on for *Igor Pro* (U-Pb Geochronology3 DRS with automatic splines) according to Hellstrom et al. (2008) and Paton et al. (2010; 2011). Analytical uncertainties were propagated through the analytical session (Paton, 2010). In the absence of ^{204}Hg measurements, Common Pb was corrected for using the Andersen et al. (2002) method via the *CommonPbCorr#3 Excel* macro. Error ellipses (2σ) were plotted on concordia diagrams using the *Isoplot 4.15* add-on for *Excel* (Ludwig, 2008).

Analysis were filtered for data quality purposes after Gehrels and Pecha (2014) and Spencer et al. (2016). Only data that met the following criteria was used (Figs. A1.4 and A1.5):

- 1.) Uncertainty (1σ) of $^{206}\text{Pb}^*/^{238}\text{U}$ age is $<10\%$
- 2.) Uncertainty (1σ) of $^{207}\text{Pb}^*/^{206}\text{Pb}^*$ age is $<15\%$
- 3.) 204 intensity is <500 cps
- 4.) Analytical uncertainty (2σ) must overlap concordia on Wetherill diagram

*indicates common Pb corrected age




Fig. A1.4. Wetherill concordia diagram of analyses included for sample MDP6. Black arrow indicates spot MDP15_6_96 taken of inherited core.




Fig. A1.5. Wetherill concordia diagram of analyses included for sample MP.

When ages are >1.5 Ga $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages are recommended as best ages and for ages <1.5 Ga $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages are recommended as best ages (Spencer et al., 2016). In this study, all concordant ages were less than 1.5 Ga so $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages were used. Kernel density estimate plots were created using adaptive bandwidths on *DensityPlotter* (i.e. Vermeesch, 2012) and *provenance*, a free package for *R* (Vermeesch et al., 2016). A Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test using error in the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) was applied to evaluate the heterogeneity between the age distributions. If p is <0.05 it can be established with 95% confidence that two age distributions are not the same. However, this test cannot determine if the samples have the same provenance (e.g. Berry et al., 2001; DeGraaff-Surpluss et al., 2003).

References

- Berry, R.F., Jenner, G.A., Meffre, S., Tubrett, M.N., 2001. A North American provenance for Neoproterozoic to Cambrian sandstones in Tasmania? *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 192, 207-222.
- Black, L.P., Kamo, S.L., Allen, C.M., Aleinikoff, J.N., Davis, D.W., Korsch, R.J., Foudoulis, C., 2003. TEMORA 1: a new zircon standard for Phanerozoic U-Pb geochronology. *Chemical Geology* 200, 155-170.
- DeGraaff-Surpless, K., Mahoney, J.B., Wooden, J.L., McWilliams, M.O., 2003. Lithofacies control in detrital zircon provenance studies: insights from the Cretaceous Methow Basin, southern Canadian Cordillera. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 115, 899-915.
- Gehrels, G., Pecha, M., 2014. Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology and Hf isotope geochemistry of Paleozoic and Triassic passive margin strata of western North America. *Geosphere* 10, 49-65.
- Hellstrom, J.C., Paton, C., Woodhead, J.D., Hergt, M., 2008. Iolite: Software for spatially resolved LA-(quad and MC) ICP-MS analysis. In: Sylvester, P. (Ed.), *Laser ablation ICP-MS in the earth sciences: current practices and outstanding issues*. Mineralogical Association of Canada, short course, pp. 343-348.
- Jackson, S.E., Pearson, N.J., Griffin, W.L., Belousova, E.A., 2004. The application of laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry to in situ U-Pb zircon geochronology. *Chemical Geology* 211, 47-69.
- Ludwig, K.R., 2008. User's Manual for Isoplot. Berkeley Geochronology Center Special Publication 474, No. 4, Berkeley, CA.
- Paton, C., Hellstrom, J., Paul, B., Woodhead, J., Hergt, J., 2011. Iolite: freeware for the visualization and processing of mass spectrometric data. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 26, 2508-2518.
- Paton, C., Woodhead, J.D., Hellstrom, J.C., Hergt, J.M., Greig, A., Maas, R., 2010. Improved laser ablation U-Pb zircon geochronology through robust downhole fractionation correction. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 11, 1-36.
- Sláma, J., Košler, J., Condon, D.J., Crowley, J.L., Gerdes, A., Hanchar, J.M., Horstwood, M.S.A., Morris, G.A., Nasdala, L., Norberg, N., Schaltegger, U., Schoene, B., Tubrett, M.N., Whitehouse, M.J., 2008. Plešovice zircon—A new natural reference material for U–Pb and Hf isotopic microanalysis. *Chemical Geology* 249, 1-35.
- Spencer, C.J., Kirkland, C.L., Taylor, R.J.M., 2016. Strategies towards statistically robust interpretations of *in situ* U–Pb zircon geochronology. *Geoscience Frontiers* 7, 581-589.
- Vermeesch, P., 2012. On the visualisation of detrital age distributions. *Chemical Geology* 312-313, 190-194.
- Vermeesch, P., Resentini, A., Garzanti, E., 2016. An R package for statistical provenance analysis. *Sedimentary Geology* 336, 14-25.

Wiedenbeck, M., Allé, P., Corfu, F., Griffin, W.L., Meier, M., Oberli, F., Von Quadt, A., Roddick, J.C., Spiegel, W., 1995. Three natural zircon standards for U-Th-Pb, Lu-Hf, trace element and REE analyses. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research* 19, 1-23.

A2. Supplemental U-Pb detrital zircon methods (Chapter 4)

Detrital zircon samples were crushed and sieved to separate out the 63-250 μm fraction. A density separation was performed using lithium sodium tungstate (LST) and zircons were picked from the heavy fraction under a binocular scope. Careful attention was paid to not bias zircon picks based on size, morphology, or color. Zircons were mounted in an epoxy resin, polished, and imaged using back scattered electrons to check for fractures, inherited cores, rims, zonation, and inclusions that were avoided when possible. However, in many cases, these features were unavoidable due to their prevalence in the complex (recycled) zircons analyzed in this study.

U-Th-Pb data was collected via laser-ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) using a Photon Machines Analyte 193H excimer laser (193 nm) connected to an Element XR HR-ICP-MS at the University of California, Davis. Counts were measured of $^{204}\text{(Pb+Hg)}$, ^{206}Pb , ^{207}Pb , ^{208}Pb , ^{232}Th , and ^{238}U . $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{U}$ ratios were calculated by using a natural abundance ratio of $^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ equal to 1/137.88. Runs consisted of 20 seconds of background measurement, 30 seconds of analysis, followed by another 20 seconds of background. Every 10 unknown samples was bracketed by two measurements of the 91500 (1065 Ma; Wiedenbeck et al., 1995) reference standard, which was used for calibration, downhole fractionation correction, and machine drift correction during data reduction. GJ-1 (609 Ma; Jackson et al., 2004), Temora (417 Ma; Black et al., 2003), and Plesovitch (337 Ma; Slama et al., 2008) were also measured as check standards between every 10 unknowns (Fig. 3).

Data reduction was performed offline using the *Iolite* add-on for *Igor Pro* (U-Pb Geochronology3 DRS with automatic splines) according to Hellstrom et al. (2008) and Paton et

al. (2010; 2011). Analytical uncertainties were propagated through the analytical session (Paton, 2010). In the absence of ^{204}Hg measurements, Common Pb was corrected for using the Andersen et al. (2002) method via the *CommonPbCorr#3 Excel* macro. Error ellipses (2σ) were plotted on concordia diagrams using the *Isoplot 4.15* add-on for *Excel* (Ludwig, 2008).

Analysis were filtered for data quality purposes after Gehrels and Pecha (2014) and Spencer et al. (2016). Only data that met the following criteria was used:

- 1.) Uncertainty (1σ) of $^{206}\text{Pb}^*/^{238}\text{U}$ age is $<10\%$
- 2.) Uncertainty (1σ) of $^{207}\text{Pb}^*/^{206}\text{Pb}^*$ age is $<15\%$
- 3.) 204 intensity is <500 cps
- 4.) Discordance was $<25\%$

*indicates common Pb corrected age

When ages are >1.5 Ga $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages are recommended as best ages and for ages <1.5 Ga $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages are recommended as best ages (Spencer et al., 2016). In this study, all concordant ages were less than 1.5 Ga so $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages were used. Kernel density estimate plots and multidimensional scaling plots (MDS) were created using adaptive bandwidths on *DensityPlotter* (i.e. Vermeesch, 2012) and *provenance*, a free package for *R* (Vermeesch et al., 2016). A Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test using error in the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) was applied to evaluate the heterogeneity between the age distributions. If p is <0.05 it can be established with 95% confidence that two age distributions are not the same. However, this test cannot determine if the samples have the same provenance (e.g. Berry et al., 2001; DeGraaff-

Surpless et al., 2003). Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated using the *DZ Stats* compiler for *Matlab* (e.g. Saylor and Sundell, 2016).

References

- Berry, R.F., Jenner, G.A., Meffre, S., Tubrett, M.N., 2001. A North American provenance for Neoproterozoic to Cambrian sandstones in Tasmania? *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 192, 207-222.
- Black, L.P., Kamo, S.L., Allen, C.M., Aleinikoff, J.N., Davis, D.W., Korsch, R.J., Foudoulis, C., 2003. TEMORA 1: a new zircon standard for Phanerozoic U-Pb geochronology. *Chemical Geology* 200, 155-170.
- DeGraaff-Surpless, K., Mahoney, J.B., Wooden, J.L., McWilliams, M.O., 2003. Lithofacies control in detrital zircon provenance studies: insights from the Cretaceous Methow Basin, southern Canadian Cordillera. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 115, 899-915.
- Gehrels, G., Pecha, M., 2014. Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology and Hf isotope geochemistry of Paleozoic and Triassic passive margin strata of western North America. *Geosphere* 10, 49-65.
- Hellstrom, J.C., Paton, C., Woodhead, J.D., Hergt, M., 2008. Iolite: Software for spatially resolved LA-(quad and MC) ICP-MS analysis. In: Sylvester, P. (Ed.), *Laser ablation ICP-MS in the earth sciences: current practices and outstanding issues*. Mineralogical Association of Canada, short course, pp. 343-348.
- Jackson, S.E., Pearson, N.J., Griffin, W.L., Belousova, E.A., 2004. The application of laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry to in situ U-Pb zircon geochronology. *Chemical Geology* 211, 47-69.
- Ludwig, K.R., 2008. User's Manual for Isoplot. Berkeley Geochronology Center Special Publication 474, No. 4, Berkeley, CA.
- McHenry, L.J., 2009. Element mobility during zeolitic and argillic alteration of volcanic ash in a closed-basin lacustrine environment: case study Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. *Chemical Geology* 265, 540-552.
- Paton, C., Hellstrom, J., Paul, B., Woodhead, J., Hergt, J., 2011. Iolite: freeware for the visualization and processing of mass spectrometric data. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 26, 2508-2518.
- Paton, C., Woodhead, J.D., Hellstrom, J.C., Hergt, J.M., Greig, A., Maas, R., 2010. Improved laser ablation U-Pb zircon geochronology through robust downhole fractionation correction. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 11, 1-36.
- Saylor, J.E., Sundell, K.E., 2016. Quantifying comparison of large detrital geochronology data sets. *Geosphere* 12, 203-220.

- Sláma, J., Košler, J., Condon, D.J., Crowley, J.L., Gerdes, A., Hanchar, J.M., Horstwood, M.S.A., Morris, G.A., Nasdala, L., Norberg, N., Schaltegger, U., Schoene, B., Tubrett, M.N., Whitehouse, M.J., 2008. Plešovice zircon—A new natural reference material for U–Pb and Hf isotopic microanalysis. *Chemical Geology* 249, 1-35.
- Spencer, C.J., Kirkland, C.L., Taylor, R.J.M., 2016. Strategies towards statistically robust interpretations of *in situ* U–Pb zircon geochronology. *Geoscience Frontiers* 7, 581-589.
- Vermeesch, P., 2012. On the visualisation of detrital age distributions. *Chemical Geology* 312-313, 190-194.
- Vermeesch, P., Resentini, A., Garzanti, E., 2016. An R package for statistical provenance analysis. *Sedimentary Geology* 336, 14-25.
- Wiedenbeck, M., Allé, P., Corfu, F., Griffin, W.L., Meier, M., Oberli, F., Von Quadt, A., Roddick, J.C., Spiegel, W., 1995. Three natural zircon standards for U-Th-Pb, Lu-Hf, trace element and REE analyses. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research* 19, 1-23.

Appendix B: Detrital zircon data

Table B1. Sample MDP6

| Location: 30°18'27.78"S, 51°38'35.22"W | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------|---|---------|------|--|---------|--|----|---|----|--|----|--------|-------------|----|
| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1σ | %disc | Best Age | 2σ |
| MDP15_6_1 | 0.843 | 0.015 | 0.098 | 0.0013 | 0.44 | 0.0615 | 0.00104 | 603 | 8 | 657 | 37 | 621 | 8 | -8.22 | 603 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_4 | 1.17 | 0.017 | 0.1288 | 0.0018 | 0.51 | 0.0655 | 0.00092 | 781 | 10 | 790 | 30 | 787 | 8 | -1.14 | 781 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_5 | 0.814 | 0.016 | 0.0958 | 0.00155 | 0.50 | 0.0602 | 0.0011 | 590 | 9 | 611 | 40 | 605 | 9 | -3.44 | 590 | 18 |
| MDP15_6_7 | 0.854 | 0.015 | 0.0988 | 0.00155 | 0.58 | 0.0628 | 0.00096 | 607 | 9 | 701 | 33 | 627 | 8 | -13.41 | 607 | 18 |
| MDP15_6_10 | 0.915 | 0.022 | 0.105 | 0.0019 | 0.62 | 0.0619 | 0.00118 | 644 | 11 | 671 | 42 | 660 | 12 | -4.02 | 644 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_12 | 1.145 | 0.02 | 0.1242 | 0.00185 | 0.58 | 0.0667 | 0.00101 | 755 | 11 | 828 | 32 | 775 | 9 | -8.82 | 755 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_16 | 0.776 | 0.019 | 0.0934 | 0.0018 | 0.58 | 0.0588 | 0.00121 | 576 | 11 | 560 | 46 | 583 | 11 | 2.86 | 576 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_17 | 1.05039 | 0.0485 | 0.11489 | 0.00201 | 0.46 | 0.06631 | 0.00236 | 701 | 12 | 816 | 76 | 729 | 24 | -14.09 | 701 | 24 |
| MDP15_6_18 | 0.789 | 0.0185 | 0.0923 | 0.00175 | 0.57 | 0.06 | 0.00121 | 569 | 10 | 604 | 44 | 591 | 11 | -5.79 | 569 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_21 | 0.86 | 0.014 | 0.103 | 0.00135 | 0.33 | 0.0612 | 0.00105 | 632 | 8 | 646 | 38 | 630 | 8 | -2.17 | 632 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_23 | 0.952 | 0.0175 | 0.1081 | 0.00145 | 0.37 | 0.0636 | 0.00116 | 662 | 8 | 728 | 39 | 679 | 9 | -9.07 | 662 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_24 | 0.767 | 0.0135 | 0.0926 | 0.00135 | 0.58 | 0.0599 | 0.0009 | 571 | 8 | 600 | 33 | 578 | 8 | -4.83 | 571 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_27 | 0.806 | 0.0155 | 0.0959 | 0.0015 | 0.65 | 0.0606 | 0.00091 | 590 | 9 | 625 | 33 | 600 | 9 | -5.60 | 590 | 18 |
| MDP15_6_29 | 1.2 | 0.0265 | 0.1307 | 0.0022 | 0.57 | 0.0658 | 0.00123 | 792 | 13 | 800 | 40 | 801 | 12 | -1.00 | 792 | 26 |
| MDP15_6_30 | 0.794 | 0.015 | 0.0938 | 0.0015 | 0.63 | 0.0613 | 0.00094 | 578 | 9 | 650 | 33 | 593 | 8 | -11.08 | 578 | 18 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|------|--------|---------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|--------|-----|----|
| MDP15_6_31 | 0.865 | 0.02 | 0.1006 | 0.00175 | 0.61 | 0.0633 | 0.00118 | 618 | 10 | 718 | 40 | 633 | 11 | -13.93 | 618 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_34 | 0.78 | 0.0195 | 0.097 | 0.00195 | 0.54 | 0.0593 | 0.0013 | 597 | 11 | 578 | 49 | 585 | 11 | 3.29 | 597 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_36 | 0.901 | 0.017 | 0.1063 | 0.0016 | 0.66 | 0.0602 | 0.00087 | 651 | 9 | 611 | 32 | 652 | 9 | 6.55 | 651 | 18 |
| MDP15_6_38 | 0.903 | 0.021 | 0.1027 | 0.00195 | 0.57 | 0.062 | 0.00124 | 630 | 11 | 674 | 44 | 653 | 11 | -6.53 | 630 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_41 | 0.8 | 0.0145 | 0.0951 | 0.00135 | 0.43 | 0.0609 | 0.00107 | 586 | 8 | 636 | 38 | 597 | 8 | -7.86 | 586 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_44 | 0.781 | 0.0125 | 0.0942 | 0.0013 | 0.46 | 0.0596 | 0.00093 | 580 | 8 | 589 | 34 | 586 | 7 | -1.53 | 580 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_45 | 0.789 | 0.015 | 0.0946 | 0.00145 | 0.55 | 0.0609 | 0.00101 | 583 | 9 | 636 | 36 | 591 | 9 | -8.33 | 583 | 18 |
| MDP15_6_46 | 0.825 | 0.019 | 0.1027 | 0.00185 | 0.59 | 0.0593 | 0.00113 | 630 | 11 | 578 | 42 | 611 | 11 | 9.00 | 630 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_48 | 0.842 | 0.0165 | 0.1029 | 0.00165 | 0.61 | 0.0587 | 0.00095 | 631 | 10 | 556 | 36 | 620 | 9 | 13.49 | 631 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_49 | 0.78 | 0.0175 | 0.0932 | 0.00175 | 0.62 | 0.0602 | 0.0011 | 574 | 10 | 611 | 40 | 585 | 10 | -6.06 | 574 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_50 | 0.811 | 0.0165 | 0.0973 | 0.0017 | 0.61 | 0.0611 | 0.00104 | 599 | 10 | 643 | 37 | 603 | 9 | -6.84 | 599 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_51 | 0.85 | 0.017 | 0.1025 | 0.0017 | 0.55 | 0.0607 | 0.00107 | 629 | 10 | 629 | 39 | 625 | 9 | 0.00 | 629 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_53 | 0.877 | 0.014 | 0.1037 | 0.0014 | 0.52 | 0.0616 | 0.00089 | 636 | 8 | 660 | 32 | 639 | 8 | -3.64 | 636 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_55 | 0.936 | 0.019 | 0.1085 | 0.0019 | 0.52 | 0.0621 | 0.00116 | 664 | 11 | 678 | 41 | 671 | 10 | -2.06 | 664 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_58 | 0.79 | 0.013 | 0.0981 | 0.00145 | 0.61 | 0.0589 | 0.00081 | 603 | 9 | 563 | 31 | 591 | 7 | 7.10 | 603 | 18 |
| MDP15_6_59 | 0.832 | 0.02 | 0.1024 | 0.0016 | 0.45 | 0.0582 | 0.00128 | 628 | 9 | 537 | 49 | 615 | 11 | 16.95 | 628 | 18 |
| MDP15_6_60 | 0.888 | 0.0145 | 0.106 | 0.0014 | 0.26 | 0.0607 | 0.0011 | 649 | 8 | 629 | 40 | 645 | 8 | 3.18 | 649 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_61 | 0.845 | 0.0155 | 0.1019 | 0.0019 | 0.59 | 0.0597 | 0.00101 | 626 | 11 | 593 | 37 | 622 | 9 | 5.56 | 626 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_62 | 0.831 | 0.019 | 0.0999 | 0.00185 | 0.57 | 0.0613 | 0.0012 | 614 | 11 | 650 | 43 | 614 | 11 | -5.54 | 614 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_63 | 0.964 | 0.022 | 0.1104 | 0.00205 | 0.50 | 0.0629 | 0.00132 | 675 | 12 | 705 | 45 | 685 | 11 | -4.26 | 675 | 24 |
| MDP15_6_64 | 0.876 | 0.019 | 0.1058 | 0.00175 | 0.53 | 0.0608 | 0.00116 | 648 | 10 | 632 | 42 | 639 | 10 | 2.53 | 648 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_65 | 0.825 | 0.017 | 0.0995 | 0.00165 | 0.56 | 0.0613 | 0.00109 | 611 | 10 | 650 | 39 | 611 | 9 | -6.00 | 611 | 20 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|----|------|----|------|----|--------|------|----|
| MDP15_6_66 | 0.766 | 0.0195 | 0.0925 | 0.00175 | 0.67 | 0.0599 | 0.00113 | 570 | 10 | 600 | 42 | 577 | 11 | -5.00 | 570 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_67 | 0.779 | 0.0165 | 0.094 | 0.00165 | 0.61 | 0.0608 | 0.00106 | 579 | 10 | 632 | 38 | 585 | 9 | -8.39 | 579 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_68 | 0.863 | 0.0205 | 0.0985 | 0.00175 | 0.47 | 0.0638 | 0.00118 | 606 | 10 | 755 | 47 | 632 | 11 | -19.74 | 606 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_69 | 0.849 | 0.014 | 0.1044 | 0.00145 | 0.39 | 0.0644 | 0.00142 | 640 | 8 | 593 | 37 | 624 | 8 | 7.93 | 640 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_72 | 0.862 | 0.014 | 0.1021 | 0.00145 | 0.55 | 0.0597 | 0.00101 | 627 | 8 | 632 | 30 | 631 | 8 | -0.79 | 627 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_73 | 0.761 | 0.02 | 0.0963 | 0.00175 | 0.22 | 0.06439 | 0.00525 | 593 | 10 | 549 | 60 | 575 | 12 | 8.01 | 593 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_75 | 0.818 | 0.016 | 0.095 | 0.00135 | 0.43 | 0.0596 | 0.00093 | 585 | 8 | 735 | 38 | 607 | 9 | -20.41 | 585 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_76 | 0.843 | 0.0175 | 0.1024 | 0.00165 | 0.58 | 0.0608 | 0.00089 | 628 | 10 | 618 | 36 | 621 | 10 | 1.62 | 628 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_77 | 0.871 | 0.0135 | 0.1082 | 0.00145 | 0.42 | 0.0585 | 0.00167 | 662 | 8 | 589 | 32 | 636 | 7 | 12.39 | 662 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_82. | 0.856 | 0.0165 | 0.0987 | 0.00135 | 0.38 | 0.0633 | 0.0012 | 607 | 8 | 718 | 41 | 628 | 9 | -15.46 | 607 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_85 | 0.753 | 0.0145 | 0.0896 | 0.0012 | 0.60 | 0.0597 | 0.00093 | 553 | 7 | 593 | 35 | 570 | 8 | -6.75 | 553 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_87 | 0.784 | 0.016 | 0.0963 | 0.0014 | 0.62 | 0.0589 | 0.00095 | 593 | 8 | 563 | 36 | 588 | 9 | 5.33 | 593 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_89 | 0.74 | 0.0145 | 0.0909 | 0.00125 | 0.46 | 0.0585 | 0.00105 | 561 | 7 | 549 | 40 | 562 | 8 | 2.19 | 561 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_90 | 0.763 | 0.0135 | 0.0917 | 0.00125 | 0.68 | 0.0597 | 0.00078 | 566 | 7 | 593 | 29 | 576 | 8 | -4.55 | 566 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_91 | 0.826 | 0.016 | 0.0994 | 0.00145 | 0.55 | 0.0607 | 0.00101 | 611 | 9 | 629 | 37 | 611 | 9 | -2.86 | 611 | 18 |
| MDP15_6_93 | 0.777 | 0.014 | 0.0945 | 0.00125 | 0.45 | 0.0601 | 0.00102 | 582 | 7 | 607 | 38 | 584 | 8 | -4.12 | 582 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_95 | 0.728 | 0.013 | 0.0887 | 0.00125 | 0.56 | 0.0599 | 0.00092 | 548 | 7 | 600 | 34 | 555 | 8 | -8.67 | 548 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_96 | 2.49 | 0.055 | 0.2153 | 0.00395 | 0.61 | 0.0847 | 0.00153 | 1257 | 21 | 1309 | 36 | 1269 | 16 | -3.97 | 1257 | 42 |
| MDP15_6_97 | 0.78568 | 0.03019 | 0.09423 | 0.00121 | 0.61 | 0.06047 | 0.00183 | 581 | 7 | 620 | 67 | 589 | 17 | -6.29 | 581 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_98 | 0.787 | 0.014 | 0.0971 | 0.0012 | 0.52 | 0.0592 | 0.00092 | 597 | 7 | 574 | 35 | 589 | 8 | 4.01 | 597 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_100 | 0.737 | 0.0125 | 0.0907 | 0.0011 | 0.46 | 0.0592 | 0.00093 | 560 | 7 | 574 | 35 | 561 | 7 | -2.44 | 560 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_102 | 0.774 | 0.015 | 0.0915 | 0.00135 | 0.56 | 0.0616 | 0.00102 | 564 | 8 | 660 | 36 | 582 | 9 | -14.55 | 564 | 16 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|------|--------|---------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|--------|-----|----|
| MDP15_6_103 | 0.869 | 0.02 | 0.1039 | 0.0016 | 0.52 | 0.0608 | 0.00122 | 637 | 9 | 632 | 44 | 635 | 11 | 0.79 | 637 | 18 |
| MDP15_6_106 | 0.787 | 0.014 | 0.093 | 0.0011 | 0.53 | 0.0617 | 0.00095 | 573 | 6 | 664 | 34 | 589 | 8 | -13.70 | 573 | 12 |
| MDP15_6_107 | 0.779 | 0.0175 | 0.0911 | 0.0012 | 0.43 | 0.0607 | 0.00125 | 562 | 7 | 629 | 46 | 585 | 10 | -10.65 | 562 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_108 | 0.822 | 0.015 | 0.0974 | 0.0013 | 0.47 | 0.0617 | 0.00104 | 599 | 8 | 664 | 37 | 609 | 8 | -9.79 | 599 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_109 | 0.808 | 0.014 | 0.0983 | 0.0011 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.00107 | 604 | 6 | 604 | 40 | 601 | 8 | 0.00 | 604 | 12 |
| MDP15_6_112 | 1.135 | 0.0215 | 0.1249 | 0.00175 | 0.65 | 0.0653 | 0.00094 | 759 | 10 | 784 | 31 | 770 | 10 | -3.19 | 759 | 20 |
| MDP15_6_114 | 1.227 | 0.0265 | 0.1313 | 0.0019 | 0.29 | 0.0675 | 0.0015 | 795 | 11 | 853 | 48 | 813 | 12 | -6.80 | 795 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_118 | 0.756 | 0.013 | 0.0936 | 0.0011 | 0.60 | 0.0598 | 0.00083 | 577 | 6 | 596 | 31 | 572 | 8 | -3.19 | 577 | 12 |
| MDP15_6_119 | 0.86 | 0.022 | 0.1008 | 0.0015 | 0.10 | 0.0626 | 0.00177 | 619 | 9 | 695 | 62 | 630 | 12 | -10.94 | 619 | 18 |
| MDP15_6_121 | 0.745 | 0.0155 | 0.0958 | 0.0014 | 0.48 | 0.0577 | 0.00109 | 590 | 8 | 518 | 43 | 565 | 9 | 13.90 | 590 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_126 | 0.748 | 0.0165 | 0.0899 | 0.0012 | 0.24 | 0.0603 | 0.00138 | 555 | 7 | 614 | 51 | 567 | 10 | -9.61 | 555 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_128 | 0.848 | 0.016 | 0.1016 | 0.0014 | 0.56 | 0.0604 | 0.00097 | 624 | 8 | 618 | 36 | 624 | 9 | 0.97 | 624 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_129 | 0.77 | 0.014 | 0.0937 | 0.00125 | 0.65 | 0.0597 | 0.00083 | 577 | 7 | 593 | 31 | 580 | 8 | -2.70 | 577 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_131 | 0.831 | 0.0155 | 0.1029 | 0.00135 | 0.50 | 0.0585 | 0.00097 | 631 | 8 | 549 | 37 | 614 | 9 | 14.94 | 631 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_133 | 0.791 | 0.014 | 0.0944 | 0.0012 | 0.50 | 0.0619 | 0.00098 | 582 | 7 | 671 | 32 | 592 | 8 | -13.26 | 582 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_134 | 0.778 | 0.0135 | 0.0937 | 0.00115 | 0.53 | 0.0601 | 0.0009 | 577 | 7 | 607 | 31 | 584 | 8 | -4.94 | 577 | 14 |
| MDP15_6_135 | 0.76 | 0.0135 | 0.0933 | 0.0011 | 0.53 | 0.0595 | 0.00091 | 575 | 6 | 585 | 31 | 574 | 8 | -1.71 | 575 | 12 |
| MDP15_6_137 | 0.812 | 0.0155 | 0.0977 | 0.0013 | 0.53 | 0.0604 | 0.00099 | 601 | 8 | 618 | 33 | 604 | 9 | -2.75 | 601 | 16 |
| MDP15_6_138 | 0.876 | 0.021 | 0.1045 | 0.0018 | 0.55 | 0.0596 | 0.00122 | 641 | 11 | 589 | 42 | 639 | 11 | 8.83 | 641 | 22 |
| MDP15_6_139 | 0.883 | 0.0185 | 0.1041 | 0.0015 | 0.60 | 0.0603 | 0.00102 | 638 | 9 | 614 | 34 | 643 | 10 | 3.91 | 638 | 18 |
| MDP_15_6_293 | 0.828 | 0.0215 | 0.0989 | 0.0017 | 0.53 | 0.0598 | 0.00134 | 608 | 10 | 596 | 49 | 613 | 12 | 2.01 | 608 | 20 |
| MDP_15_6_294 | 0.793 | 0.0255 | 0.0953 | 0.0021 | 0.48 | 0.059 | 0.0017 | 587 | 12 | 567 | 64 | 593 | 14 | 3.53 | 587 | 24 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-----|----|
| MDP_15_6_296 | 0.851 | 0.028 | 0.0986 | 0.0022 | 0.51 | 0.059 | 0.00171 | 606 | 13 | 567 | 64 | 625 | 15 | 6.88 | 606 | 26 |
| MDP_15_6_297 | 0.985 | 0.0275 | 0.1149 | 0.0023 | 0.66 | 0.0612 | 0.0013 | 701 | 13 | 646 | 46 | 696 | 14 | 8.51 | 701 | 26 |
| MDP_15_6_298 | 0.786 | 0.021 | 0.0915 | 0.00155 | 0.57 | 0.0611 | 0.00135 | 564 | 9 | 643 | 48 | 589 | 12 | -12.29 | 564 | 18 |
| MDP_15_6_301 | 0.87304 | 0.04634 | 0.10134 | 0.00189 | 0.49 | 0.06248 | 0.00246 | 622 | 11 | 691 | 85 | 637 | 25 | -9.99 | 622 | 22 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Error= 1 sigma (except best age error= 2 sigma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rho (ρ)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % discordance = (1-(206/238 age)/(207/206 age))*-100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| red colored data (highlighted below) = data below quality standards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spot | Pb207/U235 | 1σ | Pb206/U238 | 1σ | ρ | Pb207/Pb206 | 1σ | Pb206/U238 age | 1σ | Pb207/Pb206 age | 1σ | Pb207/U235 age | 1σ | %disc | | |
| MDP15_6_2 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.175 | 0.0215 | 0.98 | 0.1259 | 0.00498 | 1040 | 118 | 2041 | 72 | 1614 | 124 | -49.04 | | |
| MDP15_6_3 | 0.87864 | 0.06163 | 0.07106 | 0.0012 | 0.92 | 0.08968 | 0.0051 | 443 | 7 | 1419 | 112 | 640 | 33 | -68.78 | | |
| MDP15_6_6 | 1.216 | 0.0215 | 0.1268 | 0.00195 | 0.51 | 0.0683 | 0.00113 | 770 | 11 | 878 | 35 | 808 | 10 | -12.30 | | |
| MDP15_6_8 | 0.963 | 0.021 | 0.1056 | 0.0019 | 0.65 | 0.0653 | 0.00111 | 647 | 11 | 784 | 36 | 685 | 11 | -17.47 | | |
| MDP15_6_9 | 0.83105 | 0.04041 | 0.08835 | 0.00179 | 0.61 | 0.06822 | 0.0024 | 546 | 11 | 875 | 74 | 614 | 22 | -37.60 | | |
| MDP15_6_11 | 27.8 | 2.5 | 0.86 | 0.08 | 0.94 | 0.2396 | 0.00772 | 4000 | 277 | 3117 | 52 | 3412 | 88 | 28.33 | | |
| MDP15_6_13 | 0.893 | 0.0225 | 0.0924 | 0.0016 | 0.44 | 0.069 | 0.00162 | 570 | 9 | 899 | 49 | 648 | 12 | -36.60 | | |
| MDP15_6_14 | 1.137 | 0.0275 | 0.0819 | 0.00175 | 0.40 | 0.099 | 0.00249 | 507 | 10 | 1605 | 48 | 771 | 13 | -68.41 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|--------|--|--|
| MDP15_6_15 | 0.998 | 0.0285 | 0.1071 | 0.0021 | 0.38 | 0.0669 | 0.00186 | 656 | 12 | 835 | 59 | 703 | 14 | -21.44 | | |
| MDP15_6_19 | 0.924 | 0.032 | 0.0886 | 0.00225 | 0.56 | 0.0776 | 0.00228 | 547 | 13 | 1137 | 60 | 664 | 17 | -51.89 | | |
| MDP15_6_20 | 1.125 | 0.031 | 0.0886 | 0.0017 | 0.35 | 0.0896 | 0.00246 | 547 | 10 | 1417 | 53 | 765 | 15 | -61.40 | | |
| MDP15_6_22 | 1.128 | 0.017 | 0.0869 | 0.0012 | 0.44 | 0.0936 | 0.00143 | 537 | 7 | 1500 | 29 | 767 | 8 | -64.20 | | |
| MDP15_6_25 | 0.80854 | 0.03397 | 0.09057 | 0.00136 | 0.23 | 0.06475 | 0.00222 | 559 | 8 | 766 | 74 | 602 | 19 | -27.02 | | |
| MDP15_6_26 | 0.941 | 0.027 | 0.0985 | 0.0018 | 0.52 | 0.0695 | 0.00171 | 606 | 11 | 914 | 52 | 673 | 14 | -33.70 | | |
| MDP15_6_28 | 1.384 | 0.0315 | 0.1209 | 0.0019 | 0.29 | 0.0823 | 0.00194 | 736 | 11 | 1253 | 47 | 882 | 13 | -41.26 | | |
| MDP15_6_32 | 44.2 | 2.9 | 1.23 | 0.085 | 0.94 | 0.2709 | 0.00634 | 5170 | 246 | 3311 | 37 | 3870 | 65 | 56.15 | | |
| MDP15_6_33 | 1.232 | 0.0415 | 0.0805 | 0.0018 | 0.95 | 0.1076 | 0.00153 | 499 | 11 | 1759 | 26 | 815 | 19 | -71.63 | | |
| MDP15_6_35 | 0.86 | 0.0185 | 0.0822 | 0.00135 | 0.68 | 0.0736 | 0.00117 | 509 | 8 | 1031 | 33 | 630 | 10 | -50.63 | | |
| MDP15_6_37 | 1.10078 | 0.03179 | 0.08382 | 0.00119 | 0.71 | 0.09524 | 0.00188 | 519 | 7 | 1533 | 38 | 754 | 15 | -66.14 | | |
| MDP15_6_39 | 0.93 | 0.0205 | 0.1016 | 0.00175 | 0.65 | 0.0655 | 0.00112 | 624 | 10 | 790 | 36 | 668 | 11 | -21.01 | | |
| MDP15_6_40 | 0.855 | 0.0125 | 0.0926 | 0.0013 | 0.13 | 0.0675 | 0.00128 | 571 | 8 | 853 | 40 | 627 | 7 | -33.06 | | |
| MDP15_6_42 | 2.89 | 0.235 | 0.118 | 0.01 | 0.98 | 0.1894 | 0.0034 | 719 | 58 | 2737 | 30 | 1379 | 61 | -73.73 | | |
| MDP15_6_43 | 0.98 | 0.0185 | 0.0865 | 0.00135 | 0.43 | 0.0835 | 0.00155 | 535 | 8 | 1281 | 37 | 694 | 9 | -58.24 | | |
| MDP15_6_47 | 1.74815 | 0.3128 | 0.1017 | 0.00365 | 0.67 | 0.12467 | 0.01862 | 624 | 21 | 2024 | 291 | 1026 | 116 | -69.17 | | |
| MDP15_6_52 | 1.6616 | 1.02283 | 0.17935 | 0.0257 | 0.97 | 0.06719 | 0.03328 | 1063 | 140 | 844 | 964 | 994 | 390 | 25.95 | | |
| MDP15_6_54 | 1.238 | 0.0205 | 0.0718 | 0.00105 | 0.02 | 0.1267 | 0.00277 | 447 | 6 | 2053 | 39 | 818 | 9 | -78.23 | | |
| MDP15_6_56 | 0.868 | 0.015 | 0.0923 | 0.0013 | 0.53 | 0.068 | 0.00105 | 569 | 8 | 869 | 32 | 634 | 8 | -34.52 | | |
| MDP15_6_57 | 1.53558 | 0.59948 | 0.09412 | 0.01055 | 0.98 | 0.11832 | 0.0337 | 580 | 62 | 1931 | 654 | 945 | 240 | -69.96 | | |
| MDP15_6_70 | 0.86287 | 0.08073 | 0.09719 | 0.00189 | 0.58 | 0.0604 | 0.00106 | 598 | 11 | 755 | 168 | 632 | 44 | -20.79 | | |
| MDP15_6_71 | 0.843 | 0.02 | 0.0629 | 0.0013 | 0.52 | 0.0967 | 0.00212 | 393 | 8 | 1561 | 40 | 621 | 11 | -74.82 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|--------|--|--|
| MDP15_6_74 | 1.177 | 0.23584 | 0.10975 | 0.00281 | 0.93 | 0.07778 | 0.01373 | 671 | 16 | 1141 | 363 | 790 | 110 | -41.19 | | |
| MDP15_6_78 | 13.68566 | 2.57188 | 0.43103 | 0.04992 | 0.99 | 0.23028 | 0.01915 | 2310 | 225 | 3054 | 135 | 2728 | 178 | -24.36 | | |
| MDP15_6_79 | 0.91113 | 0.05162 | 0.06321 | 0.00176 | 0.92 | 0.10454 | 0.00383 | 395 | 11 | 1706 | 65 | 658 | 27 | -76.85 | | |
| MDP15_6_80 | 0.872 | 0.018 | 0.0899 | 0.00145 | 0.56 | 0.0719 | 0.00128 | 555 | 9 | 983 | 35 | 637 | 10 | -43.54 | | |
| MDP15_6_81 | 0.82 | 0.0145 | 0.0868 | 0.0012 | 0.47 | 0.0671 | 0.00111 | 537 | 7 | 841 | 36 | 608 | 8 | -36.15 | | |
| MDP15_6_84 | 0.82 | 0.0165 | 0.0769 | 0.00125 | 0.56 | 0.0765 | 0.00133 | 478 | 7 | 1108 | 36 | 608 | 9 | -56.86 | | |
| MDP15_6_86 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 0.296 | 0.0325 | 0.98 | 0.1944 | 0.00382 | 1671 | 162 | 2780 | 33 | 2173 | 96 | -39.89 | | |
| MDP15_6_88 | 0.98639 | 0.06176 | 0.08239 | 0.00186 | 0.58 | 0.08683 | 0.00408 | 510 | 11 | 1357 | 94 | 697 | 32 | -62.42 | | |
| MDP15_6_92 | 0.828 | 0.0185 | 0.0953 | 0.00165 | 0.66 | 0.0625 | 0.00106 | 587 | 10 | 691 | 37 | 613 | 10 | -15.05 | | |
| MDP15_6_94 | 0.929 | 0.0175 | 0.0812 | 0.00115 | 0.60 | 0.0832 | 0.00128 | 503 | 7 | 1274 | 31 | 667 | 9 | -60.52 | | |
| MDP15_6_99 | 0.876 | 0.0215 | 0.0918 | 0.00165 | 0.56 | 0.0688 | 0.00142 | 566 | 10 | 893 | 44 | 639 | 12 | -36.62 | | |
| MDP15_6_101 | 0.84206 | 0.0397 | 0.07955 | 0.00184 | 0.01 | 0.07677 | 0.00306 | 493 | 11 | 1115 | 82 | 620 | 22 | -55.78 | | |
| MDP15_6_104 | 0.85 | 0.0165 | 0.0959 | 0.00145 | 0.68 | 0.0649 | 0.00093 | 590 | 9 | 771 | 31 | 625 | 9 | -23.48 | | |
| MDP15_6_105 | 0.87 | 0.018 | 0.0936 | 0.0013 | 0.51 | 0.0691 | 0.00125 | 577 | 8 | 902 | 38 | 636 | 10 | -36.03 | | |
| MDP15_6_110 | 4.4 | 0.5 | 0.141 | 0.016 | 0.97 | 0.2198 | 0.00634 | 850 | 90 | 2979 | 48 | 1712 | 94 | -71.47 | | |
| MDP15_6_111 | 0.952 | 0.017 | 0.1038 | 0.00115 | 0.51 | 0.0662 | 0.00103 | 637 | 7 | 813 | 33 | 679 | 9 | -21.65 | | |
| MDP15_6_113 | 1.826 | 0.0325 | 0.1485 | 0.0024 | 0.60 | 0.0883 | 0.00134 | 893 | 13 | 1389 | 30 | 1055 | 12 | -35.71 | | |
| MDP15_6_115 | 0.89 | 0.0155 | 0.097 | 0.00125 | 0.48 | 0.0677 | 0.00108 | 597 | 7 | 859 | 34 | 646 | 8 | -30.50 | | |
| MDP15_6_116 | 0.849 | 0.0175 | 0.0951 | 0.00115 | 0.41 | 0.0661 | 0.00127 | 586 | 7 | 810 | 41 | 624 | 10 | -27.65 | | |
| MDP15_6_117 | 0.894 | 0.02 | 0.089 | 0.0014 | 0.35 | 0.0716 | 0.0016 | 550 | 8 | 975 | 47 | 649 | 11 | -43.59 | | |
| MDP15_6_120 | 0.85 | 0.018 | 0.0978 | 0.0014 | 0.45 | 0.0633 | 0.00123 | 602 | 8 | 718 | 43 | 625 | 10 | -16.16 | | |
| MDP15_6_122 | 0.87477 | 0.03225 | 0.08919 | 0.00154 | 0.40 | 0.07113 | 0.00215 | 551 | 9 | 961 | 63 | 638 | 17 | -42.66 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|--------|--|--|
| MDP15_6_123 | 4.44 | 0.095 | 0.2349 | 0.0044 | 0.80 | 0.1394 | 0.00181 | 1360 | 23 | 2220 | 23 | 1720 | 18 | -38.74 | | |
| MDP15_6_124 | 0.91227 | 0.0806 | 0.10121 | 0.00172 | 0.44 | 0.06537 | 0.00505 | 622 | 10 | 786 | 171 | 658 | 43 | -20.87 | | |
| MDP15_6_125 | 1.12 | 0.055 | 0.0981 | 0.00155 | 0.64 | 0.0815 | 0.00333 | 603 | 9 | 1234 | 83 | 763 | 26 | -51.13 | | |
| MDP15_6_127 | 4.9 | 0.65 | 0.159 | 0.021 | 0.98 | 0.2321 | 0.00599 | 951 | 117 | 3067 | 43 | 1802 | 112 | -68.99 | | |
| MDP15_6_130 | 1.46 | 0.125 | 0.0951 | 0.0032 | 0.97 | 0.114 | 0.00609 | 586 | 19 | 1864 | 100 | 914 | 52 | -68.56 | | |
| MDP15_6_132 | 1.115 | 0.0185 | 0.0692 | 0.00115 | 0.44 | 0.1228 | 0.00217 | 431 | 7 | 1997 | 32 | 761 | 9 | -78.42 | | |
| MDP15_6_136 | 0.749 | 0.0185 | 0.0946 | 0.0013 | 0.27 | 0.057 | 0.00141 | 583 | 8 | 492 | 52 | 568 | 11 | 18.50 | | |
| MDP15_6_140 | 1.209 | 0.0275 | 0.0759 | 0.00145 | 0.65 | 0.1148 | 0.00206 | 472 | 9 | 1877 | 30 | 805 | 13 | -74.85 | | |
| MDP_15_6_290 | 1.00823 | 0.04628 | 0.07758 | 0.00164 | 0.39 | 0.09425 | 0.0033 | 482 | 10 | 1513 | 67 | 708 | 23 | -68.14 | | |
| MDP_15_6_291 | 0.916 | 0.0205 | 0.094 | 0.0014 | 0.52 | 0.0723 | 0.0014 | 579 | 8 | 994 | 40 | 660 | 11 | -41.75 | | |
| MDP_15_6_292 | 0.761 | 0.022 | 0.0874 | 0.0016 | 0.52 | 0.0628 | 0.00156 | 540 | 9 | 701 | 53 | 575 | 13 | -22.97 | | |
| MDP_15_6_295 | 0.50494 | 0.02708 | 0.0374 | 0.00071 | 0.02 | 0.09793 | 0.00445 | 237 | 4 | 1585 | 86 | 415 | 18 | -85.05 | | |
| MDP_15_6_299 | 0.869 | 0.0245 | 0.0887 | 0.00155 | 0.43 | 0.071 | 0.00185 | 548 | 9 | 957 | 54 | 635 | 13 | -42.74 | | |
| MDP_15_6_300 | 0.77272 | 0.06837 | 0.06919 | 0.0019 | 0.47 | 0.081 | 0.00602 | 431 | 11 | 1221 | 151 | 581 | 39 | -64.70 | | |
| MDP_15_6_302 | 0.828 | 0.024 | 0.0867 | 0.00175 | 0.15 | 0.0696 | 0.00228 | 536 | 10 | 917 | 68 | 613 | 13 | -41.55 | | |
| MDP_15_6_303 | 0.74728 | 0.06608 | 0.09214 | 0.0018 | 0.60 | 0.05882 | 0.00437 | 568 | 11 | 560 | 167 | 567 | 38 | 1.43 | | |
| MDP_15_6_304 | 0.86412 | 0.03963 | 0.08577 | 0.00143 | 0.57 | 0.07307 | 0.00248 | 530 | 8 | 1016 | 70 | 632 | 22 | -47.83 | | |
| MDP_15_6_305 | 0.788 | 0.0205 | 0.0928 | 0.0016 | 0.52 | 0.0603 | 0.00136 | 572 | 9 | 614 | 49 | 590 | 12 | -6.84 | | |

Table B2. Sample MDP6 check standards

| Spot | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{U}^{235}$ | 1 σ | $\text{Pb}^{206}/\text{U}^{238}$ | 1 σ | ρ | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{Pb}^{206}$ | 1 σ | $\text{Pb}^{206}/\text{U}^{238}$ age | 1 σ | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{Pb}^{206}$ age | 1 σ | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{U}^{235}$ age | 1 σ |
|-----------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| GJ1_1 | 0.857 | 0.014 | 0.1011 | 0.00155 | 0.66 | 0.06 | 0.00079 | 621 | 9 | 604 | 29 | 628 | 8 |
| TEM-1 | 0.516 | 0.0095 | 0.0665 | 0.00095 | 0.36 | 0.0549 | 0.00103 | 415 | 6 | 408 | 43 | 422 | 6 |
| Ples_1 | 0.376 | 0.0055 | 0.0504 | 0.0008 | 0.53 | 0.0536 | 0.0008 | 317 | 5 | 354 | 34 | 324 | 4 |
| GJ1_2 | 0.824 | 0.015 | 0.0968 | 0.00155 | 0.72 | 0.0615 | 0.0008 | 596 | 9 | 657 | 28 | 610 | 8 |
| TEM-2 | 0.526 | 0.0095 | 0.067 | 0.00095 | 0.41 | 0.0554 | 0.00099 | 418 | 6 | 428 | 40 | 429 | 6 |
| Pleso-2 | 0.365 | 0.008 | 0.0496 | 0.00105 | 0.64 | 0.0531 | 0.00097 | 312 | 6 | 333 | 42 | 316 | 6 |
| GJ1_3 | 0.816 | 0.013 | 0.097 | 0.0014 | 0.56 | 0.0609 | 0.00087 | 597 | 8 | 636 | 31 | 606 | 7 |
| Tem-3 | 0.533 | 0.0085 | 0.0675 | 0.0009 | 0.47 | 0.056 | 0.00086 | 421 | 5 | 452 | 34 | 434 | 6 |
| Pleso-3 | 0.409 | 0.0095 | 0.0554 | 0.00105 | 0.65 | 0.0527 | 0.00096 | 348 | 6 | 316 | 42 | 348 | 7 |
| GJ1_1_2 | 0.827 | 0.0125 | 0.0976 | 0.0013 | 0.5 | 0.0612 | 0.00088 | 600 | 8 | 646 | 31 | 612 | 7 |
| TEM-1_2 | 0.506 | 0.012 | 0.0676 | 0.0011 | 0.36 | 0.0532 | 0.00125 | 422 | 7 | 337 | 54 | 416 | 8 |
| Ples_1_2 | 0.413 | 0.0115 | 0.056 | 0.0012 | 0.61 | 0.0529 | 0.0012 | 351 | 7 | 325 | 52 | 351 | 8 |
| GJ1_2_2 | 0.839 | 0.014 | 0.0983 | 0.00135 | 0.37 | 0.0615 | 0.00106 | 604 | 8 | 657 | 38 | 619 | 8 |
| TEM-2_2 | 0.494 | 0.0095 | 0.0661 | 0.00095 | 0.23 | 0.0538 | 0.00114 | 413 | 6 | 363 | 49 | 408 | 6 |
| Pleso-2_2 | 0.37616 | 0.00896 | 0.05007 | 0.00065 | 0.54 | 0.05449 | 0.00102 | 315 | 4 | 391 | 43 | 324 | 7 |
| GJ1_3_2 | 0.804 | 0.013 | 0.098 | 0.0014 | 0.56 | 0.0597 | 0.00086 | 603 | 8 | 593 | 32 | 599 | 7 |
| Tem-3_2 | 0.524 | 0.011 | 0.0683 | 0.001 | 0.26 | 0.0567 | 0.00126 | 426 | 6 | 480 | 50 | 428 | 7 |
| Pleso-3_2 | 0.364 | 0.006 | 0.0503 | 0.0008 | 0.5 | 0.0532 | 0.00086 | 316 | 5 | 337 | 37 | 315 | 4 |
| GJ1_1_3 | 0.805 | 0.013 | 0.0963 | 0.00135 | 0.59 | 0.0608 | 0.00084 | 593 | 8 | 632 | 30 | 600 | 7 |
| TEM-1_3 | 0.534 | 0.01 | 0.0674 | 0.001 | 0.38 | 0.0575 | 0.00109 | 420 | 6 | 511 | 43 | 434 | 7 |
| Ples_1_3 | 0.412 | 0.01 | 0.0538 | 0.001 | 0.5 | 0.0539 | 0.00119 | 338 | 6 | 367 | 50 | 350 | 7 |
| GJ1_2_3 | 0.832 | 0.016 | 0.0995 | 0.00155 | 0.68 | 0.0605 | 0.00087 | 611 | 9 | 622 | 31 | 615 | 9 |
| TEM-2_3 | 0.518 | 0.0115 | 0.0673 | 0.001 | 0.31 | 0.0559 | 0.00126 | 420 | 6 | 448 | 51 | 424 | 8 |
| Pleso-2_3 | 0.39 | 0.007 | 0.0542 | 0.0008 | 0.51 | 0.0531 | 0.00087 | 340 | 5 | 333 | 38 | 334 | 5 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|--------|--------|---------|------|--------|---------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| GJ1_3_3 | 0.825 | 0.015 | 0.1002 | 0.00155 | 0.54 | 0.0603 | 0.00098 | 616 | 9 | 614 | 36 | 611 | 8 |
| Tem-3_3 | 0.516 | 0.0105 | 0.0672 | 0.00095 | 0.35 | 0.056 | 0.00114 | 419 | 6 | 452 | 46 | 422 | 7 |
| Pleso-3_3 | 0.411 | 0.008 | 0.0551 | 0.00085 | 0.49 | 0.0541 | 0.00097 | 346 | 5 | 375 | 41 | 350 | 6 |
| GJ1_1_4 | 0.795 | 0.0155 | 0.0951 | 0.00145 | 0.66 | 0.0609 | 0.00091 | 586 | 9 | 636 | 32 | 594 | 9 |
| TEM-1_4 | 0.473 | 0.0065 | 0.0626 | 0.00075 | 0.45 | 0.0555 | 0.00075 | 391 | 5 | 432 | 31 | 393 | 4 |
| Ples_1_4 | 0.376 | 0.007 | 0.0518 | 0.0008 | 0.58 | 0.0531 | 0.00084 | 326 | 5 | 333 | 36 | 324 | 5 |
| GJ1_2_4 | 0.839 | 0.018 | 0.1004 | 0.00175 | 0.62 | 0.06 | 0.00105 | 617 | 10 | 604 | 36 | 619 | 10 |
| TEM-2_4 | 0.495 | 0.0125 | 0.0664 | 0.001 | 0.38 | 0.0555 | 0.00133 | 414 | 6 | 432 | 51 | 408 | 8 |
| Pleso-2_4 | 0.388 | 0.0085 | 0.0528 | 0.0009 | 0.53 | 0.0529 | 0.00103 | 332 | 6 | 325 | 42 | 333 | 6 |
| GJ1_3_4 | 0.818 | 0.017 | 0.1 | 0.00165 | 0.54 | 0.0592 | 0.00108 | 614 | 10 | 574 | 38 | 607 | 9 |
| Tem-3_4 | 0.497 | 0.009 | 0.0671 | 0.00095 | 0.24 | 0.0543 | 0.00109 | 419 | 6 | 384 | 43 | 410 | 6 |
| Pleso-3_4 | 0.373 | 0.0085 | 0.0505 | 0.00095 | 0.55 | 0.054 | 0.00108 | 318 | 6 | 371 | 43 | 322 | 6 |
| GJ1_1_5 | 0.838 | 0.02 | 0.1008 | 0.00155 | 0.59 | 0.0583 | 0.00112 | 619 | 9 | 541 | 43 | 618 | 11 |
| TEM-1_5 | 0.545 | 0.0125 | 0.0714 | 0.0011 | 0.37 | 0.0547 | 0.00122 | 445 | 7 | 400 | 52 | 442 | 8 |
| Ples_1_5 | 0.42 | 0.0095 | 0.0559 | 0.00085 | 0.51 | 0.0543 | 0.00107 | 351 | 5 | 384 | 46 | 356 | 7 |
| GJ1_2_5 | 0.819 | 0.014 | 0.0975 | 0.0012 | 0.62 | 0.0597 | 0.00081 | 600 | 7 | 593 | 30 | 607 | 8 |
| TEM-2_5 | 0.509 | 0.0105 | 0.0692 | 0.00095 | 0.33 | 0.0545 | 0.00113 | 431 | 6 | 392 | 48 | 418 | 7 |
| Pleso-2_5 | 0.409 | 0.011 | 0.0548 | 0.001 | 0.64 | 0.0539 | 0.00111 | 344 | 6 | 367 | 48 | 348 | 8 |
| GJ1_3_5 | 0.817 | 0.015 | 0.1003 | 0.0013 | 0.57 | 0.0594 | 0.00091 | 616 | 8 | 582 | 34 | 606 | 8 |
| Tem-3_5 | 0.513 | 0.009 | 0.0668 | 0.0008 | 0.2 | 0.0564 | 0.00108 | 417 | 5 | 468 | 44 | 420 | 6 |
| Pleso-3_5 | 0.408 | 0.008 | 0.0557 | 0.0008 | 0.56 | 0.0524 | 0.00087 | 349 | 5 | 303 | 39 | 347 | 6 |
| GJ1_1_6 | 0.848 | 0.017 | 0.0998 | 0.00145 | 0.63 | 0.0602 | 0.00094 | 613 | 8 | 611 | 35 | 624 | 9 |
| TEM-1_6 | 0.538 | 0.0125 | 0.068 | 0.0013 | 0.52 | 0.0587 | 0.00124 | 424 | 8 | 556 | 47 | 437 | 8 |
| Ples_1_6 | 0.411 | 0.01 | 0.0556 | 0.001 | 0.59 | 0.0527 | 0.00105 | 349 | 6 | 316 | 47 | 350 | 7 |
| GJ1_2_6 | 0.767 | 0.0155 | 0.093 | 0.00135 | 0.66 | 0.0592 | 0.0009 | 573 | 8 | 574 | 34 | 578 | 9 |
| TEM-2_6 | 0.512 | 0.009 | 0.0688 | 0.00085 | 0.53 | 0.0541 | 0.00083 | 429 | 5 | 375 | 35 | 420 | 6 |
| Pleso-2_6 | 0.401 | 0.009 | 0.0542 | 0.00085 | 0.63 | 0.0526 | 0.00092 | 340 | 5 | 312 | 41 | 342 | 7 |
| GJ1_3_6 | 0.819 | 0.0155 | 0.099 | 0.00135 | 0.53 | 0.06 | 0.00099 | 609 | 8 | 604 | 37 | 607 | 9 |
| Tem-3_6 | 0.529 | 0.011 | 0.0691 | 0.0009 | 0.25 | 0.056 | 0.00121 | 431 | 5 | 452 | 50 | 431 | 7 |
| Pleso-3_6 | 0.4 | 0.0095 | 0.0535 | 0.00085 | 0.53 | 0.0527 | 0.00107 | 336 | 5 | 316 | 48 | 342 | 7 |

Table B3. Sample MP

| Location: 30°19'40.91"S, 51°35'47.15"W | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------|---|---------|------|--|---------|--|----|---|----|--|----|--------|-------------|----|
| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1σ | %disc | Best Age | 2σ |
| MP_001 | 0.852 | 0.0175 | 0.1048 | 0.00225 | 0.37 | 0.0591 | 0.00139 | 642 | 13 | 571 | 52 | 626 | 10 | 12.43 | 642 | 26 |
| MP_002 | 0.859 | 0.021 | 0.103 | 0.0023 | 0.27 | 0.0619 | 0.00175 | 632 | 13 | 671 | 62 | 630 | 11 | -5.81 | 632 | 26 |
| MP_003 | 0.788 | 0.0185 | 0.0963 | 0.00215 | 0.33 | 0.0596 | 0.00159 | 593 | 13 | 589 | 59 | 590 | 11 | 0.68 | 593 | 26 |
| MP_004 | 0.89 | 0.018 | 0.1054 | 0.0023 | 0.47 | 0.0616 | 0.00133 | 646 | 13 | 660 | 48 | 646 | 10 | -2.12 | 646 | 26 |
| MP_005 | 0.76 | 0.0175 | 0.0982 | 0.0021 | 0.35 | 0.0576 | 0.00146 | 604 | 12 | 515 | 57 | 574 | 10 | 17.28 | 604 | 24 |
| MP_008 | 0.771 | 0.015 | 0.0975 | 0.00225 | 0.49 | 0.0598 | 0.0013 | 600 | 13 | 596 | 48 | 580 | 9 | 0.67 | 600 | 26 |
| MP_009 | 0.854 | 0.018 | 0.1052 | 0.00225 | 0.33 | 0.06 | 0.00148 | 645 | 13 | 604 | 55 | 627 | 10 | 6.79 | 645 | 26 |
| MP_010 | 0.917 | 0.026 | 0.102 | 0.0024 | 0.35 | 0.0666 | 0.00199 | 626 | 14 | 825 | 64 | 661 | 14 | -24.12 | 626 | 28 |
| MP_011 | 0.903 | 0.0195 | 0.1021 | 0.00215 | 0.37 | 0.0624 | 0.0015 | 627 | 13 | 688 | 53 | 653 | 10 | -8.87 | 627 | 26 |
| MP_012 | 0.775 | 0.0145 | 0.0901 | 0.00185 | 0.37 | 0.0609 | 0.00134 | 556 | 11 | 636 | 49 | 583 | 8 | -12.58 | 556 | 22 |
| MP_013 | 0.843 | 0.022 | 0.1033 | 0.00235 | 0.21 | 0.0589 | 0.00181 | 634 | 14 | 563 | 69 | 621 | 12 | 12.61 | 634 | 28 |
| MP_014 | 0.751 | 0.0165 | 0.0904 | 0.00195 | 0.33 | 0.0589 | 0.00148 | 558 | 12 | 563 | 56 | 569 | 10 | -0.89 | 558 | 24 |
| MP_017 | 0.747 | 0.017 | 0.0962 | 0.002 | 0.26 | 0.0586 | 0.00155 | 592 | 12 | 552 | 59 | 566 | 10 | 7.25 | 592 | 24 |
| MP_021 | 0.866 | 0.026 | 0.1019 | 0.00225 | 0.28 | 0.0624 | 0.002 | 626 | 13 | 688 | 70 | 633 | 14 | -9.01 | 626 | 26 |
| MP_022 | 0.905 | 0.0255 | 0.1121 | 0.00255 | 0.35 | 0.0605 | 0.00177 | 685 | 15 | 622 | 65 | 654 | 14 | 10.13 | 685 | 30 |
| MP_023 | 0.7422 | 0.01684 | 0.08672 | 0.00197 | 0.39 | 0.06207 | 0.00147 | 536 | 12 | 677 | 52 | 564 | 10 | -20.83 | 536 | 24 |
| MP_026 | 0.774 | 0.017 | 0.0923 | 0.00195 | 0.17 | 0.0615 | 0.00171 | 569 | 12 | 657 | 61 | 582 | 10 | -13.39 | 569 | 24 |
| MP_027 | 0.773 | 0.016 | 0.0932 | 0.002 | 0.41 | 0.0606 | 0.00139 | 574 | 12 | 625 | 51 | 581 | 9 | -8.16 | 574 | 24 |
| MP_028 | 0.777 | 0.0155 | 0.0977 | 0.00205 | 0.31 | 0.0579 | 0.00139 | 601 | 12 | 526 | 54 | 584 | 9 | 14.26 | 601 | 24 |
| MP_029 | 1.402 | 0.0285 | 0.1455 | 0.003 | 0.42 | 0.0706 | 0.00156 | 876 | 17 | 946 | 46 | 890 | 12 | -7.40 | 876 | 34 |
| MP_030 | 0.765 | 0.0145 | 0.0887 | 0.0018 | 0.49 | 0.0632 | 0.00125 | 548 | 11 | 715 | 43 | 577 | 8 | -23.36 | 548 | 22 |
| MP_031 | 0.774 | 0.0185 | 0.0876 | 0.00185 | 0.18 | 0.0617 | 0.00179 | 541 | 11 | 664 | 64 | 582 | 11 | -18.52 | 541 | 22 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|--------|-----|----|
| MP_032 | 0.749 | 0.021 | 0.0893 | 0.0022 | 0.33 | 0.0593 | 0.00181 | 551 | 13 | 578 | 68 | 568 | 12 | -4.67 | 551 | 26 |
| MP_033 | 0.788 | 0.0165 | 0.0901 | 0.0019 | 0.26 | 0.0625 | 0.00159 | 556 | 11 | 691 | 56 | 590 | 9 | -19.54 | 556 | 22 |
| MP_034 | 0.889 | 0.0175 | 0.1043 | 0.00215 | 0.37 | 0.0608 | 0.00137 | 640 | 13 | 632 | 50 | 646 | 9 | 1.27 | 640 | 26 |
| MP_036 | 0.955 | 0.029 | 0.1195 | 0.0029 | 0.16 | 0.0625 | 0.00224 | 728 | 17 | 691 | 70 | 681 | 15 | 5.35 | 728 | 34 |
| MP_037 | 0.696 | 0.019 | 0.0861 | 0.0027 | 0.65 | 0.0626 | 0.00156 | 532 | 16 | 695 | 49 | 536 | 11 | -23.45 | 532 | 32 |
| MP_043 | 0.912 | 0.024 | 0.1022 | 0.00215 | 0.19 | 0.0636 | 0.00194 | 627 | 13 | 728 | 59 | 658 | 13 | -13.87 | 627 | 26 |
| MP_044 | 0.72 | 0.025 | 0.0915 | 0.00225 | 0.09 | 0.0587 | 0.00239 | 564 | 13 | 556 | 82 | 551 | 15 | 1.44 | 564 | 26 |
| MP_047 | 0.756 | 0.0215 | 0.0912 | 0.00205 | 0.21 | 0.0593 | 0.00191 | 563 | 12 | 578 | 64 | 572 | 12 | -2.60 | 563 | 24 |
| MP_048 | 0.844 | 0.0175 | 0.0972 | 0.00205 | 0.28 | 0.062 | 0.00155 | 598 | 12 | 674 | 49 | 621 | 10 | -11.28 | 598 | 24 |
| MP_049 | 0.699 | 0.016 | 0.0875 | 0.00185 | 0.30 | 0.0577 | 0.00151 | 541 | 11 | 518 | 53 | 538 | 10 | 4.44 | 541 | 22 |
| MP_050 | 0.767 | 0.02 | 0.0886 | 0.002 | 0.45 | 0.064 | 0.00165 | 547 | 12 | 742 | 50 | 578 | 11 | -26.28 | 547 | 24 |
| MP_051 | 0.86264 | 0.02066 | 0.09719 | 0.00204 | 0.23 | 0.06437 | 0.00166 | 598 | 12 | 754 | 50 | 632 | 11 | -20.69 | 598 | 24 |
| MP_052 | 0.832 | 0.0215 | 0.098 | 0.00215 | 0.06 | 0.0619 | 0.00204 | 603 | 13 | 671 | 65 | 615 | 12 | -10.13 | 603 | 26 |
| MP_053 | 0.74729 | 0.02106 | 0.08586 | 0.00189 | 0.06 | 0.06312 | 0.00208 | 531 | 11 | 712 | 64 | 567 | 12 | -25.42 | 531 | 22 |
| MP_054 | 0.879 | 0.025 | 0.1012 | 0.0023 | 0.22 | 0.0615 | 0.00198 | 621 | 13 | 657 | 63 | 640 | 14 | -5.48 | 621 | 26 |
| MP_056 | 0.832 | 0.0255 | 0.0949 | 0.00225 | 0.17 | 0.0624 | 0.0022 | 584 | 13 | 688 | 69 | 615 | 14 | -15.12 | 584 | 26 |
| MP_058 | 0.888 | 0.026 | 0.1044 | 0.00245 | 0.23 | 0.0643 | 0.00213 | 640 | 14 | 752 | 64 | 645 | 14 | -14.89 | 640 | 28 |
| MP_059 | 0.987 | 0.0225 | 0.1125 | 0.0025 | 0.26 | 0.0638 | 0.00174 | 687 | 14 | 735 | 53 | 697 | 11 | -6.53 | 687 | 28 |
| MP_060 | 0.686 | 0.014 | 0.0827 | 0.00175 | 0.40 | 0.0626 | 0.00142 | 512 | 10 | 695 | 44 | 530 | 8 | -26.33 | 512 | 20 |
| MP_061 | 0.867 | 0.024 | 0.0965 | 0.00185 | 0.16 | 0.0664 | 0.00206 | 594 | 11 | 819 | 63 | 634 | 13 | -27.47 | 594 | 22 |
| MP_062 | 0.797 | 0.022 | 0.0926 | 0.00175 | 0.25 | 0.0629 | 0.00184 | 571 | 10 | 705 | 60 | 595 | 12 | -19.01 | 571 | 20 |
| MP_064 | 0.861 | 0.023 | 0.1065 | 0.002 | 0.35 | 0.0582 | 0.00155 | 652 | 12 | 537 | 56 | 631 | 13 | 21.42 | 652 | 24 |
| MP_066 | 0.839 | 0.028 | 0.0996 | 0.00205 | 0.20 | 0.0612 | 0.00218 | 612 | 12 | 646 | 74 | 619 | 15 | -5.26 | 612 | 24 |
| MP_068 | 0.837 | 0.022 | 0.1012 | 0.00185 | 0.51 | 0.0598 | 0.00138 | 621 | 11 | 596 | 48 | 617 | 12 | 4.19 | 621 | 22 |
| MP_069 | 0.857 | 0.0235 | 0.0998 | 0.0019 | 0.38 | 0.0623 | 0.00167 | 613 | 11 | 684 | 55 | 628 | 13 | -10.38 | 613 | 22 |
| MP_071 | 0.823 | 0.0335 | 0.0985 | 0.0023 | 0.25 | 0.0615 | 0.00255 | 606 | 13 | 657 | 86 | 610 | 19 | -7.76 | 606 | 26 |
| MP_072 | 1.226 | 0.033 | 0.1331 | 0.0025 | 0.26 | 0.0647 | 0.00185 | 806 | 14 | 765 | 58 | 813 | 15 | 5.36 | 806 | 28 |
| MP_074 | 0.79 | 0.022 | 0.098 | 0.00185 | 0.39 | 0.0579 | 0.00156 | 603 | 11 | 526 | 57 | 591 | 12 | 14.64 | 603 | 22 |
| MP_076 | 0.827 | 0.0225 | 0.0985 | 0.00185 | 0.20 | 0.0597 | 0.00178 | 606 | 11 | 593 | 62 | 612 | 13 | 2.19 | 606 | 22 |
| MP_077 | 1.084 | 0.03 | 0.1242 | 0.0023 | 0.27 | 0.0623 | 0.0018 | 755 | 13 | 684 | 60 | 746 | 15 | 10.38 | 755 | 26 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------|--------|--------|---------|------|--------|---------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|--------|-----|----|
| MP_078 | 0.771 | 0.021 | 0.0954 | 0.0017 | 0.40 | 0.058 | 0.0015 | 587 | 10 | 530 | 55 | 580 | 12 | 10.75 | 587 | 20 |
| MP_081 | 0.816 | 0.0245 | 0.0979 | 0.002 | 0.37 | 0.0594 | 0.00174 | 602 | 12 | 582 | 61 | 606 | 14 | 3.44 | 602 | 24 |
| MP_082 | 0.946 | 0.0295 | 0.1144 | 0.00225 | 0.13 | 0.059 | 0.00205 | 698 | 13 | 567 | 73 | 676 | 15 | 23.10 | 698 | 26 |
| MP_083 | 0.851 | 0.0235 | 0.1016 | 0.0019 | 0.26 | 0.0605 | 0.00175 | 624 | 11 | 622 | 60 | 625 | 13 | 0.32 | 624 | 22 |
| MP_084 | 0.818 | 0.0215 | 0.0991 | 0.0018 | 0.41 | 0.0593 | 0.00149 | 609 | 11 | 578 | 53 | 607 | 12 | 5.36 | 609 | 22 |
| MP_085 | 0.871 | 0.0235 | 0.1046 | 0.002 | 0.35 | 0.0599 | 0.00162 | 641 | 12 | 600 | 56 | 636 | 13 | 6.83 | 641 | 24 |
| MP_086 | 0.744 | 0.022 | 0.0861 | 0.00185 | 0.38 | 0.0641 | 0.00188 | 532 | 11 | 745 | 60 | 565 | 13 | -28.59 | 532 | 22 |
| MP_087 | 0.822 | 0.0215 | 0.0967 | 0.00175 | 0.43 | 0.0612 | 0.0015 | 595 | 10 | 646 | 51 | 609 | 12 | -7.89 | 595 | 20 |
| MP_088 | 0.93 | 0.0265 | 0.1093 | 0.0021 | 0.10 | 0.061 | 0.002 | 669 | 12 | 639 | 68 | 668 | 14 | 4.69 | 669 | 24 |
| MP_090 | 0.784 | 0.0225 | 0.094 | 0.00175 | 0.32 | 0.0607 | 0.00175 | 579 | 10 | 629 | 60 | 588 | 13 | -7.95 | 579 | 20 |
| MP_091 | 0.979 | 0.028 | 0.1128 | 0.00205 | 0.19 | 0.0632 | 0.00195 | 689 | 12 | 715 | 63 | 693 | 14 | -3.64 | 689 | 24 |
| MP_092 | 0.815 | 0.0225 | 0.0957 | 0.00185 | 0.45 | 0.0618 | 0.00158 | 589 | 11 | 667 | 53 | 605 | 13 | -11.69 | 589 | 22 |
| MP_093 | 0.917 | 0.0245 | 0.11 | 0.002 | 0.41 | 0.0604 | 0.00153 | 673 | 12 | 618 | 53 | 661 | 13 | 8.90 | 673 | 24 |
| MP_095 | 0.852 | 0.0325 | 0.101 | 0.00215 | 0.18 | 0.0606 | 0.00244 | 620 | 13 | 625 | 85 | 626 | 18 | -0.80 | 620 | 26 |
| MP_097 | 0.866 | 0.0255 | 0.1065 | 0.0021 | 0.30 | 0.0592 | 0.00178 | 652 | 12 | 574 | 64 | 633 | 14 | 13.59 | 652 | 24 |
| MP_098 | 0.861 | 0.026 | 0.1003 | 0.002 | 0.38 | 0.0614 | 0.0018 | 616 | 12 | 653 | 62 | 631 | 14 | -5.67 | 616 | 24 |
| MP_101 | 0.717 | 0.028 | 0.0833 | 0.0025 | 0.45 | 0.0619 | 0.00229 | 516 | 15 | 671 | 78 | 549 | 17 | -23.10 | 516 | 30 |
| MP_102 | 1.122 | 0.042 | 0.1187 | 0.00235 | 0.34 | 0.0695 | 0.0025 | 723 | 14 | 914 | 73 | 764 | 20 | -20.90 | 723 | 28 |
| MP_103 | 0.911 | 0.0295 | 0.1014 | 0.00205 | 0.23 | 0.0639 | 0.00217 | 623 | 12 | 738 | 71 | 658 | 16 | -15.58 | 623 | 24 |
| MP_104 | 0.959 | 0.028 | 0.1115 | 0.00215 | 0.33 | 0.0636 | 0.00186 | 681 | 12 | 728 | 61 | 683 | 15 | -6.46 | 681 | 24 |
| MP_105 | 0.96 | 0.027 | 0.1105 | 0.0021 | 0.37 | 0.0619 | 0.0017 | 676 | 12 | 671 | 58 | 683 | 14 | 0.75 | 676 | 24 |
| MP_106 | 0.768 | 0.023 | 0.0955 | 0.0018 | 0.23 | 0.0587 | 0.00184 | 588 | 11 | 556 | 67 | 579 | 13 | 5.76 | 588 | 22 |
| MP_109 | 0.913 | 0.0255 | 0.1017 | 0.00205 | 0.42 | 0.0654 | 0.00174 | 624 | 12 | 787 | 55 | 659 | 14 | -20.71 | 624 | 24 |
| MP_111 | 0.747 | 0.0245 | 0.0964 | 0.00205 | 0.51 | 0.058 | 0.00166 | 593 | 12 | 530 | 62 | 566 | 14 | 11.89 | 593 | 24 |
| MP_112 | 0.965 | 0.0365 | 0.119 | 0.0026 | 0.22 | 0.0588 | 0.00231 | 725 | 15 | 560 | 84 | 686 | 19 | 29.46 | 725 | 30 |
| MP_113 | 0.884 | 0.032 | 0.0985 | 0.0022 | 0.37 | 0.0659 | 0.0023 | 606 | 13 | 803 | 72 | 643 | 17 | -24.53 | 606 | 26 |
| MP_114 | 0.673 | 0.0215 | 0.0809 | 0.0019 | 0.30 | 0.0613 | 0.00205 | 501 | 11 | 650 | 71 | 523 | 13 | -22.92 | 501 | 22 |
| MP_115 | 0.815 | 0.0235 | 0.0941 | 0.0018 | 0.35 | 0.0626 | 0.00178 | 580 | 11 | 695 | 60 | 605 | 13 | -16.55 | 580 | 22 |
| MP_117 | 0.796 | 0.022 | 0.0993 | 0.0019 | 0.42 | 0.0591 | 0.00155 | 610 | 11 | 571 | 56 | 595 | 12 | 6.83 | 610 | 22 |
| MP_119 | 0.913 | 0.027 | 0.1126 | 0.00225 | 0.33 | 0.0603 | 0.00179 | 688 | 13 | 614 | 63 | 659 | 14 | 12.05 | 688 | 26 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|---|-----------|----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|--------------|-----|----|
| MP_120 | 0.78 | 0.023 | 0.0962 | 0.002 | 0.47 | 0.0585 | 0.00157 | 592 | 12 | 549 | 58 | 585 | 13 | 7.83 | 592 | 24 |
| MP_121 | 0.85 | 0.026 | 0.1048 | 0.0021 | 0.42 | 0.0601 | 0.00172 | 642 | 12 | 607 | 61 | 625 | 14 | 5.77 | 642 | 24 |
| MP_122 | 0.757 | 0.023 | 0.0926 | 0.00195 | 0.44 | 0.0597 | 0.0017 | 571 | 12 | 593 | 61 | 572 | 13 | -3.71 | 571 | 24 |
| MP_124 | 0.894 | 0.0275 | 0.1014 | 0.00205 | 0.43 | 0.0629 | 0.0018 | 623 | 12 | 705 | 60 | 649 | 15 | -11.63 | 623 | 24 |
| MP_125 | 0.771 | 0.026 | 0.092 | 0.00195 | 0.30 | 0.0611 | 0.00208 | 567 | 12 | 643 | 72 | 580 | 15 | -11.82 | 567 | 24 |
| MP_126 | 0.764 | 0.0215 | 0.0925 | 0.00175 | 0.38 | 0.0585 | 0.0016 | 570 | 10 | 549 | 59 | 576 | 12 | 3.83 | 570 | 20 |
| MP_128 | 0.85 | 0.025 | 0.1031 | 0.00215 | 0.48 | 0.0594 | 0.00158 | 633 | 13 | 582 | 57 | 625 | 14 | 8.76 | 633 | 26 |
| MP_129 | 0.753 | 0.022 | 0.0904 | 0.00185 | 0.40 | 0.061 | 0.00172 | 558 | 11 | 639 | 60 | 570 | 13 | -12.68 | 558 | 22 |
| MP_130 | 0.773 | 0.0225 | 0.093 | 0.00195 | 0.26 | 0.0592 | 0.00184 | 573 | 12 | 574 | 66 | 581 | 13 | -0.17 | 573 | 24 |
| MP_132 | 0.918 | 0.036 | 0.1047 | 0.0023 | 0.17 | 0.0624 | 0.0026 | 642 | 13 | 688 | 87 | 661 | 19 | -6.69 | 642 | 26 |
| MP_133 | 0.784 | 0.0235 | 0.0976 | 0.00205 | 0.41 | 0.0586 | 0.00168 | 600 | 12 | 552 | 62 | 588 | 13 | 8.70 | 600 | 24 |
| MP_134 | 0.859 | 0.0255 | 0.1032 | 0.00205 | 0.50 | 0.0622 | 0.00163 | 633 | 12 | 681 | 55 | 630 | 14 | -7.05 | 633 | 24 |
| MP_136 | 0.92 | 0.0295 | 0.1058 | 0.00215 | 0.27 | 0.0632 | 0.00208 | 648 | 13 | 715 | 69 | 662 | 16 | -9.37 | 648 | 26 |
| MP_137 | 0.882 | 0.025 | 0.1013 | 0.00205 | 0.33 | 0.0632 | 0.00183 | 622 | 12 | 715 | 60 | 642 | 13 | -13.01 | 622 | 24 |
| MP_139 | 0.892 | 0.0295 | 0.1032 | 0.0021 | 0.25 | 0.0635 | 0.00217 | 633 | 12 | 725 | 71 | 647 | 16 | -12.69 | 633 | 24 |
| MP_140 | 0.735 | 0.026 | 0.087 | 0.0018 | 0.28 | 0.0605 | 0.00216 | 538 | 11 | 622 | 76 | 559 | 15 | -13.50 | 538 | 22 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Error= 1 sigma (except best age error= 2 sigma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rho (ρ)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % discordance = (1-(206/238 age)/(207/206 age))*-100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| red colored data (highlighted below) = data below quality standards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spot | Pb²⁰⁷/U²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb²⁰⁶/U²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb²⁰⁷/Pb²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb²⁰⁶/U²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb²⁰⁷/Pb²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb²⁰⁷/U²³⁵ age | 1σ | %disc | | |
| MP_006 | 0.694 | 0.0165 | 0.0776 | 0.00185 | 0.36 | 0.0682 | 0.00184 | 482 | 11 | 875 | 57 | 535 | 10 | -44.91 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|----|------|---------|------|----|--------|--|--|
| MP_007 | 1.08226 | 0.02191 | 0.11392 | 0.00252 | 0.50 | 0.0689 | 0.00135 | 695 | 15 | 896 | 41 | 745 | 11 | -22.43 | | |
| MP_015 | 0.696 | 0.0175 | 0.0797 | 0.00195 | 0.36 | 0.0629 | 0.00177 | 494 | 12 | 705 | 61 | 536 | 10 | -29.93 | | |
| MP_016 | 0.68695 | 0.02323 | 0.07641 | 0.00227 | 0.41 | 0.06521 | 0.00212 | 475 | 14 | 781 | 70 | 531 | 14 | -39.18 | | |
| MP_018 | 2.32635 | 0.04567 | 0.15963 | 0.0034 | 0.51 | 0.1057 | 0.00199 | 955 | 19 | 1726 | 35 | 1220 | 14 | -44.67 | | |
| MP_019 | 0.655 | 0.0135 | 0.0776 | 0.0017 | 0.62 | 0.0647 | 0.00121 | 482 | 10 | 765 | 40 | 512 | 8 | -36.99 | | |
| MP_020 | 0.70623 | 0.014 | 0.07016 | 0.00156 | 0.50 | 0.07301 | 0.00148 | 437 | 9 | 1014 | 42 | 543 | 8 | -56.90 | | |
| MP_024 | 0.67587 | 0.01296 | 0.06268 | 0.00131 | 0.54 | 0.0782 | 0.00146 | 392 | 8 | 1152 | 38 | 524 | 8 | -65.97 | | |
| MP_025 | 0.563 | 0.013 | 0.0442 | 0.00115 | 0.72 | 0.0944 | 0.00177 | 279 | 7 | 1516 | 36 | 453 | 8 | -81.60 | | |
| MP_035 | 0.819 | 0.0185 | 0.0921 | 0.002 | 0.22 | 0.0641 | 0.00177 | 568 | 12 | 745 | 60 | 607 | 10 | -23.76 | | |
| MP_038 | 0.822 | 0.0175 | 0.1085 | 0.0023 | 0.27 | 0.0589 | 0.00151 | 664 | 13 | 563 | 51 | 609 | 10 | 17.94 | | |
| MP_039 | 0.786 | 0.0165 | 0.1019 | 0.00215 | 0.50 | 0.0594 | 0.00125 | 626 | 13 | 582 | 42 | 589 | 9 | 7.56 | | |
| MP_040 | 1.246 | 0.0295 | 0.1462 | 0.00325 | 0.36 | 0.0658 | 0.00171 | 880 | 18 | 800 | 50 | 822 | 13 | 10.00 | | |
| MP_041 | 0.76722 | 0.0184 | 0.07403 | 0.00165 | 0.45 | 0.07516 | 0.0017 | 460 | 10 | 1073 | 42 | 578 | 11 | -57.13 | | |
| MP_042 | 0.673 | 0.0215 | 0.0856 | 0.00225 | 0.06 | 0.0575 | 0.0023 | 529 | 13 | 511 | 81 | 523 | 13 | 3.52 | | |
| MP_045 | 0.648 | 0.0175 | 0.0654 | 0.00155 | 0.09 | 0.0732 | 0.00252 | 408 | 9 | 1019 | 64 | 507 | 11 | -59.96 | | |
| MP_046 | 0.533 | 0.0105 | 0.0478 | 0.00115 | 0.53 | 0.0795 | 0.00172 | 301 | 7 | 1185 | 39 | 434 | 7 | -74.60 | | |
| MP_055 | 0.46823 | 0.02021 | 0.02747 | 0.0015 | 0.99 | 0.12364 | 0.00166 | 175 | 9 | 2009 | 21 | 390 | 14 | -91.29 | | |
| MP_057 | 0.70886 | 0.01643 | 0.07476 | 0.00174 | 0.40 | 0.06876 | 0.00163 | 465 | 10 | 892 | 45 | 544 | 10 | -47.87 | | |
| MP_063 | 0.67 | 0.0225 | 0.0758 | 0.00165 | 0.16 | 0.0652 | 0.00241 | 471 | 10 | 781 | 75 | 521 | 14 | -39.69 | | |
| MP_065 | 0.539 | 0.029 | 0.0637 | 0.00195 | 0.22 | 0.063 | 0.00351 | 398 | 12 | 708 | 11 6 | 438 | 19 | -43.79 | | |
| MP_067 | 0.78 | 0.023 | 0.0844 | 0.0018 | 0.44 | 0.0661 | 0.00183 | 522 | 11 | 810 | 56 | 585 | 13 | -35.56 | | |
| MP_070 | 0.66 | 0.0175 | 0.0685 | 0.0015 | 0.63 | 0.0704 | 0.00149 | 427 | 9 | 940 | 42 | 515 | 11 | -54.57 | | |
| MP_073 | 0.51188 | 0.04641 | 0.06053 | 0.00153 | 0.49 | 0.06133 | 0.00456 | 379 | 9 | 651 | 15 9 | 420 | 31 | -41.78 | | |
| MP_075 | 2.07 | 0.085 | 0.1511 | 0.00425 | 0.93 | 0.0948 | 0.00175 | 907 | 24 | 1524 | 33 | 1139 | 28 | -40.49 | | |
| MP_079 | 4.24382 | 0.16717 | 0.26788 | 0.00494 | 0.59 | 0.1149 | 0.00334 | 1530 | 25 | 1878 | 51 | 1683 | 32 | -18.53 | | |
| MP_080 | 0.79396 | 0.08807 | 0.08044 | 0.00186 | 0.32 | 0.07159 | 0.00725 | 499 | 11 | 974 | 20 9 | 593 | 50 | -48.77 | | |
| MP_089 | 0.88 | 0.0285 | 0.1118 | 0.00215 | 0.24 | 0.0559 | 0.00187 | 683 | 12 | 448 | 72 | 641 | 15 | 52.46 | | |
| MP_094 | 0.57814 | 0.03231 | 0.0578 | 0.00119 | 0.58 | 0.07254 | 0.00314 | 362 | 7 | 1001 | 87 | 463 | 21 | -63.84 | | |
| MP_096 | 0.664 | 0.019 | 0.07 | 0.0015 | 0.53 | 0.0686 | 0.00172 | 436 | 9 | 887 | 51 | 517 | 12 | -50.85 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|----|------|---------|------|----|--------|--|--|
| MP_099 | 0.83485 | 0.05482 | 0.09912 | 0.00217 | 0.45 | 0.06109 | 0.00313 | 609 | 13 | 642 | 10 8 | 616 | 30 | -5.14 | | |
| MP_100 | 5.87 | 0.155 | 0.335 | 0.0065 | 0.63 | 0.1241 | 0.00256 | 1863 | 31 | 2016 | 36 | 1957 | 23 | -7.59 | | |
| MP_107 | 0.782 | 0.0215 | 0.0838 | 0.0015 | 0.34 | 0.0675 | 0.00184 | 519 | 9 | 853 | 56 | 587 | 12 | -39.16 | | |
| MP_108 | 0.761 | 0.0235 | 0.0857 | 0.00185 | 0.42 | 0.0629 | 0.00185 | 530 | 11 | 705 | 61 | 575 | 14 | -24.82 | | |
| MP_110 | 0.38086 | 0.05069 | 0.04599 | 0.00138 | 0.62 | 0.06007 | 0.00657 | 290 | 8 | 606 | 23 3 | 328 | 37 | -52.15 | | |
| MP_116 | 1.015 | 0.029 | 0.1107 | 0.00245 | 0.89 | 0.067 | 0.00091 | 677 | 14 | 838 | 28 | 711 | 15 | -19.21 | | |
| MP_118 | 1.20997 | 0.0505 | 0.10894 | 0.0024 | 0.67 | 0.08055 | 0.0023 | 667 | 14 | 1211 | 55 | 805 | 23 | -44.92 | | |
| MP_123 | 1.16 | 0.055 | 0.1126 | 0.0023 | 0.48 | 0.0739 | 0.00308 | 688 | 13 | 1039 | 83 | 782 | 26 | -33.78 | | |
| MP_127 | 0.772 | 0.031 | 0.0739 | 0.00205 | 0.64 | 0.0753 | 0.00232 | 460 | 12 | 1077 | 61 | 581 | 18 | -57.29 | | |
| MP_131 | 0.672 | 0.02 | 0.0754 | 0.0017 | 0.57 | 0.0661 | 0.00165 | 469 | 10 | 810 | 51 | 522 | 12 | -42.10 | | |
| MP_135 | 0.735 | 0.0225 | 0.0769 | 0.00165 | 0.42 | 0.0693 | 0.00202 | 478 | 10 | 908 | 59 | 559 | 13 | -47.36 | | |
| MP_138 | 0.67355 | 0.04911 | 0.07421 | 0.00153 | 0.60 | 0.06583 | 0.00403 | 461 | 9 | 801 | 12 6 | 523 | 30 | -42.45 | | |

217

Table B4. Sample MP check standards

| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ /Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1σ |
|---------|---|--------|---|---------|---------|--|---------|---|----|---|----|---|----|
| GJ1_1 | 0.815 | 0.0165 | 0.1019 | 0.0022 | 0.42198 | 0.0583 | 0.00131 | 626 | 13 | 541 | 51 | 605 | 9 |
| TEM-1 | 0.508 | 0.012 | 0.0649 | 0.0016 | 0.40287 | 0.0564 | 0.00149 | 405 | 10 | 468 | 60 | 417 | 8 |
| Ples_1 | 0.392 | 0.008 | 0.0541 | 0.00115 | 0.39661 | 0.0516 | 0.00118 | 340 | 7 | 268 | 54 | 336 | 6 |
| GJ1_2 | 0.859 | 0.018 | 0.1034 | 0.0022 | 0.3784 | 0.0599 | 0.00141 | 634 | 13 | 600 | 52 | 630 | 10 |
| TEM-2 | 0.512 | 0.018 | 0.0632 | 0.00165 | 0.19669 | 0.0587 | 0.00232 | 395 | 10 | 556 | 88 | 420 | 12 |
| Pleso-2 | 0.378 | 0.0085 | 0.0505 | 0.0011 | 0.77548 | 0.0528 | 0.00078 | 318 | 7 | 320 | 35 | 326 | 6 |
| GJ1_3 | 0.824 | 0.0175 | 0.0998 | 0.00215 | 0.32562 | 0.0614 | 0.00153 | 613 | 13 | 653 | 55 | 610 | 10 |
| Tem-3 | 0.484 | 0.012 | 0.0659 | 0.00145 | 0.23045 | 0.0548 | 0.0016 | 411 | 9 | 404 | 67 | 401 | 8 |
| Pleso-3 | 0.407 | 0.009 | 0.0545 | 0.0012 | 0.37135 | 0.0544 | 0.00135 | 342 | 7 | 388 | 57 | 347 | 6 |
| GJ1_1_2 | 0.849 | 0.019 | 0.1025 | 0.00225 | 0.51672 | 0.0605 | 0.00132 | 629 | 13 | 622 | 48 | 624 | 10 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| TEM-1_2 | 0.502 | 0.0165 | 0.0635 | 0.0015 | 0.26026 | 0.0588 | 0.00207 | 397 | 9 | 560 | 79 | 413 | 11 |
| Ples_1_2 | 0.392 | 0.009 | 0.0535 | 0.00115 | 0.34696 | 0.0546 | 0.00139 | 336 | 7 | 396 | 58 | 336 | 7 |
| GJ1_2_2 | 0.762 | 0.0165 | 0.0907 | 0.00195 | 0.41227 | 0.0595 | 0.00139 | 560 | 12 | 585 | 52 | 575 | 10 |
| TEM-2_2 | 0.459 | 0.0125 | 0.0605 | 0.0013 | 0.31196 | 0.0545 | 0.00158 | 379 | 8 | 392 | 67 | 384 | 9 |
| Pleso-2_2 | 0.4 | 0.009 | 0.0538 | 0.0012 | 0.51841 | 0.0521 | 0.00115 | 338 | 7 | 290 | 52 | 342 | 7 |
| GJ1_3_2 | 0.813 | 0.0165 | 0.1038 | 0.0022 | 0.33175 | 0.0592 | 0.00142 | 637 | 13 | 574 | 48 | 604 | 9 |
| Tem-3_2 | 0.523 | 0.0145 | 0.0688 | 0.00155 | 0.29916 | 0.0562 | 0.00169 | 429 | 9 | 460 | 61 | 427 | 10 |
| Pleso-3_2 | 0.399 | 0.0085 | 0.0566 | 0.0012 | 0.4085 | 0.0526 | 0.00122 | 355 | 7 | 312 | 48 | 341 | 6 |
| GJ1_1_3 | 0.779 | 0.017 | 0.096 | 0.002 | 0.42211 | 0.0589 | 0.00135 | 591 | 12 | 563 | 46 | 585 | 10 |
| TEM-1_3 | 0.46 | 0.0125 | 0.062 | 0.0013 | 0.27995 | 0.0542 | 0.00159 | 388 | 8 | 379 | 60 | 384 | 9 |
| Ples_1_3 | 0.374 | 0.0085 | 0.0522 | 0.0012 | 0.85681 | 0.0511 | 0.00063 | 328 | 7 | 245 | 26 | 323 | 6 |
| GJ1_2_3 | 0.763 | 0.016 | 0.0908 | 0.0019 | 0.48033 | 0.0596 | 0.00127 | 560 | 11 | 589 | 42 | 576 | 9 |
| Pleso-2_3 | 0.361 | 0.0085 | 0.0491 | 0.00115 | 0.88474 | 0.0523 | 0.00059 | 309 | 7 | 299 | 24 | 313 | 6 |
| GJ1_3_3 | 0.785 | 0.0165 | 0.0978 | 0.002 | 0.39663 | 0.0595 | 0.00136 | 602 | 12 | 585 | 45 | 588 | 9 |
| Tem-3_3 | 0.49 | 0.017 | 0.0656 | 0.00165 | 0.36634 | 0.0548 | 0.0019 | 410 | 10 | 404 | 71 | 405 | 12 |
| Pleso-3_3 | 0.382 | 0.009 | 0.0517 | 0.00125 | 0.9076 | 0.0545 | 0.00056 | 325 | 8 | 392 | 21 | 329 | 7 |
| GJ1_1 | 0.753 | 0.02 | 0.0935 | 0.00165 | 0.28958 | 0.0596 | 0.00163 | 576 | 10 | 589 | 57 | 570 | 12 |
| TEM-1 | 0.51703 | 0.02459 | 0.06627 | 0.00132 | 0.16572 | 0.05658 | 0.00246 | 414 | 8 | 475 | 93 | 423 | 16 |
| Ples_1 | 0.351 | 0.0095 | 0.0505 | 0.001 | 0.67803 | 0.0512 | 0.00102 | 318 | 6 | 250 | 44 | 305 | 7 |
| GJ1_2 | 0.809 | 0.022 | 0.0958 | 0.00175 | 0.42378 | 0.0595 | 0.00152 | 590 | 10 | 585 | 53 | 602 | 12 |
| TEM-2 | 0.46314 | 0.02032 | 0.06217 | 0.00112 | 0.1517 | 0.05403 | 0.00222 | 389 | 7 | 372 | 90 | 386 | 14 |
| Pleso-2 | 0.363 | 0.0105 | 0.0497 | 0.001 | 0.91033 | 0.0517 | 0.0007 | 313 | 6 | 272 | 30 | 314 | 8 |
| GJ1_3 | 0.79 | 0.021 | 0.0946 | 0.00175 | 0.34911 | 0.0595 | 0.00158 | 583 | 10 | 585 | 56 | 591 | 12 |
| Tem-3 | 0.455 | 0.0125 | 0.0603 | 0.0011 | 0.34136 | 0.0551 | 0.0015 | 377 | 7 | 416 | 59 | 381 | 9 |
| Pleso-3 | 0.36 | 0.0095 | 0.0489 | 0.0009 | 0.35797 | 0.0538 | 0.00141 | 308 | 6 | 363 | 57 | 312 | 7 |
| GJ1_1_2 | 0.824 | 0.0225 | 0.0984 | 0.0018 | 0.42684 | 0.0597 | 0.00153 | 605 | 11 | 593 | 53 | 610 | 13 |
| TEM-1_2 | 0.512 | 0.0155 | 0.0658 | 0.0013 | 0.31232 | 0.0555 | 0.0017 | 411 | 8 | 432 | 66 | 420 | 10 |
| Ples_1_2 | 0.375 | 0.0105 | 0.0521 | 0.00095 | 0.36951 | 0.0516 | 0.0014 | 327 | 6 | 268 | 60 | 323 | 8 |
| GJ1_2_2 | 0.828 | 0.022 | 0.1019 | 0.00185 | 0.26981 | 0.0596 | 0.00166 | 626 | 11 | 589 | 58 | 613 | 12 |
| TEM-2_2 | 0.496 | 0.0145 | 0.0651 | 0.00125 | 0.2242 | 0.0565 | 0.00176 | 407 | 8 | 472 | 67 | 409 | 10 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Pleso=Plesovitch (337 Ma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tem=Temora (417 Ma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GJ-1= 609 Ma | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table B5. Sample AND-1

| Location: 33° 9' 22''S, 57° 10' 29.37 W | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|---|---------|---------|--|----------|--|------|---|------|--|------|----------|----------|----|
| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1σ | %disc | Best Age | 2σ |
| AND1_1 .FIN2 | 1.417 | 0.0205 | 0.1444 | 0.0017 | 0.41226 | 0.07114 | 0.000335 | 869 | 9.5 | 959 | 10 | 896 | 8.5 | -9.38478 | 869 | 19 |
| AND1_2 .FIN2 | 1.38 | 0.02 | 0.1359 | 0.0016 | 0.63709 | 0.07365 | 0.000285 | 821 | 9 | 1031 | 7.5 | 881 | 8.5 | -20.3686 | 821 | 18 |
| AND1_3 .FIN2 | 0.647 | 0.0095 | 0.0779 | 0.0009 | 0.36906 | 0.05999 | 0.00029 | 483 | 5.5 | 601 | 10.5 | 507 | 6 | -19.6339 | 483 | 11 |
| AND1_4 .FIN2 | 1.059 | 0.0155 | 0.1162 | 0.00135 | 0.41534 | 0.06584 | 0.00032 | 708 | 8 | 804 | 10 | 733 | 7.5 | -11.9403 | 708 | 16 |
| AND1_5 .FIN2 | 2.721 | 0.0395 | 0.2198 | 0.00255 | 0.4412 | 0.0896 | 0.000385 | 1282 | 13.5 | 1417 | 8.5 | 1334 | 10.5 | -9.52717 | 1282 | 27 |
| AND1_6 .FIN2 | 2.45 | 0.0365 | 0.207 | 0.00245 | 0.28979 | 0.0851 | 0.0005 | 1212 | 13 | 1322 | 12 | 1256 | 10.5 | -8.32073 | 1212 | 26 |
| AND1_7 .FIN2 | 0.815 | 0.0125 | 0.0965 | 0.00115 | 0.20423 | 0.06105 | 0.00042 | 594 | 6.5 | 631 | 15 | 606 | 7 | -5.86371 | 594 | 13 |
| AND1_9 .FIN2 | 1.8 | 0.026 | 0.1699 | 0.002 | 0.48495 | 0.07652 | 0.00035 | 1012 | 11 | 1107 | 9 | 1044 | 9.5 | -8.58175 | 1012 | 22 |
| AND1_1 0.FIN2 | 4.37 | 0.065 | 0.292 | 0.00345 | 0.4785 | 0.1088 | 0.0005 | 1652 | 17 | 1779 | 8.5 | 1706 | 12 | -7.13884 | 1779 | 17 |
| AND1_1 1.FIN2 | 1.679 | 0.0255 | 0.1633 | 0.00195 | 0.24087 | 0.07442 | 0.00046 | 975 | 10.5 | 1062 | 12.5 | 1000 | 9.5 | -8.19209 | 975 | 21 |
| AND1_1 2.FIN2 | 0.722 | 0.011 | 0.087 | 0.001 | 0.27021 | 0.06013 | 0.00037 | 538 | 6 | 605 | 13.5 | 551 | 6.5 | -11.0744 | 538 | 12 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|----|
| AND1_1 3.FIN2 | 1.675 | 0.025 | 0.1638 | 0.0019 | 0.31643 | 0.07403 | 0.0004 | 978 | 10.5 | 1048 | 10.5 | 999 | 9.5 | -6.67939 | 978 | 21 |
| AND1_1 4.FIN2 | 1.788 | 0.027 | 0.1688 | 0.002 | 0.28244 | 0.07698 | 0.00048 | 1005 | 11 | 1121 | 12 | 1041 | 9.5 | -10.3479 | 1005 | 22 |
| AND1_1 5.FIN2 | 8.81 | 0.125 | 0.3754 | 0.00435 | 0.58821 | 0.1703 | 0.0006 | 2054 | 20 | 2560 | 6 | 2319 | 13 | -19.7656 | 2560 | 12 |
| AND1_1 6.FIN2 | 5.64 | 0.08 | 0.3339 | 0.00395 | 0.61963 | 0.1225 | 0.0005 | 1857 | 19 | 1994 | 7.5 | 1922 | 12.5 | -6.87061 | 1994 | 15 |
| AND1_1 7.FIN2 | 1.138 | 0.018 | 0.1205 | 0.00145 | 0.3289 | 0.0689 | 0.0005 | 734 | 8.5 | 891 | 15 | 772 | 8.5 | -17.6207 | 734 | 17 |
| AND1_1 8.FIN2 | 2.949 | 0.044 | 0.2342 | 0.00275 | 0.27275 | 0.0907 | 0.00055 | 1357 | 14.5 | 1438 | 11.5 | 1394 | 11.5 | -5.63282 | 1357 | 29 |
| AND1_1 9.FIN2 | 1.734 | 0.025 | 0.1628 | 0.0019 | 0.55029 | 0.07748 | 0.00031 | 972 | 10.5 | 1133 | 8 | 1021 | 9 | -14.2101 | 972 | 21 |
| AND1_2 0.FIN2 | 1.036 | 0.016 | 0.1036 | 0.00125 | 0.7838 | 0.07271 | 0.0003 | 635 | 7.5 | 1004 | 8.5 | 722 | 8 | -36.753 | 635 | 15 |
| AND1_2 1.FIN2 | 1.372 | 0.022 | 0.145 | 0.00175 | 0.25047 | 0.069 | 0.0006 | 874 | 9.5 | 886 | 17.5 | 876 | 9.5 | -1.3544 | 874 | 19 |
| AND1_2 3.FIN2 | 0.625 | 0.011 | 0.0799 | 0.00095 | 0.1847 | 0.0564 | 0.0006 | 496 | 6 | 450 | 23.5 | 491 | 7 | 10.22222 | 496 | 12 |
| AND1_2 4.FIN2 | 0.701 | 0.011 | 0.0852 | 0.001 | 0.24074 | 0.05993 | 0.000435 | 527 | 6 | 595 | 15.5 | 539 | 6.5 | -11.4286 | 527 | 12 |
| AND1_2 5.FIN2 | 0.67 | 0.0105 | 0.085 | 0.001 | 0.21325 | 0.05711 | 0.00043 | 526 | 6 | 495 | 16.5 | 520 | 6.5 | 6.262626 | 526 | 12 |
| AND1_2 6.FIN2 | 1.531 | 0.023 | 0.1557 | 0.00185 | 0.33954 | 0.0716 | 0.0004 | 933 | 10 | 975 | 11.5 | 943 | 9 | -4.30769 | 933 | 20 |
| AND1_2 7.FIN2 | 1.805 | 0.03 | 0.1645 | 0.002 | 0.29834 | 0.0794 | 0.0007 | 981 | 11 | 1180 | 17 | 1043 | 10.5 | -16.8644 | 981 | 22 |
| AND1_2 8.FIN2 | 0.833 | 0.0125 | 0.0991 | 0.00115 | 0.2491 | 0.06122 | 0.00032 | 609 | 6.5 | 645 | 11 | 615 | 6.5 | -5.5814 | 609 | 13 |
| AND1_2 9.FIN2 | 0.815 | 0.015 | 0.0937 | 0.0011 | 0.58153 | 0.0634 | 0.00065 | 577 | 6.5 | 690 | 19 | 600 | 7.5 | -16.3768 | 577 | 13 |
| AND1_3 0.FIN2 | 1.559 | 0.024 | 0.1543 | 0.0019 | 0.52871 | 0.07275 | 0.000425 | 925 | 10.5 | 1006 | 11.5 | 954 | 9.5 | -8.05169 | 925 | 21 |
| AND1_3 1.FIN2 | 6.7 | 0.095 | 0.3704 | 0.00435 | 0.57157 | 0.1316 | 0.0005 | 2031 | 20.5 | 2119 | 7 | 2073 | 13 | -4.1529 | 2119 | 14 |
| AND1_3 2.FIN2 | 0.648 | 0.0095 | 0.0805 | 0.00095 | 0.2594 | 0.05846 | 0.00035 | 499 | 5.5 | 545 | 13 | 507 | 6 | -8.44037 | 499 | 11 |
| AND1_3 3.FIN2 | 0.635 | 0.0095 | 0.0809 | 0.00095 | 0.32957 | 0.05698 | 0.00032 | 502 | 5.5 | 486 | 12 | 499 | 6 | 3.292181 | 502 | 11 |
| AND1_3 4.FIN2 | 1.356 | 0.02 | 0.1399 | 0.0016 | 0.36823 | 0.07032 | 0.000345 | 844 | 9 | 931 | 10 | 870 | 8.5 | -9.34479 | 844 | 18 |
| AND1_3 5.FIN2 | 0.632 | 0.01 | 0.0803 | 0.00095 | 0.26645 | 0.05757 | 0.00049 | 498 | 6 | 505 | 19 | 497 | 6.5 | -1.38614 | 498 | 12 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|-------|-----|
| Pleso_4. FIN2 | 0.398 | 0.006 | 0.0541 | 0.00065 | 0.35001 | 0.05317 | 0.000265 | 339.5 | 3.85 | 337 | 11.5 | 339.9 | 4.25 | 0.74184 | 339.5 | 7.7 |
| AND1_3 6.FIN2 | 0.618 | 0.0105 | 0.0794 | 0.00095 | 0.14309 | 0.057 | 0.00055 | 492 | 5.5 | 466 | 21 | 487 | 6.5 | 5.579399 | 492 | 11 |
| AND1_3 7.FIN2 | 1.773 | 0.0265 | 0.1729 | 0.00205 | 0.52917 | 0.07449 | 0.000375 | 1028 | 11.5 | 1052 | 10 | 1035 | 9.5 | -2.28137 | 1028 | 23 |
| AND1_3 8.FIN2 | 0.853 | 0.013 | 0.1031 | 0.0012 | 0.32719 | 0.06014 | 0.000385 | 632 | 7 | 610 | 13.5 | 626 | 7 | 3.606557 | 632 | 14 |
| AND1_3 9.FIN2 | 0.889 | 0.0135 | 0.1025 | 0.0012 | 0.35797 | 0.06278 | 0.000365 | 629 | 7 | 701 | 12.5 | 647 | 7 | -10.271 | 629 | 14 |
| AND1_4 1.FIN2 | 0.683 | 0.01 | 0.0814 | 0.001 | 0.28504 | 0.0605 | 0.000365 | 504 | 6 | 623 | 13 | 529 | 6 | -19.1011 | 504 | 12 |
| AND1_4 2.FIN2 | 5.81 | 0.085 | 0.3468 | 0.00405 | 0.57468 | 0.12144 | 0.000455 | 1920 | 19.5 | 1978 | 6.5 | 1947 | 12.5 | -2.93225 | 1978 | 13 |
| AND1_4 3.FIN2 | 1.761 | 0.0265 | 0.1736 | 0.00205 | 0.32433 | 0.07312 | 0.000425 | 1031 | 11 | 1019 | 12 | 1030 | 9.5 | 1.177625 | 1031 | 22 |
| AND1_4 4.FIN2 | 1.655 | 0.025 | 0.1653 | 0.00195 | 0.38776 | 0.07226 | 0.000445 | 986 | 11 | 987 | 13 | 991 | 9.5 | -0.10132 | 986 | 22 |
| AND1_4 5.FIN2 | 5.27 | 0.075 | 0.3325 | 0.0039 | 0.34295 | 0.1144 | 0.00055 | 1852 | 19 | 1872 | 8.5 | 1864 | 12.5 | -1.06838 | 1872 | 17 |
| AND1_4 6.FIN2 | 0.682 | 0.0105 | 0.0842 | 0.001 | 0.31403 | 0.05843 | 0.000375 | 521 | 6 | 544 | 14 | 528 | 6.5 | -4.22794 | 521 | 12 |
| AND1_4 7.FIN2 | 1.665 | 0.0295 | 0.17 | 0.0021 | 0.16162 | 0.0709 | 0.0008 | 1013 | 11.5 | 936 | 23 | 994 | 11 | 8.226496 | 1013 | 23 |
| AND1_4 9.FIN2 | 1.863 | 0.0285 | 0.1839 | 0.0022 | 0.17522 | 0.0731 | 0.00055 | 1089 | 12 | 1016 | 14.5 | 1068 | 10.5 | 7.185039 | 1089 | 24 |
| AND1_5 0.FIN2 | 1.77 | 0.026 | 0.1715 | 0.00205 | 0.47874 | 0.07452 | 0.00035 | 1020 | 11 | 1053 | 9.5 | 1034 | 9.5 | -3.1339 | 1020 | 22 |
| AND1_5 1.FIN2 | 1.732 | 0.0445 | 0.1697 | 0.0025 | 0.34343 | 0.0734 | 0.0012 | 1012 | 13.5 | 1023 | 34 | 1021 | 16.5 | -1.07527 | 1012 | 27 |
| AND1_5 2.FIN2 | 1.689 | 0.0435 | 0.1687 | 0.0025 | 0.29688 | 0.0719 | 0.0012 | 1006 | 13.5 | 987 | 33.5 | 1004 | 16 | 1.925025 | 1006 | 27 |
| AND1_5 3.FIN2 | 0.653 | 0.0175 | 0.084 | 0.00125 | 0.1332 | 0.0565 | 0.00105 | 520 | 7.5 | 444 | 40 | 509 | 10.5 | 17.11712 | 520 | 15 |
| AND1_5 4.FIN2 | 2.15 | 0.055 | 0.196 | 0.00285 | 0.57277 | 0.0789 | 0.0013 | 1154 | 15.5 | 1168 | 32 | 1166 | 17.5 | -1.19863 | 1154 | 31 |
| AND1_5 5.FIN2 | 1.894 | 0.048 | 0.1798 | 0.00265 | 0.45307 | 0.0755 | 0.00125 | 1066 | 14.5 | 1082 | 32.5 | 1079 | 17 | -1.47874 | 1066 | 29 |
| AND1_5 6.FIN2 | 0.435 | 0.0115 | 0.0571 | 0.00085 | 0.16603 | 0.055 | 0.00105 | 358 | 5 | 399 | 42 | 366 | 8.5 | -10.2757 | 358 | 10 |
| AND1_5 7.FIN2 | 1.95 | 0.05 | 0.1853 | 0.00275 | 0.37106 | 0.0762 | 0.0013 | 1096 | 15 | 1093 | 33.5 | 1097 | 17 | 0.274474 | 1096 | 30 |
| AND1_5 8.FIN2 | 0.667 | 0.017 | 0.085 | 0.00125 | 0.30047 | 0.0571 | 0.00095 | 526 | 7.5 | 497 | 38 | 519 | 10.5 | 5.83501 | 526 | 15 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|----|
| AND1_5 9.FIN2 | 1.218 | 0.031 | 0.1255 | 0.0019 | 0.71675 | 0.0712 | 0.0012 | 762 | 11 | 962 | 33.5 | 809 | 14.5 | -20.79 | 762 | 22 |
| AND1_6 0.FIN2 | 1.906 | 0.0485 | 0.1825 | 0.0027 | 0.43432 | 0.0762 | 0.00125 | 1080 | 14.5 | 1098 | 33 | 1083 | 17 | -1.63934 | 1080 | 29 |
| AND1_6 1.FIN2 | 2.62 | 0.065 | 0.2292 | 0.00335 | 0.386 | 0.0839 | 0.0014 | 1330 | 17.5 | 1286 | 32.5 | 1307 | 18.5 | 3.421462 | 1330 | 35 |
| AND1_6 2.FIN2 | 1.778 | 0.045 | 0.1746 | 0.0026 | 0.50169 | 0.075 | 0.00125 | 1038 | 14 | 1067 | 33.5 | 1037 | 16.5 | -2.7179 | 1038 | 28 |
| AND1_6 3.FIN2 | 1.609 | 0.041 | 0.1608 | 0.00235 | 0.36487 | 0.0735 | 0.0012 | 962 | 13 | 1027 | 33.5 | 974 | 15.5 | -6.32911 | 962 | 26 |
| AND1_6 4.FIN2 | 0.662 | 0.0175 | 0.0824 | 0.00125 | 0.50542 | 0.06 | 0.00105 | 510 | 7.5 | 601 | 38.5 | 516 | 10.5 | -15.1414 | 510 | 15 |
| AND1_6 5.FIN2 | 2.55 | 0.07 | 0.2014 | 0.0032 | 0.8463 | 0.0934 | 0.00155 | 1182 | 17 | 1495 | 31 | 1284 | 19.5 | -20.9365 | 1182 | 34 |
| AND1_6 6.FIN2 | 0.679 | 0.0175 | 0.0807 | 0.0012 | 0.58171 | 0.0615 | 0.001 | 500 | 7 | 652 | 35.5 | 526 | 10.5 | -23.3129 | 500 | 14 |
| AND1_6 7.FIN2 | 4.43 | 0.115 | 0.277 | 0.00425 | 0.72122 | 0.1176 | 0.00195 | 1575 | 21.5 | 1919 | 29.5 | 1719 | 22 | -17.926 | 1919 | 59 |
| AND1_6 8.FIN2 | 1.734 | 0.044 | 0.167 | 0.00245 | 0.43911 | 0.0755 | 0.00125 | 995 | 13.5 | 1078 | 33 | 1021 | 16.5 | -7.69944 | 995 | 27 |
| AND1_6 9.FIN2 | 1.68 | 0.043 | 0.1654 | 0.00245 | 0.36501 | 0.074 | 0.00125 | 986 | 13.5 | 1041 | 33 | 1001 | 16 | -5.28338 | 986 | 27 |
| AND1_7 1.FIN2 | 1.706 | 0.044 | 0.1688 | 0.0025 | 0.30215 | 0.0729 | 0.00125 | 1005 | 14 | 1004 | 34.5 | 1010 | 16.5 | 0.099602 | 1005 | 28 |
| AND1_7 2.FIN2 | 2.26 | 0.06 | 0.1987 | 0.00295 | 0.36259 | 0.0823 | 0.0014 | 1168 | 16 | 1254 | 33 | 1201 | 18 | -6.85805 | 1168 | 32 |
| AND1_7 3.FIN2 | 1.766 | 0.045 | 0.1729 | 0.00255 | 0.3051 | 0.0743 | 0.00125 | 1028 | 14 | 1053 | 33.5 | 1033 | 16.5 | -2.37417 | 1028 | 28 |
| AND1_7 4.FIN2 | 1.243 | 0.0325 | 0.1301 | 0.00195 | 0.49424 | 0.0694 | 0.0012 | 789 | 11.5 | 910 | 34.5 | 820 | 14.5 | -13.2967 | 789 | 23 |
| AND1_7 5.FIN2 | 1.325 | 0.0345 | 0.1391 | 0.00215 | 0.60978 | 0.0696 | 0.0012 | 839 | 12.5 | 923 | 35 | 855 | 15.5 | -9.10076 | 839 | 25 |
| AND1_7 6.FIN2 | 1.669 | 0.0425 | 0.1673 | 0.0025 | 0.49113 | 0.0725 | 0.0012 | 997 | 13.5 | 998 | 33.5 | 997 | 16 | -0.1002 | 997 | 27 |
| AND1_7 7.FIN2 | 0.68 | 0.0185 | 0.0856 | 0.0013 | 0.08508 | 0.0573 | 0.0011 | 529 | 7.5 | 489 | 41 | 527 | 11 | 8.179959 | 529 | 15 |
| AND1_7 8.FIN2 | 1.526 | 0.039 | 0.1498 | 0.0022 | 0.49843 | 0.0739 | 0.0012 | 900 | 12.5 | 1035 | 33.5 | 940 | 15.5 | -13.0435 | 900 | 25 |
| AND1_7 9.FIN2 | 0.707 | 0.0185 | 0.0871 | 0.0013 | 0.27663 | 0.0583 | 0.001 | 538 | 7.5 | 542 | 37.5 | 543 | 11 | -0.73801 | 538 | 15 |
| AND1_8 0.FIN2 | 1.98 | 0.05 | 0.1811 | 0.0027 | 0.30441 | 0.0796 | 0.0014 | 1073 | 14.5 | 1185 | 35 | 1106 | 17.5 | -9.45148 | 1073 | 29 |
| AND1_8 1.FIN2 | 1.81 | 0.0465 | 0.1754 | 0.0026 | 0.34867 | 0.0741 | 0.00125 | 1042 | 14 | 1037 | 33.5 | 1050 | 16.5 | 0.48216 | 1042 | 28 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|----|
| AND1_8 2.FIN2 | 0.725 | 0.0185 | 0.0884 | 0.0013 | 0.33594 | 0.0592 | 0.001 | 546 | 8 | 573 | 36.5 | 553 | 11 | -4.71204 | 546 | 16 |
| AND1_8 3.FIN2 | 1.267 | 0.0345 | 0.1366 | 0.0021 | 0.16217 | 0.0663 | 0.0013 | 825 | 12 | 826 | 41.5 | 830 | 15.5 | -0.12107 | 825 | 24 |
| AND1_8 5.FIN2 | 0.919 | 0.024 | 0.1011 | 0.0016 | 0.70362 | 0.0656 | 0.0011 | 621 | 9.5 | 789 | 36 | 662 | 12.5 | -21.2928 | 621 | 19 |
| AND1_8 6.FIN2 | 1.771 | 0.0455 | 0.1724 | 0.00255 | 0.24964 | 0.0738 | 0.00125 | 1025 | 14 | 1033 | 34 | 1034 | 17 | -0.77444 | 1025 | 28 |
| AND1_8 7.FIN2 | 0.685 | 0.018 | 0.086 | 0.0013 | 0.24656 | 0.0573 | 0.001 | 532 | 7.5 | 503 | 37.5 | 529 | 10.5 | 5.765408 | 532 | 15 |
| AND1_8 8.FIN2 | 0.932 | 0.024 | 0.1082 | 0.0016 | 0.31925 | 0.0617 | 0.00105 | 662 | 9 | 669 | 36 | 668 | 12.5 | -1.04634 | 662 | 18 |
| AND1_8 9.FIN2 | 0.866 | 0.0225 | 0.1026 | 0.00155 | 0.26977 | 0.0609 | 0.00105 | 630 | 9 | 632 | 36.5 | 633 | 12.5 | -0.31646 | 630 | 18 |
| AND1_9 0.FIN2 | 0.932 | 0.025 | 0.1027 | 0.00155 | 0.20509 | 0.0658 | 0.0012 | 630 | 9 | 794 | 38 | 668 | 13 | -20.6549 | 630 | 18 |
| AND1_9 1.FIN2 | 1.085 | 0.028 | 0.1217 | 0.0018 | 0.29321 | 0.0638 | 0.0011 | 740 | 10.5 | 736 | 36.5 | 745 | 13.5 | 0.543478 | 740 | 21 |
| AND1_9 2.FIN2 | 1.666 | 0.0425 | 0.1647 | 0.00245 | 0.36798 | 0.0731 | 0.0012 | 983 | 13.5 | 1012 | 34 | 997 | 16.5 | -2.86561 | 983 | 27 |
| AND1_9 3.FIN2 | 0.693 | 0.0175 | 0.0853 | 0.00125 | 0.38556 | 0.0586 | 0.001 | 528 | 7.5 | 549 | 36 | 535 | 10.5 | -3.82514 | 528 | 15 |
| AND1_9 4.FIN2 | 1.176 | 0.031 | 0.125 | 0.00185 | 0.24827 | 0.0673 | 0.0012 | 760 | 11 | 843 | 37.5 | 788 | 14.5 | -9.84579 | 760 | 22 |
| AND1_9 5.FIN2 | 7.68 | 0.195 | 0.4 | 0.006 | 0.56479 | 0.1378 | 0.00225 | 2170 | 27 | 2198 | 28 | 2195 | 23 | -1.27389 | 2198 | 56 |
| AND1_9 6.FIN2 | 5.3 | 0.135 | 0.3272 | 0.0048 | 0.53687 | 0.1159 | 0.0019 | 1825 | 23.5 | 1894 | 29 | 1869 | 21.5 | -3.64308 | 1894 | 58 |
| AND1_9 7.FIN2 | 1.336 | 0.0345 | 0.1402 | 0.0021 | 0.38668 | 0.0683 | 0.00115 | 846 | 12 | 869 | 36 | 860 | 15 | -2.64672 | 846 | 24 |
| AND1_9 8.FIN2 | 1.763 | 0.046 | 0.1677 | 0.0025 | 0.36593 | 0.0756 | 0.0013 | 1000 | 14 | 1080 | 34.5 | 1034 | 17 | -7.40741 | 1000 | 28 |
| AND1_9 9.FIN2 | 0.657 | 0.017 | 0.0815 | 0.0012 | 0.28073 | 0.0576 | 0.001 | 505 | 7 | 515 | 36.5 | 513 | 10.5 | -1.94175 | 505 | 14 |
| AND1_1 00.FIN2 | 0.813 | 0.021 | 0.0976 | 0.00145 | 0.33058 | 0.0596 | 0.001 | 600 | 8.5 | 578 | 36.5 | 603 | 11.5 | 3.806228 | 600 | 17 |
| AND1_1 02.FIN2 | 5.31 | 0.135 | 0.336 | 0.005 | 0.60484 | 0.1142 | 0.00185 | 1870 | 24 | 1866 | 29 | 1869 | 21.5 | 0.214362 | 1866 | 58 |
| AND1_1 03.FIN2 | 1.227 | 0.031 | 0.1312 | 0.00195 | 0.57683 | 0.0685 | 0.00115 | 794 | 11 | 887 | 34 | 813 | 14 | -10.4848 | 794 | 22 |
| AND1_1 04.FIN2 | 1.275 | 0.035 | 0.1326 | 0.0022 | 0.90939 | 0.0698 | 0.0012 | 804 | 12.5 | 918 | 35 | 832 | 16 | -12.4183 | 804 | 25 |
| AND1_1 05.FIN2 | 2.56 | 0.065 | 0.2185 | 0.00325 | 0.44745 | 0.0858 | 0.00145 | 1274 | 17 | 1334 | 32.5 | 1290 | 19 | -4.49775 | 1274 | 34 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|----|
| AND1_1 06.FIN2 | 1.745 | 0.0445 | 0.1718 | 0.00255 | 0.42933 | 0.0744 | 0.00125 | 1022 | 14 | 1050 | 33.5 | 1025 | 16.5 | -2.66667 | 1022 | 28 |
| AND1_1 07.FIN2 | 4.73 | 0.12 | 0.3172 | 0.00465 | 0.62392 | 0.1093 | 0.0018 | 1776 | 22.5 | 1789 | 29 | 1772 | 21.5 | -0.72666 | 1789 | 58 |
| AND1_1 08.FIN2 | 1.385 | 0.036 | 0.1451 | 0.00215 | 0.40124 | 0.07 | 0.0012 | 874 | 12.5 | 925 | 34.5 | 883 | 15 | -5.51351 | 874 | 25 |
| AND1_1 09.FIN2 | 1.703 | 0.0435 | 0.1686 | 0.0025 | 0.5019 | 0.0744 | 0.0012 | 1004 | 13.5 | 1052 | 33 | 1010 | 16 | -4.56274 | 1004 | 27 |
| AND1_1 10.FIN2 | 6.74 | 0.17 | 0.377 | 0.0055 | 0.56389 | 0.1304 | 0.00215 | 2063 | 26 | 2103 | 28.5 | 2078 | 22.5 | -1.90204 | 2103 | 57 |
| AND1_1 11.FIN2 | 2.52 | 0.065 | 0.2165 | 0.0032 | 0.43278 | 0.0843 | 0.0014 | 1263 | 17 | 1299 | 32.5 | 1279 | 18.5 | -2.77136 | 1263 | 34 |
| AND1_1 12.FIN2 | 0.9 | 0.0235 | 0.1047 | 0.0016 | 0.5835 | 0.0623 | 0.0011 | 642 | 9.5 | 679 | 37 | 652 | 12.5 | -5.44919 | 642 | 19 |
| AND1_1 13.FIN2 | 13.65 | 0.345 | 0.519 | 0.0075 | 0.62963 | 0.1896 | 0.0031 | 2696 | 32.5 | 2737 | 27 | 2727 | 23.5 | -1.49799 | 2737 | 54 |
| AND1_1 14.FIN2 | 1.742 | 0.0455 | 0.1709 | 0.00255 | 0.22092 | 0.0738 | 0.0013 | 1017 | 14 | 1032 | 36 | 1023 | 17 | -1.45349 | 1017 | 28 |
| AND1_1 15.FIN2 | 3.74 | 0.095 | 0.2481 | 0.0038 | 0.79085 | 0.1087 | 0.0018 | 1429 | 19.5 | 1779 | 30.5 | 1579 | 21 | -19.674 | 1429 | 39 |
| AND1_1 16.FIN2 | 1.925 | 0.049 | 0.1824 | 0.0027 | 0.43849 | 0.0762 | 0.00125 | 1080 | 14.5 | 1096 | 33 | 1090 | 17 | -1.45985 | 1080 | 29 |
| AND1_1 18.FIN2 | 1.96 | 0.05 | 0.1862 | 0.0028 | 0.27094 | 0.0759 | 0.0013 | 1100 | 15 | 1087 | 34.5 | 1101 | 17.5 | 1.195952 | 1100 | 30 |
| AND1_1 19.FIN2 | 0.781 | 0.021 | 0.0895 | 0.00135 | 0.31403 | 0.0632 | 0.00115 | 552 | 8 | 698 | 37.5 | 586 | 12 | -20.9169 | 552 | 16 |
| AND1_1 20.FIN2 | 1.907 | 0.049 | 0.1818 | 0.00275 | 0.53888 | 0.0763 | 0.0013 | 1077 | 15 | 1103 | 34 | 1084 | 17.5 | -2.35721 | 1077 | 30 |
| AND1_1 21.FIN2 | 0.697 | 0.018 | 0.089 | 0.0013 | 0.24575 | 0.0571 | 0.001 | 549 | 8 | 486 | 38.5 | 537 | 11 | 12.96296 | 549 | 16 |
| AND1_1 22.FIN2 | 1.762 | 0.045 | 0.1751 | 0.0026 | 0.34447 | 0.0742 | 0.00125 | 1040 | 14.5 | 1045 | 34 | 1031 | 16.5 | -0.47847 | 1040 | 29 |
| AND1_1 23.FIN2 | 1.672 | 0.0425 | 0.166 | 0.00245 | 0.47317 | 0.074 | 0.0012 | 990 | 13.5 | 1042 | 32.5 | 998 | 16.5 | -4.9904 | 990 | 27 |
| AND1_1 24.FIN2 | 6.25 | 0.16 | 0.365 | 0.0055 | 0.58748 | 0.1259 | 0.00205 | 2005 | 25.5 | 2042 | 28 | 2012 | 22 | -1.81195 | 2042 | 56 |
| AND1_1 25.FIN2 | 0.809 | 0.0215 | 0.0953 | 0.00145 | 0.30301 | 0.0626 | 0.00115 | 587 | 8.5 | 661 | 37.5 | 600 | 12 | -11.1952 | 587 | 17 |
| AND1_1 26.FIN2 | 0.87 | 0.022 | 0.1017 | 0.0015 | 0.3957 | 0.0621 | 0.001 | 624 | 8.5 | 677 | 35.5 | 636 | 12 | -7.82866 | 624 | 17 |
| AND1_1 27.FIN2 | 2.04 | 0.05 | 0.1896 | 0.0028 | 0.28932 | 0.0782 | 0.0013 | 1119 | 15 | 1152 | 33 | 1130 | 17.5 | -2.86458 | 1119 | 30 |
| AND1_1 28.FIN2 | 1.634 | 0.0425 | 0.1629 | 0.00245 | 0.53898 | 0.0729 | 0.0012 | 972 | 13.5 | 1010 | 33 | 983 | 16 | -3.76238 | 972 | 27 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|----------|
| Error= 1 sigma (except best age error= 2 sigma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rho (ρ)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % discordance = (1-(206/238 age)/(207/206 age))*-100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| red colored data (highlighted below) = data below quality standards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AND1_8 .FIN2 | 1.998 | 0.0295 | 0.1454 | 0.00175 | 0.7913 | 0.09967 | 0.000375 | 875 | 10 | 1618 | 7 | 1115 | 10 | -45.9209 |
| AND1_2 2.FIN2 | 0.664 | 0.01 | 0.0779 | 0.0011 | 0.65142 | 0.06319 | 0.000395 | 484 | 6.5 | 714 | 13 | 517 | 6 | -32.2129 |
| AND1_4 0.FIN2 | 1.092 | 0.019 | 0.0961 | 0.00165 | 0.92571 | 0.08369 | 0.000425 | 590 | 10 | 1282 | 10 | 745 | 9 | -53.9782 |
| AND1_4 8.FIN2 | 0.861 | 0.0165 | 0.0934 | 0.00115 | 0.40784 | 0.0672 | 0.00085 | 576 | 7 | 790 | 25.5 | 625 | 8.5 | -27.0886 |
| AND1_7 0.FIN2 | 0.676 | 0.0175 | 0.0742 | 0.0011 | 0.34175 | 0.066 | 0.0011 | 462 | 6.5 | 803 | 35.5 | 524 | 10.5 | -42.4658 |
| AND1_8 4.FIN2 | 0.81 | 0.0205 | 0.085 | 0.0013 | 0.59527 | 0.0685 | 0.00115 | 526 | 7.5 | 880 | 34 | 602 | 11.5 | -40.2273 |
| AND1_1 01.FIN2 | 1.63 | 0.05 | 0.1459 | 0.00385 | 0.9768 | 0.0819 | 0.00135 | 870 | 22 | 1241 | 33 | 957 | 21.5 | -29.8952 |
| AND1_1 17.FIN2 | 0.711 | 0.018 | 0.0783 | 0.00115 | 0.30699 | 0.0653 | 0.0011 | 486 | 7 | 780 | 35 | 545 | 10.5 | -37.6923 |
| AND1_1 38.FIN2 | 1.159 | 0.0305 | 0.1098 | 0.0017 | 0.72819 | 0.0771 | 0.0013 | 672 | 10 | 1123 | 33.5 | 780 | 14.5 | -40.1603 |
| AND1_1 39.FIN2 | 0.661 | 0.017 | 0.0715 | 0.0012 | 0.75405 | 0.0671 | 0.00115 | 445 | 7.5 | 837 | 35 | 515 | 10.5 | -46.8339 |
| AND1_1 43.FIN2 | 6.68 | 0.31 | 0.2716 | 0.0047 | 0.80145 | 0.163 | 0.0055 | 1545 | 24 | 2250 | 65 | 1914 | 41.5 | -31.3333 |

Table B6. Sample AND-1 check standards

| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1σ |
|---------------|---|--------|---|---------|---------|--|----------|--|------|---|------|--|------|
| Pleso-1.FIN2 | 0.387 | 0.0055 | 0.0519 | 0.0006 | 0.27351 | 0.05362 | 0.000285 | 326 | 3.7 | 348 | 12 | 331.7 | 4.15 |
| Temora-1.FIN2 | 0.47 | 0.0085 | 0.0634 | 0.00075 | 0.12075 | 0.0536 | 0.0007 | 396 | 4.65 | 342 | 27 | 391 | 6 |
| GJ1-1.FIN2 | 0.806 | 0.012 | 0.0962 | 0.0011 | 0.35069 | 0.06044 | 0.000315 | 592 | 6.5 | 623 | 11 | 601 | 6.5 |
| Pleso_3.FIN2 | 0.385 | 0.0055 | 0.0525 | 0.0006 | 0.30775 | 0.05333 | 0.00027 | 329.8 | 3.75 | 340 | 11.5 | 330.4 | 4.15 |
| Pleso_4.FIN2 | 0.398 | 0.006 | 0.0541 | 0.00065 | 0.35001 | 0.05317 | 0.000265 | 339.5 | 3.85 | 337 | 11.5 | 339.9 | 4.25 |
| temora-3.FIN2 | 0.488 | 0.008 | 0.0667 | 0.0008 | 0.18385 | 0.05296 | 0.00048 | 416.4 | 4.85 | 322 | 20 | 403 | 5.5 |
| Pleso_5.FIN2 | 0.406 | 0.006 | 0.0555 | 0.00065 | 0.232 | 0.05263 | 0.00027 | 348.2 | 3.95 | 307 | 11.5 | 345.5 | 4.3 |
| GJ1_4.FIN2 | 0.853 | 0.0125 | 0.1031 | 0.0012 | 0.29272 | 0.05912 | 0.000305 | 633 | 7 | 572 | 11.5 | 626 | 7 |
| Temora-5.FIN2 | 0.5 | 0.0085 | 0.0681 | 0.0008 | 0.12528 | 0.0527 | 0.00055 | 424.8 | 4.9 | 290 | 22.5 | 411 | 6 |
| Pleso-1.FIN2 | 0.384 | 0.01 | 0.0525 | 0.00075 | 0.38264 | 0.053 | 0.0009 | 329.8 | 4.75 | 327 | 36.5 | 330 | 7 |
| Temora-1.FIN2 | 0.549 | 0.015 | 0.0675 | 0.001 | 0.13177 | 0.0583 | 0.0011 | 421 | 6 | 544 | 42 | 444 | 10 |
| GJ1-1.FIN2 | 0.828 | 0.021 | 0.0988 | 0.00145 | 0.43683 | 0.0605 | 0.001 | 608 | 8.5 | 619 | 36 | 613 | 12 |
| Pleso_3.FIN2 | 0.366 | 0.0095 | 0.0509 | 0.00075 | 0.36201 | 0.053 | 0.0009 | 319.8 | 4.55 | 327 | 38.5 | 317 | 7 |
| Pleso_4.FIN2 | 0.398 | 0.01 | 0.054 | 0.0008 | 0.35064 | 0.0528 | 0.00085 | 339 | 4.85 | 320 | 37 | 341 | 7.5 |
| Pleso_5.FIN2 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 0.0539 | 0.0008 | 0.38308 | 0.0529 | 0.00085 | 338.4 | 4.85 | 323 | 37 | 341 | 7.5 |
| GJ1_4.FIN2 | 0.849 | 0.0215 | 0.1008 | 0.0015 | 0.38248 | 0.0603 | 0.001 | 619 | 8.5 | 615 | 35 | 624 | 12 |
| Temora-5.FIN2 | 0.503 | 0.0135 | 0.0665 | 0.001 | 0.20127 | 0.0541 | 0.001 | 415 | 6 | 357 | 39.5 | 413 | 9 |
| Pleso_6.FIN2 | 0.396 | 0.01 | 0.0545 | 0.0008 | 0.35834 | 0.0531 | 0.0009 | 342.3 | 4.9 | 327 | 37.5 | 339 | 7.5 |
| gj1-7.FIN2 | 0.875 | 0.0225 | 0.1059 | 0.00155 | 0.31121 | 0.0607 | 0.001 | 649 | 9 | 627 | 35.5 | 639 | 12 |
| temora-7.FIN2 | 0.522 | 0.014 | 0.0679 | 0.00105 | 0.14504 | 0.0559 | 0.00105 | 424 | 6 | 436 | 40.5 | 426 | 9.5 |
| Pleso_8.FIN2 | 0.43 | 0.011 | 0.0572 | 0.00085 | 0.23217 | 0.0538 | 0.0009 | 359 | 5 | 358 | 37.5 | 363 | 8 |
| temora_8.FIN2 | 0.534 | 0.0145 | 0.0711 | 0.00105 | 0.20979 | 0.0535 | 0.001 | 443 | 6.5 | 342 | 40.5 | 435 | 9.5 |
| GJ1_8.FIN2 | 0.929 | 0.024 | 0.109 | 0.0016 | 0.33365 | 0.0606 | 0.001 | 667 | 9.5 | 620 | 36.5 | 666 | 12.5 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Error= 1 sigma | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rho (ρ)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pleso=Plesovitch (337 Ma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tem=Temora (417 Ma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GJ-1= 609 Ma | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table B7. Sample LMF-2

| Location: 32°39.323 S, 54°34.964 W | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|------------|---|------------|---------|--|------------|--|------------|---|------------|--|------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1 σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1 σ | %disc | Best Age | 2 σ |
| lmf2_2.F IN2 | 0.67 | 0.013 | 0.0819 | 0.0014 | 0.25836 | 0.0588 | 0.0005 | 508 | 8.5 | 557 | 19 | 521 | 8 | -8.79713 | 508 | 17 |
| lmf2_3.F IN2 | 0.669 | 0.013 | 0.0827 | 0.0014 | 0.30582 | 0.0583 | 0.0005 | 512 | 8.5 | 546 | 19 | 520 | 8 | -6.22711 | 512 | 17 |
| lmf2_5.F IN2 | 0.862 | 0.0165 | 0.1009 | 0.0017 | 0.23045 | 0.0615 | 0.00055 | 620 | 10 | 658 | 19 | 631 | 9 | -5.77508 | 620 | 20 |
| lmf2_7.F IN2 | 1.171 | 0.0225 | 0.1254 | 0.00215 | 0.34087 | 0.0672 | 0.0006 | 762 | 12.5 | 846 | 19 | 787 | 10.5 | -9.92908 | 762 | 25 |
| lmf2_8.F IN2 | 0.73 | 0.014 | 0.0887 | 0.0015 | 0.25596 | 0.0593 | 0.00055 | 548 | 9 | 575 | 19.5 | 557 | 8 | -4.69565 | 548 | 18 |
| lmf2_9.F IN2 | 5.17 | 0.1 | 0.322 | 0.0055 | 0.70481 | 0.1155 | 0.0009 | 1797 | 27.5 | 1887 | 14.5 | 1846 | 16.5 | -4.76948 | 1887 | 29 |
| lmf2_10. FIN2 | 0.914 | 0.0185 | 0.1057 | 0.00185 | 0.19507 | 0.062 | 0.0007 | 648 | 10.5 | 660 | 25 | 658 | 10 | -1.81818 | 648 | 21 |
| lmf2_15. FIN2 | 1.126 | 0.0215 | 0.1237 | 0.0021 | 0.3772 | 0.0657 | 0.00055 | 752 | 12 | 801 | 17 | 766 | 10 | -6.11735 | 752 | 24 |
| lmf2_16. FIN2 | 0.755 | 0.0145 | 0.0879 | 0.0015 | 0.34362 | 0.061 | 0.00055 | 544 | 9 | 648 | 19.5 | 571 | 8 | -16.0494 | 544 | 18 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|----|
| lmf2_21. FIN2 | 1.105 | 0.0215 | 0.1212 | 0.0021 | 0.29145 | 0.0655 | 0.0006 | 737 | 12 | 788 | 19.5 | 756 | 10 | -6.47208 | 737 | 24 |
| lmf2_22. FIN2 | 0.893 | 0.0185 | 0.1028 | 0.0018 | 0.10887 | 0.0625 | 0.00075 | 631 | 10.5 | 687 | 26.5 | 647 | 10 | -8.15138 | 631 | 21 |
| lmf2_23. FIN2 | 0.685 | 0.014 | 0.0845 | 0.0015 | 0.16172 | 0.0581 | 0.00075 | 523 | 9 | 551 | 28.5 | 531 | 8.5 | -5.08167 | 523 | 18 |
| lmf2_24. FIN2 | 0.737 | 0.014 | 0.0884 | 0.0015 | 0.27584 | 0.0602 | 0.0005 | 546 | 9 | 610 | 18 | 560 | 8 | -10.4918 | 546 | 18 |
| lmf2_25. FIN2 | 0.869 | 0.0175 | 0.1038 | 0.0018 | 0.25604 | 0.0612 | 0.00065 | 636 | 10.5 | 645 | 22 | 636 | 9.5 | -1.39535 | 636 | 21 |
| lmf2_26. FIN2 | 0.893 | 0.0175 | 0.1047 | 0.0018 | 0.21285 | 0.0621 | 0.0006 | 642 | 10.5 | 667 | 20.5 | 647 | 9 | -3.74813 | 642 | 21 |
| lmf2_27. FIN2 | 0.744 | 0.014 | 0.0866 | 0.0015 | 0.33324 | 0.0623 | 0.0005 | 536 | 9 | 684 | 17.5 | 565 | 8 | -21.6374 | 536 | 18 |
| lmf2_28. FIN2 | 0.874 | 0.0175 | 0.1028 | 0.00175 | 0.098558 | 0.0614 | 0.00065 | 631 | 10.5 | 643 | 22 | 636 | 9.5 | -1.86625 | 631 | 21 |
| lmf2_29. FIN2 | 1.202 | 0.0235 | 0.1327 | 0.00225 | 0.18313 | 0.0662 | 0.00065 | 803 | 13 | 809 | 20 | 802 | 10.5 | -0.74166 | 803 | 26 |
| lmf2_31. FIN2 | 0.748 | 0.0155 | 0.0877 | 0.00155 | 0.27901 | 0.0625 | 0.00075 | 542 | 9 | 680 | 24.5 | 566 | 9 | -20.2941 | 542 | 18 |
| lmf2_33. FIN2 | 5.25 | 0.1 | 0.312 | 0.0055 | 0.45578 | 0.1228 | 0.00095 | 1749 | 26 | 1996 | 13.5 | 1862 | 15.5 | -12.3747 | 1996 | 27 |
| lmf2_35. FIN2 | 4.35 | 0.085 | 0.2706 | 0.0047 | 0.5804 | 0.1172 | 0.00095 | 1543 | 23.5 | 1913 | 14.5 | 1702 | 15.5 | -19.3413 | 1913 | 29 |
| lmf2_36. FIN2 | 0.758 | 0.015 | 0.0937 | 0.0016 | 0.4089 | 0.0596 | 0.00055 | 577 | 9.5 | 583 | 21 | 574 | 8.5 | -1.02916 | 577 | 19 |
| lmf2_37. FIN2 | 0.756 | 0.0145 | 0.0908 | 0.00155 | 0.38737 | 0.0611 | 0.00055 | 560 | 9.5 | 634 | 20 | 572 | 8.5 | -11.6719 | 560 | 19 |
| lmf2_41. FIN2 | 0.725 | 0.0145 | 0.0907 | 0.00155 | 0.16135 | 0.0588 | 0.0006 | 560 | 9 | 554 | 22 | 553 | 8.5 | 1.083032 | 560 | 18 |
| lmf2_44. FIN2 | 0.677 | 0.013 | 0.0851 | 0.00145 | 0.37215 | 0.0586 | 0.0005 | 526 | 8.5 | 554 | 18.5 | 525 | 8 | -5.05415 | 526 | 17 |
| lmf2_45. FIN2 | 0.693 | 0.0135 | 0.0868 | 0.0015 | 0.26366 | 0.0585 | 0.0005 | 536 | 9 | 547 | 20 | 535 | 8 | -2.01097 | 536 | 18 |
| lmf2_47. FIN2 | 0.682 | 0.0135 | 0.0854 | 0.0015 | 0.32908 | 0.0586 | 0.0006 | 528 | 9 | 543 | 22.5 | 527 | 8 | -2.76243 | 528 | 18 |
| lmf2_48. FIN2 | 5.26 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.0055 | 0.37408 | 0.1163 | 0.0009 | 1840 | 27 | 1899 | 14 | 1862 | 16 | -3.1069 | 1899 | 28 |
| lmf2_49. FIN2 | 4.98 | 0.095 | 0.316 | 0.0055 | 0.51904 | 0.1155 | 0.0009 | 1771 | 26.5 | 1887 | 14 | 1816 | 16 | -6.14732 | 1887 | 28 |
| lmf2_51. FIN2 | 0.75 | 0.0145 | 0.0919 | 0.00155 | 0.25345 | 0.0597 | 0.00055 | 567 | 9.5 | 590 | 19.5 | 568 | 8.5 | -3.89831 | 567 | 19 |
| lmf2_52. FIN2 | 0.746 | 0.0145 | 0.0917 | 0.0016 | 0.32767 | 0.0595 | 0.0006 | 566 | 9.5 | 593 | 20.5 | 567 | 8.5 | -4.55312 | 566 | 19 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|----|
| lmf2_53. FIN2 | 0.683 | 0.014 | 0.0863 | 0.0015 | 0.3152 | 0.0579 | 0.0006 | 534 | 9 | 528 | 24 | 529 | 8 | 1.136364 | 534 | 18 |
| lmf2_55. FIN2 | 4.2 | 0.08 | 0.2861 | 0.00485 | 0.50321 | 0.1073 | 0.0008 | 1621 | 24.5 | 1754 | 14 | 1674 | 15.5 | -7.58267 | 1754 | 28 |
| lmf2_57. FIN2 | 0.742 | 0.0145 | 0.0903 | 0.00155 | 0.1165 | 0.0594 | 0.00055 | 557 | 9 | 578 | 19.5 | 562 | 8.5 | -3.63322 | 557 | 18 |
| lmf2_58. FIN2 | 1.262 | 0.0245 | 0.1364 | 0.00235 | 0.3 | 0.0674 | 0.0006 | 824 | 13.5 | 853 | 19 | 829 | 11 | -3.39977 | 824 | 27 |
| lmf2_59. FIN2 | 0.761 | 0.0145 | 0.0915 | 0.00155 | 0.19001 | 0.0604 | 0.00055 | 564 | 9 | 605 | 20.5 | 575 | 8.5 | -6.77686 | 564 | 18 |
| lmf2_60. FIN2 | 0.734 | 0.0145 | 0.092 | 0.0016 | 0.22787 | 0.0583 | 0.0006 | 568 | 9.5 | 522 | 23 | 559 | 8.5 | 8.812261 | 568 | 19 |
| lmf2_61. FIN2 | 1.196 | 0.0225 | 0.1297 | 0.0022 | 0.37755 | 0.0669 | 0.0005 | 786 | 12.5 | 834 | 15.5 | 799 | 10.5 | -5.7554 | 786 | 25 |
| lmf2_62. FIN2 | 0.69 | 0.0155 | 0.087 | 0.00155 | 0.19061 | 0.0579 | 0.00085 | 538 | 9 | 505 | 30.5 | 531 | 9 | 6.534653 | 538 | 18 |
| lmf2_64. FIN2 | 0.756 | 0.0145 | 0.0921 | 0.00155 | 0.35637 | 0.0599 | 0.0005 | 568 | 9.5 | 600 | 19.5 | 572 | 8.5 | -5.33333 | 568 | 19 |
| lmf2_66. FIN2 | 0.907 | 0.019 | 0.1072 | 0.00185 | 0.25344 | 0.0614 | 0.0007 | 657 | 11 | 642 | 24.5 | 656 | 10 | 2.336449 | 657 | 22 |
| lmf2_67. FIN2 | 0.881 | 0.0175 | 0.105 | 0.0018 | 0.13596 | 0.0609 | 0.00065 | 644 | 10.5 | 629 | 22 | 641 | 9.5 | 2.384738 | 644 | 21 |
| lmf2_68. FIN2 | 1.012 | 0.0195 | 0.1157 | 0.002 | 0.31108 | 0.0635 | 0.00055 | 705 | 11.5 | 726 | 18.5 | 710 | 10 | -2.89256 | 705 | 23 |
| lmf2_69. FIN2 | 0.686 | 0.013 | 0.085 | 0.00145 | 0.29371 | 0.0585 | 0.0005 | 526 | 8.5 | 541 | 18.5 | 530 | 8 | -2.77264 | 526 | 17 |
| lmf2_70. FIN2 | 1.121 | 0.021 | 0.1169 | 0.002 | 0.45775 | 0.0694 | 0.00055 | 713 | 11.5 | 915 | 16.5 | 764 | 10 | -22.0765 | 713 | 23 |
| lmf2_71. FIN2 | 0.745 | 0.0145 | 0.0913 | 0.00155 | 0.2177 | 0.0595 | 0.0006 | 563 | 9 | 580 | 21.5 | 565 | 8.5 | -2.93103 | 563 | 18 |
| lmf2_73. FIN2 | 0.702 | 0.0135 | 0.0873 | 0.0015 | 0.32905 | 0.05829 | 0.000485 | 540 | 9 | 543 | 18 | 541 | 8 | -0.55249 | 540 | 18 |
| lmf2_74. FIN2 | 0.762 | 0.015 | 0.0925 | 0.00165 | 0.26168 | 0.0601 | 0.0007 | 570 | 9.5 | 596 | 24.5 | 574 | 9 | -4.36242 | 570 | 19 |
| lmf2_75. FIN2 | 0.702 | 0.014 | 0.0881 | 0.00155 | 0.38078 | 0.0576 | 0.0006 | 544 | 9.5 | 516 | 21 | 541 | 8 | 5.426357 | 544 | 19 |
| lmf2_76. FIN2 | 0.916 | 0.018 | 0.104 | 0.00185 | 0.43713 | 0.0636 | 0.00065 | 638 | 11 | 724 | 22 | 659 | 9.5 | -11.8785 | 638 | 22 |
| lmf2_77. FIN2 | 0.912 | 0.0175 | 0.106 | 0.00185 | 0.49715 | 0.0622 | 0.00055 | 650 | 10.5 | 678 | 18.5 | 658 | 9.5 | -4.12979 | 650 | 21 |
| lmf2_78. FIN2 | 1.516 | 0.0295 | 0.1522 | 0.0027 | 0.50729 | 0.0722 | 0.00065 | 914 | 15 | 987 | 18.5 | 938 | 12 | -7.39615 | 914 | 30 |
| lmf2_79. FIN2 | 0.738 | 0.0145 | 0.0907 | 0.00155 | 0.31425 | 0.0588 | 0.00055 | 560 | 9.5 | 558 | 20.5 | 561 | 8.5 | 0.358423 | 560 | 19 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|---------|-----|------|------|------|-----|------|----------|
| Error= 1 sigma (except best age error= 2 sigma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rho (ρ)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % discordance = $(1-(206/238 \text{ age})/(207/206 \text{ age}))^* - 100$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| red colored data (highlighted below) = data below quality standards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| lmf2_1.F IN2 | 0.953 | 0.0185 | 0.1001 | 0.00175 | 0.22022 | 0.0687 | 0.0007 | 615 | 10 | 892 | 19.5 | 679 | 9.5 | -31.0538 |
| lmf2_4.F IN2 | 0.989 | 0.0185 | 0.0814 | 0.0014 | -0.02631 | 0.0879 | 0.0008 | 504 | 8.5 | 1373 | 18 | 698 | 9.5 | -63.2921 |
| lmf2_6.F IN2 | 1.605 | 0.03 | 0.0872 | 0.00155 | 0.26048 | 0.1322 | 0.0012 | 539 | 9 | 2125 | 15.5 | 972 | 12 | -74.6353 |
| lmf2_11. FIN2 | 0.841 | 0.0205 | 0.1026 | 0.00185 | 0.036639 | 0.0588 | 0.00105 | 629 | 10.5 | 513 | 37.5 | 614 | 11 | 22.61209 |
| lmf2_12. FIN2 | 0.939 | 0.0175 | 0.0993 | 0.0017 | 0.12846 | 0.0674 | 0.00055 | 610 | 10 | 850 | 17.5 | 672 | 9.5 | -28.2353 |
| lmf2_13. FIN2 | 1.376 | 0.026 | 0.1077 | 0.0018 | 0.28795 | 0.0918 | 0.00075 | 659 | 10.5 | 1461 | 15.5 | 879 | 11 | -54.8939 |
| lmf2_14. FIN2 | 1.549 | 0.029 | 0.0924 | 0.0017 | 0.22512 | 0.1218 | 0.0012 | 570 | 10 | 1982 | 18 | 950 | 11.5 | -71.2412 |
| lmf2_17. FIN2 | 0.748 | 0.0145 | 0.0781 | 0.00135 | 0.1835 | 0.0687 | 0.0007 | 485 | 8 | 893 | 20.5 | 566 | 8.5 | -45.6887 |
| lmf2_18. FIN2 | 1.508 | 0.034 | 0.0762 | 0.00135 | 0.66848 | 0.1424 | 0.00165 | 473 | 8 | 2244 | 21.5 | 930 | 14 | -78.9216 |
| lmf2_19. FIN2 | 0.866 | 0.0165 | 0.0848 | 0.0015 | 0.24171 | 0.0733 | 0.0007 | 525 | 9 | 1019 | 19 | 633 | 9 | -48.4789 |
| lmf2_20. FIN2 | 0.976 | 0.019 | 0.0791 | 0.00135 | -0.01354 | 0.089 | 0.00095 | 491 | 8 | 1404 | 19.5 | 692 | 10 | -65.0285 |
| lmf2_30. FIN2 | 0.901 | 0.018 | 0.0936 | 0.0016 | 0.15052 | 0.0704 | 0.0008 | 577 | 9.5 | 929 | 22.5 | 650 | 9.5 | -37.8902 |
| lmf2_32. FIN2 | 1.16 | 0.022 | 0.1268 | 0.00215 | 0.23507 | 0.0666 | 0.00055 | 770 | 12.5 | 819 | 18.5 | 782 | 10.5 | -5.98291 |
| lmf2_34. FIN2 | 1.405 | 0.0265 | 0.1295 | 0.00225 | 0.42438 | 0.0792 | 0.00065 | 785 | 13 | 1175 | 16.5 | 891 | 11 | -33.1915 |
| lmf2_38. FIN2 | 0.829 | 0.016 | 0.087 | 0.0015 | 0.030218 | 0.0705 | 0.0007 | 538 | 9 | 937 | 20.5 | 612 | 9 | -42.5827 |
| lmf2_39. FIN2 | 0.81 | 0.0155 | 0.0892 | 0.0015 | 0.16272 | 0.067 | 0.00055 | 551 | 9 | 832 | 17.5 | 603 | 8.5 | -33.774 |
| lmf2_40. FIN2 | 0.771 | 0.0155 | 0.0838 | 0.00145 | 0.41823 | 0.0679 | 0.00065 | 519 | 8.5 | 859 | 20 | 580 | 8.5 | -39.5809 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|--|--|
| lmf2_42. FIN2 | 1.471 | 0.029 | 0.1379 | 0.00235 | 0.59837 | 0.0777 | 0.0007 | 833 | 13.5 | 1140 | 17.5 | 919 | 11.5 | -26.9298 | | |
| lmf2_43. FIN2 | 0.913 | 0.0215 | 0.0901 | 0.00155 | 0.14702 | 0.0735 | 0.00115 | 556 | 9 | 1010 | 31.5 | 657 | 11 | -44.9505 | | |
| lmf2_46. FIN2 | 0.743 | 0.0155 | 0.0904 | 0.0016 | 0.19715 | 0.0611 | 0.0008 | 558 | 9.5 | 630 | 28 | 564 | 9 | -11.4286 | | |
| lmf2_50. FIN2 | 0.921 | 0.018 | 0.0864 | 0.0015 | 0.35196 | 0.078 | 0.0007 | 534 | 9 | 1143 | 19 | 662 | 9.5 | -53.2808 | | |
| lmf2_54. FIN2 | 0.904 | 0.0175 | 0.0965 | 0.00165 | 0.23499 | 0.0683 | 0.0006 | 594 | 10 | 878 | 18.5 | 654 | 9 | -32.3462 | | |
| lmf2_56. FIN2 | 0.946 | 0.018 | 0.102 | 0.00175 | 0.30302 | 0.0677 | 0.00055 | 626 | 10 | 861 | 17 | 675 | 9.5 | -27.2938 | | |
| lmf2_63. FIN2 | 1.326 | 0.025 | 0.0977 | 0.00165 | 0.37952 | 0.0984 | 0.0008 | 601 | 10 | 1595 | 15 | 857 | 11 | -62.3197 | | |
| lmf2_65. FIN2 | 1.836 | 0.0345 | 0.1681 | 0.00285 | 0.55337 | 0.0791 | 0.0006 | 1002 | 16 | 1175 | 15.5 | 1058 | 12.5 | -14.7234 | | |
| lmf2_70. FIN2 | 1.121 | 0.021 | 0.1169 | 0.002 | 0.45775 | 0.0694 | 0.00055 | 713 | 11.5 | 915 | 16.5 | 764 | 10 | -22.0765 | | |
| lmf2_72. FIN2 | 0.985 | 0.019 | 0.1051 | 0.0018 | 0.35114 | 0.0683 | 0.0006 | 644 | 10.5 | 876 | 18 | 697 | 9.5 | -26.484 | | |
| lmf2_82. FIN2 | 1.291 | 0.0245 | 0.1034 | 0.00185 | 0.5529 | 0.0907 | 0.0008 | 634 | 11 | 1440 | 17 | 842 | 11 | -55.9722 | | |
| lmf2_84. FIN2 | 1.008 | 0.019 | 0.085 | 0.00145 | 0.51333 | 0.0858 | 0.0007 | 526 | 8.5 | 1330 | 15.5 | 708 | 9.5 | -60.4511 | | |
| lmf2_87. FIN2 | 1.567 | 0.0295 | 0.0774 | 0.00145 | 0.71781 | 0.1461 | 0.0013 | 481 | 8.5 | 2303 | 15.5 | 957 | 11.5 | -79.1142 | | |
| lmf2_90. FIN2 | 0.868 | 0.017 | 0.0858 | 0.00155 | 0.36717 | 0.0723 | 0.0007 | 531 | 9 | 996 | 20.5 | 634 | 9.5 | -46.6867 | | |
| lmf2_92. FIN2 | 1.37 | 0.0265 | 0.1162 | 0.002 | 0.10869 | 0.0856 | 0.00085 | 709 | 11.5 | 1323 | 19.5 | 876 | 11.5 | -46.4097 | | |
| lmf2_93. FIN2 | 4.48 | 0.17 | 0.2395 | 0.0043 | 0.78827 | 0.1352 | 0.00385 | 1382 | 22.5 | 2000 | 47 | 1676 | 29.5 | -30.9 | | |
| lmf2_10 3.FIN2 | 1.181 | 0.024 | 0.1 | 0.0018 | 0.16563 | 0.0851 | 0.001 | 614 | 10.5 | 1313 | 23.5 | 790 | 11 | -53.2369 | | |
| lmf2_10 5.FIN2 | 1.329 | 0.026 | 0.08 | 0.0014 | -0.06735 | 0.1209 | 0.00125 | 496 | 8.5 | 1956 | 19 | 858 | 11.5 | -74.6421 | | |

Table B8. Sample LMF-2 check standards

| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1σ |
|----------------|---|--------|---|---------|----------|--|----------|--|------|---|------|--|-----|
| Pleso-1.FIN2 | 0.388 | 0.0075 | 0.0524 | 0.0009 | 0.28685 | 0.05314 | 0.000445 | 329 | 5.5 | 328 | 19 | 333 | 5.5 |
| Temora-1.FIN2 | 0.493 | 0.01 | 0.065 | 0.0011 | 0.15063 | 0.0546 | 0.0006 | 406 | 6.5 | 376 | 23 | 406 | 6.5 |
| GJ1-1.FIN2 | 0.829 | 0.016 | 0.0988 | 0.0017 | 0.4405 | 0.0606 | 0.0005 | 607 | 10 | 628 | 18 | 613 | 9 |
| Pleso_3.FIN2 | 0.39 | 0.0075 | 0.052 | 0.0009 | 0.3429 | 0.05403 | 0.00045 | 327 | 5.5 | 369 | 19 | 335 | 5.5 |
| Pleso_4.FIN2 | 0.397 | 0.0075 | 0.054 | 0.0009 | 0.23307 | 0.05335 | 0.00046 | 339 | 5.5 | 344 | 19 | 339 | 5.5 |
| Pleso_5.FIN2 | 0.4 | 0.0075 | 0.0553 | 0.00095 | 0.2794 | 0.05315 | 0.00047 | 347 | 6 | 330 | 20 | 342 | 5.5 |
| GJ1_4.FIN2 | 0.847 | 0.016 | 0.1033 | 0.00175 | 0.30341 | 0.06 | 0.0005 | 634 | 10.5 | 601 | 18.5 | 623 | 9 |
| Pleso_6.FIN2 | 0.407 | 0.0075 | 0.0553 | 0.00095 | 0.28594 | 0.05314 | 0.00044 | 347 | 5.5 | 329 | 18.5 | 346 | 5.5 |
| gj1-7.FIN2 | 0.863 | 0.0165 | 0.1036 | 0.00175 | 0.47011 | 0.06 | 0.0005 | 636 | 10.5 | 599 | 18 | 632 | 9 |
| Pleso_8.FIN2 | 0.412 | 0.008 | 0.0552 | 0.00095 | 0.26144 | 0.05392 | 0.00046 | 347 | 5.5 | 364 | 19 | 351 | 5.5 |
| pleso-9.FIN2 | 0.411 | 0.008 | 0.0559 | 0.00095 | 0.23436 | 0.05311 | 0.000455 | 351 | 6 | 329 | 19.5 | 349 | 5.5 |
| temora_8.FIN2 | 0.511 | 0.0105 | 0.0676 | 0.00115 | 0.10152 | 0.0548 | 0.00065 | 422 | 7 | 379 | 25.5 | 418 | 7 |
| GJ1_8.FIN2 | 0.894 | 0.017 | 0.1078 | 0.00185 | 0.20344 | 0.06 | 0.0005 | 660 | 10.5 | 600 | 18 | 648 | 9 |
| Temora-5.FIN2 | 0.493 | 0.0115 | 0.068 | 0.0012 | 0.025489 | 0.0528 | 0.00085 | 424 | 7 | 300 | 31.5 | 406 | 7.5 |
| temora-7.FIN2 | 0.501 | 0.0105 | 0.0686 | 0.0012 | 0.049713 | 0.0527 | 0.00065 | 428 | 7 | 302 | 25.5 | 412 | 7 |
| Notes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Error= 1 sigma | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rho (ρ)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pleso=Plesovitch (337 Ma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tem=Temora (417 Ma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GJ-1=609 Ma | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table B9. Sample LMF-3

| Location: 32°39.365' S, 54°35.102' W | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------|---|------------|----------|--|------------|--|------------|---|------------|--|------------|----------|----------|------------|
| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1 σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1 σ | %disc | Best Age | 2 σ |
| LMF3_1_2.FIN2 | 4.778 | 0.042 | 0.3038 | 0.0024 | 0.60899 | 0.1138 | 0.0005 | 1711 | 11.5 | 1861 | 8 | 1779 | 7.5 | -8.06018 | 1861 | 16 |
| LMF3_1_3.FIN2 | 0.663 | 0.0065 | 0.0829 | 0.00065 | 0.36232 | 0.05802 | 0.000365 | 513.7 | 3.75 | 536 | 14 | 516.3 | 3.95 | -4.16045 | 513.7 | 7.5 |
| LMF3_1_4.FIN2 | 0.881 | 0.0095 | 0.1026 | 0.0008 | 0.18484 | 0.0621 | 0.00055 | 629.6 | 4.65 | 665 | 18.5 | 639 | 5 | -5.32331 | 629.6 | 9.3 |
| LMF3_1_5.FIN2 | 0.869 | 0.0075 | 0.1021 | 0.00075 | 0.2672 | 0.0615 | 0.00034 | 626.8 | 4.3 | 654 | 12 | 634.8 | 4.2 | -4.15902 | 626.8 | 8.6 |
| LMF3_1_6.FIN2 | 0.877 | 0.0095 | 0.103 | 0.0008 | 0.068322 | 0.0617 | 0.00055 | 632.3 | 4.65 | 654 | 19 | 639 | 5 | -3.31804 | 632.3 | 9.3 |
| LMF3_1_8.FIN2 | 0.846 | 0.008 | 0.0975 | 0.00085 | 0.71215 | 0.06296 | 0.000295 | 599.5 | 4.95 | 707 | 10 | 621.9 | 4.5 | -15.2051 | 599.5 | 9.9 |
| LMF3_1_9.FIN2 | 0.664 | 0.0065 | 0.0834 | 0.00065 | 0.31296 | 0.05765 | 0.00039 | 516.6 | 3.85 | 510 | 15 | 517.6 | 3.9 | 1.294118 | 516.6 | 7.7 |
| LMF3_1_10.FIN2 | 0.643 | 0.006 | 0.0817 | 0.0006 | 0.34629 | 0.05728 | 0.00036 | 506.3 | 3.55 | 498 | 13.5 | 504 | 3.8 | 1.666667 | 506.3 | 7.1 |
| LMF3_1_11.FIN2 | 0.943 | 0.0105 | 0.1015 | 0.00105 | 0.37973 | 0.0667 | 0.0006 | 623 | 6 | 824 | 19 | 676 | 6 | -24.3932 | 623 | 12 |
| LMF3_1_12.FIN2 | 0.872 | 0.0075 | 0.1021 | 0.00075 | 0.43515 | 0.06165 | 0.000265 | 626.6 | 4.25 | 664 | 9 | 636.7 | 4 | -5.63253 | 626.6 | 8.5 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|---------|----------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|-------|-----|
| LMF3_1 _13.FIN2 | 0.676 | 0.0055 | 0.0839 | 0.0006 | 0.34402 | 0.0584 | 0.00024 | 519.2 | 3.55 | 541 | 9 | 525 | 3.25 | -4.02957 | 519.2 | 7.1 |
| LMF3_1 _14.FIN2 | 1.11 | 0.0095 | 0.122 | 0.0009 | 0.44142 | 0.06631 | 0.0003 | 742 | 5.5 | 820 | 9.5 | 758.8 | 4.45 | -9.5122 | 742 | 11 |
| LMF3_1 _15.FIN2 | 0.855 | 0.009 | 0.1013 | 0.00085 | 0.32422 | 0.0614 | 0.0005 | 621.9 | 4.9 | 649 | 17.5 | 628.5 | 4.9 | -4.17565 | 621.9 | 9.8 |
| LMF3_1 _16.FIN2 | 1.219 | 0.0115 | 0.1228 | 0.00095 | 0.33208 | 0.07208 | 0.000465 | 747 | 5.5 | 986 | 13 | 810 | 5.5 | -24.2394 | 747 | 11 |
| LMF3_1 _17.FIN2 | 0.686 | 0.006 | 0.0853 | 0.00065 | 0.2869 | 0.05793 | 0.000325 | 527.6 | 3.7 | 532 | 12 | 530.8 | 3.7 | -0.82707 | 527.6 | 7.4 |
| LMF3_1 _18.FIN2 | 0.677 | 0.006 | 0.0834 | 0.0006 | 0.41301 | 0.05878 | 0.00028 | 516 | 3.65 | 557 | 10 | 524.9 | 3.55 | -7.36086 | 516 | 7.3 |
| LMF3_1 _19.FIN2 | 0.857 | 0.0075 | 0.1004 | 0.00075 | 0.16335 | 0.06189 | 0.00039 | 616.6 | 4.45 | 660 | 13.5 | 630.2 | 4.2 | -6.57576 | 616.6 | 8.9 |
| LMF3_1 _20.FIN2 | 0.638 | 0.0085 | 0.0825 | 0.0007 | 0.093024 | 0.0559 | 0.00065 | 511.3 | 4.15 | 423 | 25 | 499 | 5 | 20.8747 | 511.3 | 8.3 |
| LMF3_1 _21.FIN2 | 2.342 | 0.0215 | 0.1991 | 0.00185 | 0.63345 | 0.08506 | 0.000455 | 1170 | 10 | 1316 | 10.5 | 1224 | 6.5 | -11.0942 | 1170 | 20 |
| LMF3_1 _22.FIN2 | 1.748 | 0.017 | 0.1697 | 0.00125 | 0.22959 | 0.0744 | 0.0005 | 1010 | 7 | 1050 | 14 | 1025 | 6 | -3.80952 | 1010 | 14 |
| LMF3_1 _23.FIN2 | 0.881 | 0.008 | 0.1041 | 0.0008 | 0.44719 | 0.06196 | 0.000315 | 638.3 | 4.6 | 668 | 11 | 641.9 | 4.2 | -4.44611 | 638.3 | 9.2 |
| LMF3_1 _24.FIN2 | 0.725 | 0.007 | 0.0863 | 0.00065 | 0.20162 | 0.06088 | 0.00042 | 533.6 | 3.75 | 635 | 15 | 553 | 4.15 | -15.9685 | 533.6 | 7.5 |
| LMF3_1 _25.FIN2 | 0.742 | 0.007 | 0.0884 | 0.0007 | 0.27243 | 0.06098 | 0.00042 | 546.2 | 4.1 | 634 | 15 | 563 | 4.2 | -13.8486 | 546.2 | 8.2 |
| LMF3_1 _26.FIN2 | 1.978 | 0.018 | 0.1769 | 0.00145 | 0.55919 | 0.08134 | 0.000415 | 1050 | 8 | 1225 | 10 | 1109 | 6 | -14.2857 | 1050 | 16 |
| LMF3_1 _27.FIN2 | 0.82 | 0.0075 | 0.0962 | 0.0007 | 0.21228 | 0.06173 | 0.00036 | 591.9 | 4.2 | 663 | 12 | 607.9 | 4.05 | -10.724 | 591.9 | 8.4 |
| LMF3_1 _28.FIN2 | 0.88 | 0.008 | 0.1036 | 0.0008 | 0.27311 | 0.06154 | 0.000395 | 635.5 | 4.55 | 653 | 14 | 640.8 | 4.45 | -2.67994 | 635.5 | 9.1 |
| LMF3_1 _29.FIN2 | 1.132 | 0.0095 | 0.1235 | 0.0009 | 0.55973 | 0.06641 | 0.000245 | 750 | 5 | 821 | 8 | 768.8 | 4.5 | -8.64799 | 750 | 10 |
| LMF3_1 _30.FIN2 | 0.664 | 0.0065 | 0.0815 | 0.0006 | 0.23258 | 0.05901 | 0.00042 | 504.8 | 3.6 | 562 | 15.5 | 516.3 | 4.1 | -10.1779 | 504.8 | 7.2 |
| LMF3_1 _31.FIN2 | 0.864 | 0.0085 | 0.1016 | 0.0008 | 0.31642 | 0.06163 | 0.00045 | 623.8 | 4.55 | 660 | 15.5 | 632.3 | 4.65 | -5.48485 | 623.8 | 9.1 |
| LMF3_1 _34.FIN2 | 0.865 | 0.0075 | 0.1005 | 0.00075 | 0.32349 | 0.06229 | 0.00029 | 617 | 4.3 | 690 | 10.5 | 632.2 | 4.05 | -10.5797 | 617 | 8.6 |
| LMF3_1 _35.FIN2 | 0.669 | 0.0065 | 0.0839 | 0.0006 | 0.10285 | 0.05785 | 0.00042 | 519.1 | 3.7 | 521 | 15.5 | 519 | 3.95 | -0.36468 | 519.1 | 7.4 |
| LMF3_1 _36.FIN2 | 0.823 | 0.009 | 0.0973 | 0.0008 | 0.24779 | 0.0609 | 0.0005 | 598.3 | 4.65 | 642 | 17 | 609 | 4.95 | -6.80685 | 598.3 | 9.3 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|-------|-----|
| LMF3_1 _37.FIN2 | 0.864 | 0.008 | 0.1012 | 0.00075 | 0.27653 | 0.06127 | 0.00036 | 621.1 | 4.3 | 645 | 13 | 632.2 | 4.35 | -3.70543 | 621.1 | 8.6 |
| LMF3_1 _40.FIN2 | 1.183 | 0.01 | 0.124 | 0.0009 | 0.40648 | 0.06833 | 0.000295 | 753 | 5 | 877 | 9 | 792.6 | 4.7 | -14.1391 | 753 | 10 |
| LMF3_1 _41.FIN2 | 4.287 | 0.0345 | 0.2768 | 0.00205 | 0.54439 | 0.1124 | 0.000415 | 1575 | 10.5 | 1839 | 7 | 1690 | 6.5 | -14.3556 | 1839 | 14 |
| LMF3_1 _42.FIN2 | 0.649 | 0.0055 | 0.0819 | 0.0006 | 0.32213 | 0.05684 | 0.00028 | 507.5 | 3.5 | 485 | 11 | 507.9 | 3.45 | 4.639175 | 507.5 | 7 |
| LMF3_1 _43.FIN2 | 0.87 | 0.0095 | 0.1033 | 0.0008 | 0.27042 | 0.0608 | 0.0005 | 634.2 | 4.75 | 627 | 18 | 635 | 5 | 1.148325 | 634.2 | 9.5 |
| LMF3_1 _44.FIN2 | 1.598 | 0.0125 | 0.1556 | 0.0011 | 0.54764 | 0.07385 | 0.00023 | 932 | 6 | 1037 | 6.5 | 969.1 | 4.85 | -10.1254 | 932 | 12 |
| LMF3_1 _45.FIN2 | 0.636 | 0.006 | 0.0785 | 0.0006 | 0.3781 | 0.0594 | 0.000335 | 487.1 | 3.55 | 583 | 12.5 | 500.6 | 3.7 | -16.4494 | 487.1 | 7.1 |
| LMF3_1 _46.FIN2 | 0.711 | 0.006 | 0.0871 | 0.00065 | 0.39049 | 0.05899 | 0.000305 | 538.2 | 3.75 | 561 | 11.5 | 545.1 | 3.6 | -4.06417 | 538.2 | 7.5 |
| LMF3_1 _48.FIN2 | 0.862 | 0.0075 | 0.1021 | 0.0007 | 0.28627 | 0.06143 | 0.00032 | 626.9 | 4.2 | 648 | 11 | 631.2 | 4.15 | -3.25617 | 626.9 | 8.4 |
| LMF3_1 _49.FIN2 | 0.7 | 0.006 | 0.0858 | 0.00065 | 0.34132 | 0.05943 | 0.000305 | 530.3 | 3.75 | 579 | 11.5 | 538.6 | 3.7 | -8.41105 | 530.3 | 7.5 |
| LMF3_1 _51.FIN2 | 2.07 | 0.0215 | 0.1729 | 0.00165 | 0.83749 | 0.08698 | 0.000375 | 1027 | 9 | 1361 | 8.5 | 1139 | 7 | -24.5408 | 1027 | 18 |
| LMF3_1 _52.FIN2 | 0.815 | 0.007 | 0.0927 | 0.0007 | 0.49559 | 0.06344 | 0.00032 | 571.5 | 4.1 | 724 | 10.5 | 604.8 | 4 | -21.0635 | 571.5 | 8.2 |
| LMF3_1 _53.FIN2 | 0.89 | 0.008 | 0.1037 | 0.00075 | 0.37922 | 0.06208 | 0.000345 | 635.9 | 4.4 | 684 | 12 | 646.7 | 4.35 | -7.03216 | 635.9 | 8.8 |
| LMF3_1 _54.FIN2 | 0.853 | 0.0085 | 0.1022 | 0.00075 | 0.11506 | 0.06071 | 0.00047 | 627.4 | 4.5 | 624 | 16.5 | 626.2 | 4.6 | 0.544872 | 627.4 | 9 |
| LMF3_1 _55.FIN2 | 1.674 | 0.014 | 0.1633 | 0.00115 | 0.40197 | 0.07438 | 0.00032 | 975 | 6.5 | 1054 | 8.5 | 999 | 5.5 | -7.49526 | 975 | 13 |
| LMF3_1 _56.FIN2 | 0.905 | 0.008 | 0.1035 | 0.00075 | 0.29074 | 0.06323 | 0.000365 | 634.6 | 4.35 | 717 | 12.5 | 653.2 | 4.4 | -11.4923 | 634.6 | 8.7 |
| LMF3_1 _57.FIN2 | 1.742 | 0.022 | 0.1683 | 0.0014 | 0.26501 | 0.0752 | 0.00075 | 1002 | 7.5 | 1063 | 20 | 1022 | 8.5 | -5.73848 | 1002 | 15 |
| LMF3_1 _59.FIN2 | 0.815 | 0.007 | 0.0965 | 0.0007 | 0.37238 | 0.0612 | 0.000315 | 594.1 | 4.2 | 645 | 11 | 604.4 | 3.95 | -7.89147 | 594.1 | 8.4 |
| LMF3_1 _60.FIN2 | 0.845 | 0.0075 | 0.0999 | 0.00049 5 | 0.30391 | 0.0613 | 0.0005 | 613.7 | 2.9 | 654 | 18 | 623.9 | 3.9 | -6.16208 | 613.7 | 5.8 |
| LMF3_1 _61.FIN2 | 1.079 | 0.009 | 0.1211 | 0.0006 | 0.33763 | 0.0648 | 0.00055 | 737 | 3.5 | 762 | 17 | 742.2 | 4.35 | -3.28084 | 737 | 7 |
| LMF3_1 _62.FIN2 | 2.177 | 0.0235 | 0.1813 | 0.00175 | 0.84655 | 0.0862 | 0.0006 | 1076 | 9.5 | 1343 | 13.5 | 1173 | 7.5 | -19.8809 | 1076 | 19 |
| LMF3_1 _63.FIN2 | 7.42 | 0.08 | 0.3686 | 0.00305 | 0.74919 | 0.1454 | 0.00115 | 2021 | 14.5 | 2295 | 13.5 | 2164 | 9.5 | -11.939 | 2295 | 27 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|-------|-----|
| LMF3_1 _64.FIN2 | 0.869 | 0.007 | 0.1026 | 0.00065 | 0.4673 | 0.06169 | 0.00048 | 629.4 | 3.9 | 666 | 16.5 | 634.8 | 3.65 | -5.4955 | 629.4 | 7.8 |
| LMF3_1 _65.FIN2 | 13.78 | 0.1 | 0.4966 | 0.00315 | 0.63339 | 0.1983 | 0.0013 | 2601 | 13.5 | 2811 | 11 | 2735 | 7 | -7.47065 | 2811 | 22 |
| LMF3_1 _67.FIN2 | 0.853 | 0.0075 | 0.0999 | 0.00065 | 0.42762 | 0.0612 | 0.00055 | 613.5 | 3.7 | 655 | 19 | 624.9 | 4.2 | -6.33588 | 613.5 | 7.4 |
| LMF3_1 _68.FIN2 | 0.848 | 0.0065 | 0.0997 | 0.0006 | 0.49184 | 0.06103 | 0.000445 | 612.2 | 3.45 | 639 | 15 | 623.5 | 3.6 | -4.19405 | 612.2 | 6.9 |
| LMF3_1 _70.FIN2 | 0.853 | 0.012 | 0.0982 | 0.0007 | 0.1805 | 0.0619 | 0.00095 | 603.9 | 4 | 660 | 31.5 | 624 | 6.5 | -8.5 | 603.9 | 8 |
| LMF3_1 _71.FIN2 | 0.888 | 0.0115 | 0.1038 | 0.0009 | 0.36899 | 0.061 | 0.0008 | 636 | 5.5 | 631 | 29.5 | 645 | 6 | 0.792393 | 636 | 11 |
| LMF3_1 _72.FIN2 | 0.919 | 0.0095 | 0.1009 | 0.00085 | 0.53004 | 0.0655 | 0.0006 | 619.8 | 4.9 | 788 | 19 | 662.2 | 4.95 | -21.3452 | 619.8 | 9.8 |
| LMF3_1 _73.FIN2 | 1.406 | 0.0165 | 0.1432 | 0.0015 | 0.61878 | 0.0704 | 0.0007 | 862 | 8.5 | 941 | 20.5 | 889 | 7 | -8.39532 | 862 | 17 |
| LMF3_1 _74.FIN2 | 0.828 | 0.0065 | 0.0966 | 0.0006 | 0.55015 | 0.06211 | 0.000485 | 594.5 | 3.6 | 673 | 16 | 612.8 | 3.8 | -11.6642 | 594.5 | 7.2 |
| LMF3_1 _75.FIN2 | 1.293 | 0.026 | 0.1303 | 0.0021 | 0.87177 | 0.0722 | 0.00075 | 790 | 12 | 978 | 21.5 | 838 | 11 | -19.2229 | 790 | 24 |
| LMF3_1 _76.FIN2 | 0.829 | 0.0075 | 0.0996 | 0.00065 | 0.29846 | 0.0609 | 0.0006 | 611.8 | 3.75 | 634 | 21 | 613.4 | 4.1 | -3.50158 | 611.8 | 7.5 |
| LMF3_1 _78.FIN2 | 0.728 | 0.007 | 0.0884 | 0.00065 | 0.49666 | 0.0598 | 0.00055 | 545.8 | 3.85 | 594 | 20 | 555.5 | 4.1 | -8.11448 | 545.8 | 7.7 |
| LMF3_1 _79.FIN2 | 13.39 | 0.1 | 0.504 | 0.0032 | 0.66331 | 0.1935 | 0.00135 | 2631 | 14 | 2769 | 11.5 | 2706 | 7 | -4.98375 | 2769 | 23 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Error= 1 sigma (except best age error= 2 sigma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rho (p)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % discordance = (1-(206/238 age)/(207/206 age))*-100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| red colored data (highlighted below) = data below quality standards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LMF3_1 _1.FIN2 | 0.621 | 0.0085 | 0.0712 | 0.0006 | 0.32474 | 0.0623 | 0.0007 | 443.4 | 3.75 | 697 | 23 | 491 | 5.5 | -36.3845 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|--|--|
| LMF3_1 _7.FIN2 | 0.803 | 0.007 | 0.0892 | 0.00065 | 0.46724 | 0.06511 | 0.000315 | 550.9 | 3.95 | 776 | 10.5 | 598.8 | 4.05 | -29.0077 | | |
| LMF3_1 _32.FIN2 | 0.75 | 0.02 | 0.0662 | 0.00085 | 0.80152 | 0.0801 | 0.0013 | 413 | 5 | 1133 | 29 | 559 | 11 | -63.5481 | | |
| LMF3_1 _33.FIN2 | 0.617 | 0.005 | 0.0692 | 0.0005 | 0.54807 | 0.06507 | 0.00026 | 431.1 | 3.1 | 774 | 8.5 | 487.7 | 3.25 | -44.3023 | | |
| LMF3_1 _38.FIN2 | 0.687 | 0.0065 | 0.0798 | 0.00065 | 0.47542 | 0.06256 | 0.000385 | 494.8 | 3.95 | 698 | 13 | 530.7 | 4.05 | -29.1117 | | |
| LMF3_1 _39.FIN2 | 0.879 | 0.0075 | 0.0914 | 0.00075 | 0.63408 | 0.06923 | 0.000305 | 563.4 | 4.5 | 907 | 9 | 639.8 | 4.05 | -37.8831 | | |
| LMF3_1 _47.FIN2 | 0.74 | 0.0065 | 0.084 | 0.0006 | 0.21771 | 0.06379 | 0.00032 | 519.9 | 3.55 | 730 | 10.5 | 562.1 | 3.7 | -28.7808 | | |
| LMF3_1 _50.FIN2 | 0.955 | 0.008 | 0.1027 | 0.00075 | 0.37819 | 0.06711 | 0.000305 | 630 | 4.25 | 846 | 9.5 | 680 | 4.25 | -25.5319 | | |
| LMF3_1 _58.FIN2 | 1.16 | 0.0095 | 0.1145 | 0.0009 | 0.58635 | 0.07338 | 0.000305 | 699 | 5 | 1023 | 8.5 | 782.1 | 4.5 | -31.6716 | | |
| LMF3_1 _66.FIN2 | 0.975 | 0.0075 | 0.0991 | 0.00065 | 0.66037 | 0.07106 | 0.000495 | 608.7 | 3.7 | 958 | 14 | 691.2 | 3.8 | -36.4614 | | |
| LMF3_1 _69.FIN2 | 0.796 | 0.006 | 0.0846 | 0.0007 | 0.66744 | 0.0677 | 0.0005 | 524.1 | 4.3 | 858 | 16 | 594.4 | 3.5 | -38.9161 | | |
| LMF3_1 _77.FIN2 | 0.609 | 0.015 | 0.0697 | 0.00205 | 0.84905 | 0.0678 | 0.00105 | 432 | 12.5 | 835 | 33.5 | 479 | 9.5 | -48.2635 | | |

Table B10. Sample LMF-3 check standards

| Spot | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{U}^{235}$ | 1σ | $\text{Pb}^{206}/\text{U}^{238}$ | 1σ | ρ | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{Pb}^{206}$ | 1σ | $\text{Pb}^{206}/\text{U}^{238}$ age | 1σ | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{Pb}^{206}$ age | 1σ | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{U}^{235}$ age | 1σ |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| Pleso_1. FIN2 | 0.3859 | 0.0033 | 0.05229 | 0.000385 | 0.42905 | 0.05356 | 0.00025 | 328.6 | 2.35 | 352 | 10.5 | 331.4 | 2.45 |
| temora_ 1.FIN2 | 0.489 | 0.006 | 0.0649 | 0.00055 | 0.44819 | 0.0544 | 0.00055 | 405 | 3.2 | 368 | 20.5 | 403.1 | 4.15 |
| Gj1_1.F IN2 | 0.776 | 0.0065 | 0.0938 | 0.0007 | 0.35356 | 0.06014 | 0.000295 | 577.8 | 4.05 | 611 | 10.5 | 583.4 | 3.75 |
| GJ1_2.F IN2 | 0.795 | 0.007 | 0.0954 | 0.0007 | 0.34944 | 0.06008 | 0.000305 | 587.1 | 4.1 | 609 | 11 | 594.8 | 3.9 |
| Pleso_2. FIN2 | 0.3891 | 0.00325 | 0.05306 | 0.00039 | 0.4176 | 0.05308 | 0.00024 | 333.3 | 2.4 | 330 | 10.5 | 333.9 | 2.35 |

Table B11. Sample LMF-5

| Location: 32°39.612' S, 54° 34.433' W | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------|---|---------|---------|--|---------|--|-----|---|------|--|------|----------|-------------|----|
| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1σ | %disc | Best Age | 2σ |
| LMF5_2. FIN2 | 0.911 | 0.0095 | 0.1043 | 0.00105 | 0.48731 | 0.0639 | 0.0006 | 639 | 6 | 733 | 20 | 657 | 5 | -12.824 | 639 | 12 |
| LMF5_4. FIN2 | 0.714 | 0.0075 | 0.089 | 0.00085 | 0.28052 | 0.0581 | 0.00055 | 550 | 5 | 527 | 21 | 546.1 | 4.45 | 4.364326 | 550 | 10 |
| LMF5_7. FIN2 | 0.75 | 0.0075 | 0.0914 | 0.0009 | 0.2967 | 0.0599 | 0.00055 | 563 | 5 | 610 | 19.5 | 567.2 | 4.4 | -7.70492 | 563 | 10 |
| LMF5_8. FIN2 | 0.824 | 0.008 | 0.098 | 0.0009 | 0.22281 | 0.0615 | 0.00055 | 603 | 5.5 | 656 | 18.5 | 609.5 | 4.35 | -8.07927 | 603 | 11 |
| LMF5_9. FIN2 | 0.857 | 0.008 | 0.1014 | 0.00095 | 0.27608 | 0.0619 | 0.0005 | 622 | 5.5 | 671 | 18 | 628.3 | 4.45 | -7.30253 | 622 | 11 |
| LMF5_1 1.FIN2 | 0.839 | 0.01 | 0.1023 | 0.0011 | 0.3722 | 0.0601 | 0.00065 | 628 | 6.5 | 618 | 24 | 620 | 5.5 | 1.618123 | 628 | 13 |
| LMF5_1 2.FIN2 | 0.78 | 0.0075 | 0.0917 | 0.0009 | 0.49159 | 0.0618 | 0.0005 | 565 | 5.5 | 670 | 18 | 585 | 4.25 | -15.6716 | 565 | 11 |
| LMF5_1 3.FIN2 | 1.069 | 0.013 | 0.1147 | 0.00125 | 0.76546 | 0.0678 | 0.0006 | 699 | 7 | 855 | 18 | 738 | 6.5 | -18.2456 | 699 | 14 |
| LMF5_1 4.FIN2 | 0.744 | 0.008 | 0.0909 | 0.0009 | 0.28298 | 0.0593 | 0.0006 | 561 | 5 | 575 | 21 | 565.7 | 4.5 | -2.43478 | 561 | 10 |
| LMF5_1 5.FIN2 | 0.854 | 0.0115 | 0.1047 | 0.00105 | 0.20015 | 0.0592 | 0.00075 | 641 | 6 | 548 | 28 | 624 | 6.5 | 16.9708 | 641 | 12 |
| LMF5_1 6.FIN2 | 0.868 | 0.0115 | 0.1052 | 0.00105 | 0.18977 | 0.0594 | 0.00075 | 644 | 6.5 | 575 | 28 | 632 | 6.5 | 12 | 644 | 13 |
| LMF5_1 8.FIN2 | 0.705 | 0.0075 | 0.088 | 0.00085 | 0.28087 | 0.0583 | 0.0006 | 543 | 5 | 539 | 22.5 | 541.8 | 4.6 | 0.742115 | 543 | 10 |
| LMF5_1 9.FIN2 | 0.852 | 0.01 | 0.1016 | 0.00105 | 0.16037 | 0.0603 | 0.0007 | 624 | 6 | 597 | 25.5 | 625 | 5.5 | 4.522613 | 624 | 12 |
| LMF5_2 1.FIN2 | 0.73 | 0.0075 | 0.0899 | 0.00085 | 0.28749 | 0.0584 | 0.00055 | 556 | 5 | 545 | 20.5 | 556.1 | 4.4 | 2.018349 | 556 | 10 |
| LMF5_2 2.FIN2 | 0.836 | 0.008 | 0.0941 | 0.0009 | 0.37545 | 0.0638 | 0.00055 | 579 | 5.5 | 736 | 17.5 | 616.3 | 4.4 | -21.3315 | 579 | 11 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|---------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|-------|-----|
| LMF5_2 3.FIN2 | 0.718 | 0.0095 | 0.0892 | 0.00095 | 0.28757 | 0.0576 | 0.0007 | 550 | 5.5 | 511 | 27 | 550 | 5.5 | 7.632094 | 550 | 11 |
| LMF5_2 4.FIN2 | 0.881 | 0.01 | 0.1048 | 0.00105 | 0.31745 | 0.0607 | 0.0006 | 643 | 6 | 625 | 21.5 | 642 | 5.5 | 2.88 | 643 | 12 |
| LMF5_2 5.FIN2 | 0.882 | 0.009 | 0.1048 | 0.001 | 0.19541 | 0.0607 | 0.0006 | 642 | 6 | 629 | 20.5 | 641.9 | 4.9 | 2.066773 | 642 | 12 |
| LMF5_2 7.FIN2 | 0.856 | 0.008 | 0.0975 | 0.0009 | 0.34476 | 0.064 | 0.00055 | 600 | 5.5 | 736 | 17 | 627.7 | 4.35 | -18.4783 | 600 | 11 |
| LMF5_2 9.FIN2 | 0.814 | 0.008 | 0.093 | 0.0009 | 0.36553 | 0.0642 | 0.00055 | 573 | 5 | 747 | 17.5 | 604.3 | 4.35 | -23.2932 | 573 | 10 |
| LMF5_3 0.FIN2 | 0.964 | 0.0095 | 0.1051 | 0.001 | 0.049852 | 0.0669 | 0.00065 | 644 | 6 | 836 | 19.5 | 684.8 | 4.9 | -22.9665 | 644 | 12 |
| LMF5_3 1.FIN2 | 1.217 | 0.011 | 0.1299 | 0.0012 | 0.42496 | 0.0681 | 0.00055 | 787 | 7 | 870 | 16.5 | 808 | 5 | -9.54023 | 787 | 14 |
| LMF5_3 2.FIN2 | 0.88 | 0.011 | 0.1064 | 0.00105 | 0.078787 | 0.0604 | 0.00075 | 652 | 6 | 595 | 25.5 | 639 | 6 | 9.579832 | 652 | 12 |
| LMF5_3 3.FIN2 | 0.959 | 0.0125 | 0.1043 | 0.00125 | 0.35118 | 0.0664 | 0.0009 | 639 | 7.5 | 836 | 27.5 | 682 | 6.5 | -23.5646 | 639 | 15 |
| LMF5_3 5.FIN2 | 0.664 | 0.007 | 0.0829 | 0.0008 | 0.38973 | 0.0584 | 0.00055 | 513.2 | 4.75 | 546 | 20 | 516.5 | 4.15 | -6.00733 | 513.2 | 9.5 |
| LMF5_3 7.FIN2 | 0.833 | 0.0085 | 0.0991 | 0.00095 | 0.32305 | 0.0615 | 0.0006 | 609 | 5.5 | 658 | 20 | 614.9 | 4.85 | -7.44681 | 609 | 11 |
| LMF5_3 8.FIN2 | 0.927 | 0.0095 | 0.1098 | 0.0011 | 0.28667 | 0.0617 | 0.00055 | 671 | 6.5 | 667 | 19.5 | 665.9 | 4.9 | 0.5997 | 671 | 13 |
| LMF5_3 9.FIN2 | 0.861 | 0.0115 | 0.1032 | 0.00105 | 0.06636 | 0.0605 | 0.0008 | 633 | 6 | 604 | 27.5 | 628 | 6 | 4.801325 | 633 | 12 |
| LMF5_4 1.FIN2 | 0.907 | 0.0085 | 0.1045 | 0.001 | 0.34381 | 0.0626 | 0.00055 | 640 | 6 | 695 | 18 | 656.1 | 4.5 | -7.91367 | 640 | 12 |
| LMF5_4 2.FIN2 | 0.696 | 0.0075 | 0.0845 | 0.0009 | 0.41339 | 0.0595 | 0.0006 | 523 | 5.5 | 579 | 22 | 536 | 4.55 | -9.67185 | 523 | 11 |
| LMF5_4 3.FIN2 | 0.865 | 0.009 | 0.1026 | 0.001 | 0.17203 | 0.061 | 0.0006 | 630 | 5.5 | 635 | 21 | 632.4 | 4.8 | -0.7874 | 630 | 11 |
| LMF5_4 4.FIN2 | 0.731 | 0.008 | 0.0901 | 0.0009 | 0.2613 | 0.0583 | 0.0006 | 556 | 5 | 539 | 23 | 556.3 | 4.7 | 3.153989 | 556 | 10 |
| LMF5_4 7.FIN2 | 1.64 | 0.0165 | 0.1642 | 0.0016 | 0.41003 | 0.0727 | 0.00065 | 980 | 9 | 1005 | 18 | 986 | 6.5 | -2.48756 | 980 | 18 |
| LMF5_4 9.FIN2 | 0.904 | 0.009 | 0.1018 | 0.00095 | 0.37563 | 0.0649 | 0.00055 | 625 | 5.5 | 769 | 17.5 | 653.8 | 4.7 | -18.7256 | 625 | 11 |
| LMF5_5 0.FIN2 | 0.858 | 0.0095 | 0.1027 | 0.001 | 0.33728 | 0.061 | 0.0006 | 631 | 6 | 635 | 21.5 | 629 | 5 | -0.62992 | 631 | 12 |
| LMF5_5 1.FIN2 | 0.82 | 0.0105 | 0.0994 | 0.00105 | 0.174 | 0.0614 | 0.0008 | 610 | 6 | 630 | 27.5 | 607 | 6 | -3.1746 | 610 | 12 |
| LMF5_5 2.FIN2 | 0.863 | 0.0095 | 0.1036 | 0.001 | 0.16484 | 0.0615 | 0.00065 | 635 | 6 | 643 | 23 | 631 | 5.5 | -1.24417 | 635 | 12 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|---------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Rho (ρ)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % discordance = $(1-(206/238 \text{ age})/(207/206 \text{ age})) * 100$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| red colored data (highlighted below) = data below quality standards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LMF5_1.FIN2 | 1.157 | 0.0165 | 0.0994 | 0.00095 | 0.16795 | 0.0847 | 0.00115 | 611 | 5.5 | 1278 | 25.5 | 778 | 7.5 | -52.1909 | | | | |
| LMF5_3.FIN2 | 0.913 | 0.011 | 0.0898 | 0.00085 | 0.15531 | 0.074 | 0.00085 | 554 | 5 | 1032 | 23 | 658 | 6 | -46.3178 | | | | |
| LMF5_5.FIN2 | 1.353 | 0.016 | 0.1122 | 0.00125 | 0.86975 | 0.088 | 0.0007 | 685 | 7 | 1382 | 15.5 | 869 | 7 | -50.4342 | | | | |
| LMF5_6.FIN2 | 2.023 | 0.024 | 0.0876 | 0.00095 | 0.53995 | 0.1675 | 0.0017 | 541 | 5.5 | 2538 | 16.5 | 1121 | 8 | -78.684 | | | | |
| LMF5_1 0.FIN2 | 2.41 | 0.095 | 0.109 | 0.0015 | 0.92807 | 0.1583 | 0.00455 | 667 | 8.5 | 2415 | 48.5 | 1212 | 25.5 | -72.381 | | | | |
| LMF5_1 7.FIN2 | 1.759 | 0.018 | 0.1142 | 0.0011 | 0.66056 | 0.1106 | 0.0009 | 698 | 6.5 | 1810 | 15.5 | 1029 | 6.5 | -61.4365 | | | | |
| LMF5_2 0.FIN2 | 0.845 | 0.0105 | 0.0906 | 0.0009 | 0.20099 | 0.0669 | 0.00075 | 559 | 5.5 | 835 | 24 | 622 | 5.5 | -33.0539 | | | | |
| LMF5_2 6.FIN2 | 0.977 | 0.011 | 0.1018 | 0.00095 | 0.22316 | 0.0698 | 0.0007 | 625 | 5.5 | 907 | 20 | 691 | 5.5 | -31.0915 | | | | |
| LMF5_2 8.FIN2 | 1.263 | 0.04 | 0.0977 | 0.001 | 0.8563 | 0.0909 | 0.0023 | 601 | 6 | 1310 | 38.5 | 793 | 14 | -54.1221 | | | | |
| LMF5_3 4.FIN2 | 9.84 | 0.415 | 0.2322 | 0.0043 | 0.97493 | 0.279 | 0.009 | 1339 | 22.5 | 3080 | 70 | 2204 | 48.5 | -56.526 | | | | |
| LMF5_3 6.FIN2 | 0.776 | 0.0075 | 0.0863 | 0.0008 | 0.19385 | 0.0655 | 0.0006 | 533.6 | 4.9 | 790 | 18.5 | 582.5 | 4.25 | -32.4557 | | | | |
| LMF5_4 0.FIN2 | 1.889 | 0.019 | 0.146 | 0.0016 | -0.62114 | 0.0948 | 0.00125 | 878 | 9 | 1504 | 25 | 1076 | 6.5 | -41.6223 | | | | |
| LMF5_4 5.FIN2 | 0.872 | 0.01 | 0.089 | 0.00085 | 0.052726 | 0.07 | 0.0008 | 550 | 5 | 930 | 23.5 | 636 | 5.5 | -40.8602 | | | | |
| LMF5_4 6.FIN2 | 0.876 | 0.011 | 0.0938 | 0.0009 | 0.34769 | 0.0678 | 0.00075 | 578 | 5.5 | 842 | 22.5 | 637 | 6 | -31.3539 | | | | |
| LMF5_4 8.FIN2 | 0.997 | 0.019 | 0.0982 | 0.001 | 0.47982 | 0.0729 | 0.0012 | 604 | 6 | 942 | 30.5 | 692 | 8.5 | -35.8811 | | | | |
| LMF5_5 6.FIN2 | 2.863 | 0.0255 | 0.0683 | 0.00065 | 0.39268 | 0.3099 | 0.00245 | 425.6 | 3.9 | 3520 | 12.5 | 1372 | 6.5 | -87.9091 | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|---------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|----------|--|--|
| LMF5_6 2.FIN2 | 0.927 | 0.009 | 0.0883 | 0.00085 | 0.078924 | 0.0762 | 0.0007 | 545.2 | 4.95 | 1090 | 19 | 665.6 | 4.85 | -49.9817 | | |
| LMF5_6 3.FIN2 | 1.045 | 0.0135 | 0.0875 | 0.0009 | -0.2763 | 0.0869 | 0.00125 | 541 | 5.5 | 1340 | 29 | 726 | 6.5 | -59.6269 | | |
| LMF5_6 5.FIN2 | 0.791 | 0.007 | 0.0875 | 0.00085 | 0.35244 | 0.0648 | 0.0005 | 540.7 | 4.9 | 767 | 17 | 591.6 | 4.1 | -29.5046 | | |
| LMF5_6 6.FIN2 | 1.004 | 0.011 | 0.0904 | 0.00085 | 0.32633 | 0.0795 | 0.0008 | 558 | 5 | 1184 | 19.5 | 705 | 5.5 | -52.8716 | | |
| LMF5_7 2.FIN2 | 1.055 | 0.022 | 0.0882 | 0.00105 | 0.37051 | 0.0872 | 0.0016 | 545 | 6 | 1365 | 36 | 725 | 10.5 | -60.0733 | | |
| LMF5_7 5.FIN2 | 1.322 | 0.0215 | 0.1025 | 0.0011 | 0.21816 | 0.0952 | 0.00145 | 629 | 6.5 | 1517 | 29.5 | 857 | 9.5 | -58.5366 | | |
| LMF5_7 6.FIN2 | 1.05 | 0.0125 | 0.1028 | 0.0011 | 0.57836 | 0.0748 | 0.0007 | 630 | 6.5 | 1063 | 19 | 729 | 6 | -40.7338 | | |
| LMF5_7 7.FIN2 | 0.795 | 0.008 | 0.0832 | 0.00085 | 0.46821 | 0.0697 | 0.0006 | 515 | 5 | 922 | 18.5 | 593.9 | 4.45 | -44.1432 | | |
| LMF5_7 8.FIN2 | 2.42 | 0.13 | 0.1393 | 0.00195 | 0.83368 | 0.126 | 0.0055 | 842 | 10.5 | 1890 | 60 | 1194 | 30 | -55.4497 | | |
| LMF5_7 9.FIN2 | 39.5 | 1.05 | 0.321 | 0.01 | 0.73191 | 0.939 | 0.022 | 1779 | 48.5 | 5270 | 50 | 3732 | 24.5 | -66.2429 | | |
| LMF5_8 1.FIN2 | 1.108 | 0.011 | 0.0894 | 0.0009 | 0.49813 | 0.0898 | 0.0008 | 552 | 5.5 | 1421 | 17 | 757 | 5.5 | -61.1541 | | |
| LMF5_8 2.FIN2 | 1.182 | 0.034 | 0.0978 | 0.001 | 0.85928 | 0.0843 | 0.0016 | 601 | 6 | 1231 | 30 | 763 | 10.5 | -51.1779 | | |
| LMF5_8 3.FIN2 | 1.097 | 0.012 | 0.0933 | 0.0009 | 0.12442 | 0.0853 | 0.0009 | 575 | 5.5 | 1327 | 20.5 | 751 | 5.5 | -56.6692 | | |
| LMF5_8 6.FIN2 | 0.907 | 0.0135 | 0.0975 | 0.001 | 0.11962 | 0.068 | 0.00105 | 599 | 6 | 834 | 31.5 | 652 | 7 | -28.1775 | | |
| LMF5_8 7.FIN2 | 0.759 | 0.009 | 0.0842 | 0.0009 | 0.32683 | 0.0647 | 0.0007 | 521 | 5.5 | 766 | 23.5 | 573 | 5 | -31.9843 | | |

Table B12. Sample LMF-5 check standards

| Spot | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{U}^{235}$ | 1σ | $\text{Pb}^{206}/\text{U}^{238}$ | 1σ | ρ | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{Pb}^{206}$ | 1σ | $\text{Pb}^{206}/\text{U}^{238}$ age | 1σ | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{Pb}^{206}$ age | 1σ | $\text{Pb}^{207}/\text{U}^{235}$ age | 1σ |
|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| Pleso_1.FIN2 | 0.4142 | 0.00395 | 0.0567 | 0.00055 | 0.35078 | 0.05327 | 0.000455 | 355.4 | 3.25 | 334 | 19 | 352.1 | 2.85 |
| temora_1.FIN2 | 0.501 | 0.007 | 0.0658 | 0.0007 | 0.12027 | 0.0555 | 0.0008 | 410.9 | 4.2 | 404 | 31 | 411.5 | 4.9 |
| Gjl_1.FIN2 | 0.822 | 0.008 | 0.099 | 0.00095 | 0.3915 | 0.0605 | 0.0005 | 609 | 5.5 | 619 | 18.5 | 609 | 4.5 |

Table B13. Sample LP-3

| Location: 32° 23.220' S, 54° 6.34' W | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|---|---------|---------|--|----------|--|------|---|------|--|------|----------|-------------|-----|
| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1σ | %disc | Best Age | 2σ |
| lp3_1. FIN2 | 1.757 | 0.0425 | 0.1699 | 0.00415 | 0.22524 | 0.0747 | 0.00044 | 1011 | 23 | 1065 | 12 | 1030 | 16 | -5.07042 | 1011 | 46 |
| lp3_2. FIN2 | 6.46 | 0.155 | 0.366 | 0.009 | 0.38302 | 0.12703 | 0.00047 | 2014 | 41.5 | 2057 | 6.5 | 2040 | 21 | -2.09042 | 2057 | 13 |
| lp3_3. FIN2 | 5.7 | 0.135 | 0.338 | 0.008 | 0.56379 | 0.12196 | 0.000335 | 1877 | 39.5 | 1984 | 4.85 | 1931 | 20.5 | -5.39315 | 1984 | 9.7 |
| lp3_4. FIN2 | 1.075 | 0.027 | 0.1197 | 0.003 | 0.4248 | 0.0651 | 0.00055 | 729 | 17 | 777 | 16.5 | 741 | 13 | -6.17761 | 729 | 34 |
| lp3_5. FIN2 | 0.731 | 0.0185 | 0.0881 | 0.00225 | 0.19415 | 0.0595 | 0.00075 | 544 | 13.5 | 594 | 26.5 | 557 | 11 | -8.41751 | 544 | 27 |
| lp3_6. FIN2 | 1.141 | 0.0275 | 0.1206 | 0.00295 | 0.51654 | 0.06787 | 0.00023 | 734 | 17 | 864 | 7 | 773 | 13 | -15.0463 | 734 | 34 |
| lp3_7. FIN2 | 0.903 | 0.0225 | 0.1048 | 0.00265 | 0.57822 | 0.06253 | 0.00041 | 642 | 15.5 | 693 | 14 | 654 | 12 | -7.35931 | 642 | 31 |
| lp3_8. FIN2 | 0.687 | 0.018 | 0.0852 | 0.00215 | 0.27485 | 0.0581 | 0.00065 | 527 | 12.5 | 531 | 24.5 | 530 | 11 | -0.7533 | 527 | 25 |
| lp3_9. FIN2 | 1.963 | 0.048 | 0.1862 | 0.00465 | 0.28607 | 0.0762 | 0.0005 | 1101 | 25 | 1099 | 13 | 1104 | 16.5 | 0.181984 | 1101 | 50 |
| lp3_10 .FIN2 | 1.141 | 0.0275 | 0.1254 | 0.00305 | 0.4737 | 0.0657 | 0.00024 | 761 | 17.5 | 797 | 8 | 773 | 13 | -4.51694 | 761 | 35 |
| lp3_11 .FIN2 | 6.57 | 0.16 | 0.364 | 0.009 | 0.55238 | 0.1296 | 0.00055 | 2002 | 42 | 2091 | 7.5 | 2056 | 22 | -4.25634 | 2091 | 15 |
| lp3_12 .FIN2 | 1.789 | 0.043 | 0.1691 | 0.00415 | 0.70451 | 0.0761 | 0.000235 | 1007 | 23 | 1098 | 6 | 1041 | 16 | -8.2878 | 1007 | 46 |
| lp3_13 .FIN2 | 0.731 | 0.0175 | 0.0888 | 0.00215 | 0.29207 | 0.0591 | 0.00029 | 549 | 13 | 570 | 10.5 | 557 | 10.5 | -3.68421 | 549 | 26 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|------|----|
| lp3_14 .FIN2 | 0.693 | 0.0175 | 0.0853 | 0.00215 | 0.4042 | 0.0586 | 0.00055 | 527 | 13 | 543 | 20 | 536 | 11 | -2.94659 | 527 | 26 |
| lp3_15 .FIN2 | 0.681 | 0.0165 | 0.0843 | 0.00205 | 0.16271 | 0.05828 | 0.000325 | 522 | 12 | 549 | 12 | 528 | 10 | -4.91803 | 522 | 24 |
| lp3_16 .FIN2 | 0.694 | 0.017 | 0.0854 | 0.0021 | 0.33057 | 0.05838 | 0.00033 | 528 | 12.5 | 539 | 12 | 535 | 10 | -2.04082 | 528 | 25 |
| lp3_17 .FIN2 | 0.716 | 0.018 | 0.0833 | 0.00205 | 0.1722 | 0.0616 | 0.0005 | 516 | 12 | 652 | 17.5 | 547 | 10.5 | -20.8589 | 516 | 24 |
| lp3_18 .FIN2 | 0.9 | 0.023 | 0.1045 | 0.00255 | 0.18541 | 0.062 | 0.00065 | 640 | 15 | 657 | 22.5 | 650 | 12.5 | -2.58752 | 640 | 30 |
| lp3_19 .FIN2 | 0.698 | 0.017 | 0.087 | 0.0021 | 0.27106 | 0.0581 | 0.000315 | 538 | 12.5 | 524 | 12 | 537 | 10 | 2.671756 | 538 | 25 |
| lp3_20 .FIN2 | 6.11 | 0.145 | 0.351 | 0.0085 | 0.44771 | 0.1256 | 0.0005 | 1938 | 41 | 2033 | 7.5 | 1992 | 21 | -4.6729 | 2033 | 15 |
| lp3_21 .FIN2 | 0.88 | 0.0215 | 0.1035 | 0.0025 | 0.33023 | 0.06106 | 0.000345 | 635 | 14.5 | 645 | 12 | 641 | 11.5 | -1.55039 | 635 | 29 |
| lp3_22 .FIN2 | 0.882 | 0.0215 | 0.1038 | 0.00255 | 0.28201 | 0.06121 | 0.00031 | 637 | 15 | 648 | 11 | 642 | 11.5 | -1.69753 | 637 | 30 |
| lp3_23 .FIN2 | 1.161 | 0.028 | 0.1259 | 0.00305 | 0.31896 | 0.06646 | 0.000315 | 764 | 17.5 | 819 | 10 | 783 | 13.5 | -6.71551 | 764 | 35 |
| lp3_24 .FIN2 | 0.691 | 0.0165 | 0.0847 | 0.00205 | 0.27735 | 0.05888 | 0.00034 | 524 | 12.5 | 557 | 12.5 | 533 | 10 | -5.9246 | 524 | 25 |
| lp3_25 .FIN2 | 0.681 | 0.0165 | 0.0809 | 0.002 | 0.33166 | 0.06086 | 0.00036 | 502 | 12 | 631 | 12.5 | 526 | 10 | -20.4437 | 502 | 24 |
| lp3_26 .FIN2 | 0.784 | 0.019 | 0.0945 | 0.0023 | 0.27174 | 0.05995 | 0.0003 | 582 | 13.5 | 598 | 11 | 588 | 10.5 | -2.67559 | 582 | 27 |
| lp3_27 .FIN2 | 0.692 | 0.0175 | 0.0857 | 0.00215 | 0.31738 | 0.059 | 0.0005 | 530 | 12.5 | 565 | 19 | 534 | 10.5 | -6.19469 | 530 | 25 |
| lp3_28 .FIN2 | 0.683 | 0.0165 | 0.0852 | 0.00205 | 0.43898 | 0.05797 | 0.00029 | 527 | 12.5 | 526 | 11 | 530 | 10 | 0.190114 | 527 | 25 |
| lp3_29 .FIN2 | 0.737 | 0.0195 | 0.09 | 0.0023 | 0.30813 | 0.0586 | 0.00065 | 555 | 13.5 | 543 | 24.5 | 561 | 11 | 2.209945 | 555 | 27 |
| lp3_30 .FIN2 | 0.719 | 0.0175 | 0.0868 | 0.0021 | 0.44848 | 0.06004 | 0.000295 | 537 | 12.5 | 601 | 10.5 | 550 | 10 | -10.6489 | 537 | 25 |
| lp3_31 .FIN2 | 0.725 | 0.0175 | 0.0892 | 0.00215 | 0.34861 | 0.05937 | 0.00029 | 551 | 13 | 576 | 10.5 | 553 | 10 | -4.34028 | 551 | 26 |
| lp3_32 .FIN2 | 0.685 | 0.017 | 0.0862 | 0.0021 | 0.23685 | 0.05759 | 0.00037 | 533 | 12.5 | 509 | 14 | 529 | 10 | 4.715128 | 533 | 25 |
| lp3_33 .FIN2 | 0.703 | 0.0175 | 0.0848 | 0.00205 | 0.26602 | 0.06054 | 0.000425 | 525 | 12.5 | 624 | 15 | 540 | 10 | -15.8654 | 525 | 25 |
| lp3_34 .FIN2 | 0.683 | 0.017 | 0.0858 | 0.0021 | 0.26907 | 0.05826 | 0.000405 | 531 | 12.5 | 536 | 15.5 | 528 | 10 | -0.93284 | 531 | 25 |
| lp3_36 .FIN2 | 0.911 | 0.022 | 0.1082 | 0.00265 | 0.22765 | 0.06157 | 0.00036 | 663 | 15.5 | 657 | 12.5 | 657 | 11.5 | 0.913242 | 663 | 31 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-----|------|------|------|-----|------|----------|-----|----|
| lp3_37 .FIN2 | 0.731 | 0.018 | 0.0875 | 0.00215 | 0.36895 | 0.06151 | 0.00033 | 540 | 12.5 | 653 | 11.5 | 557 | 10.5 | -17.3047 | 540 | 25 |
| lp3_38 .FIN2 | 1.273 | 0.0315 | 0.1251 | 0.00315 | 0.7523 | 0.07465 | 0.000405 | 759 | 18 | 1059 | 11 | 833 | 14 | -28.3286 | 759 | 36 |
| lp3_39 .FIN2 | 0.745 | 0.0185 | 0.0929 | 0.00225 | 0.19118 | 0.05871 | 0.00041 | 572 | 13.5 | 548 | 15.5 | 565 | 11 | 4.379562 | 572 | 27 |
| lp3_40 .FIN2 | 0.873 | 0.021 | 0.1052 | 0.00255 | 0.55224 | 0.06099 | 0.000215 | 645 | 15 | 638 | 7.5 | 637 | 11.5 | 1.097179 | 645 | 30 |
| lp3_41 .FIN2 | 0.945 | 0.024 | 0.1044 | 0.00255 | 0.16329 | 0.0663 | 0.00065 | 640 | 15 | 810 | 20.5 | 676 | 12.5 | -20.9877 | 640 | 30 |
| lp3_42 .FIN2 | 0.734 | 0.0185 | 0.0888 | 0.0022 | 0.4557 | 0.0603 | 0.000445 | 548 | 13 | 615 | 16 | 558 | 10.5 | -10.8943 | 548 | 26 |
| Notes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Error= 1 sigma (except best age error= 2 sigma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rho (ρ)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % discordance = (1-(206/238 age)/(207/206 age))*-100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| red colored data (highlighted below) = data below quality standards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| lp3_35 .FIN2 | 0.863 | 0.023 | 0.0922 | 0.0023 | 0.3591 | 0.0688 | 0.00085 | 569 | 13.5 | 886 | 24 | 631 | 12.5 | -35.7788 | | |

Table B14. Sample LP-3 check standards

| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1σ |
|---------------|---|--------|---|--------|---------|--|----------|--|-----|---|----|--|-----|
| Pleso-1.FIN2 | 0.39 | 0.0095 | 0.0527 | 0.0013 | 0.40389 | 0.05355 | 0.000235 | 331 | 8 | 351 | 10 | 334 | 7 |
| Temora-1.FIN2 | 0.504 | 0.0125 | 0.0659 | 0.0016 | 0.20405 | 0.05524 | 0.00037 | 412 | 9.5 | 425 | 15 | 415 | 8.5 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|----------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| GJ1-1.FIN2 | 0.828 | 0.02 | 0.0997 | 0.0024 | 0.4656 | 0.05996 | 0.000255 | 613 | 14 | 599 | 9 | 612 | 11 |
| Pleso_3.FIN2 | 0.393 | 0.0095 | 0.0532 | 0.0013 | 0.35921 | 0.05302 | 0.000225 | 334 | 8 | 327 | 9.5 | 336 | 7 |
| Pleso_4.FIN2 | 0.4 | 0.0095 | 0.0541 | 0.0013 | 0.20766 | 0.05367 | 0.000285 | 340 | 8 | 356 | 12 | 342 | 7 |
| Notes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Error= 1 sigma | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rho (ρ)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pleso=Plesovitch (337 Ma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tem=Temora (417 Ma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GJ-1= 609 Ma | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table B15. Sample SUS-1

| Location: 30.76761° S, 54.27878° W | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|------------|---|------------|---------|--|------------|--|------------|---|------------|--|------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1 σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1 σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1 σ | %disc | Best Age | 2 σ |
| sus_1. FIN2 | 1.123 | 0.015 | 0.123 | 0.0015 | 0.41518 | 0.06607 | 0.000265 | 748 | 8.5 | 810 | 8.5 | 764 | 7 | -7.65432 | 748 | 17 |
| sus_2. FIN2 | 0.785 | 0.011 | 0.091 | 0.0011 | 0.30227 | 0.06277 | 0.00036 | 562 | 6.5 | 694 | 12 | 587 | 6.5 | -19.0202 | 562 | 13 |
| sus_3. FIN2 | 9.88 | 0.13 | 0.439 | 0.0055 | 0.63572 | 0.16309 | 0.000475 | 2344 | 23.5 | 2486.2 | 4.9 | 2423 | 12 | -5.71957 | 2486.2 | 9.8 |
| sus_6. FIN2 | 0.839 | 0.014 | 0.1013 | 0.00125 | 0.10301 | 0.0602 | 0.00065 | 622 | 7.5 | 594 | 24 | 617 | 7.5 | 4.713805 | 622 | 15 |
| sus_7. FIN2 | 7.09 | 0.095 | 0.3601 | 0.0044 | 0.61364 | 0.14251 | 0.000485 | 1982 | 21 | 2258 | 6 | 2122 | 12 | -12.2232 | 2258 | 12 |
| sus_9. FIN2 | 0.754 | 0.0115 | 0.091 | 0.0011 | 0.14209 | 0.0601 | 0.00055 | 561 | 6.5 | 585 | 19.5 | 570 | 7 | -4.10256 | 561 | 13 |
| sus_10 .FIN2 | 0.987 | 0.0135 | 0.1113 | 0.00135 | 0.32211 | 0.06427 | 0.000335 | 680 | 8 | 746 | 11 | 698 | 7 | -8.84718 | 680 | 16 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|---------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|----------|------|----|
| sus_11 .FIN2 | 0.876 | 0.0125 | 0.1021 | 0.00125 | 0.20755 | 0.06218 | 0.00044 | 627 | 7.5 | 683 | 15 | 638 | 7 | -8.19912 | 627 | 15 |
| sus_13 .FIN2 | 5.01 | 0.085 | 0.2996 | 0.00405 | 0.24211 | 0.12 | 0.0014 | 1688 | 20 | 1971 | 20.5 | 1817 | 14 | -14.3582 | 1971 | 41 |
| sus_14 .FIN2 | 0.753 | 0.013 | 0.0936 | 0.00115 | 0.033072 | 0.058 | 0.0007 | 576 | 7 | 527 | 26 | 568 | 7.5 | 9.297913 | 576 | 14 |
| sus_15 .FIN2 | 0.865 | 0.0115 | 0.0995 | 0.0012 | 0.34526 | 0.06304 | 0.00027 | 612 | 7 | 706 | 9 | 632 | 6.5 | -13.3144 | 612 | 14 |
| sus_16 .FIN2 | 0.686 | 0.01 | 0.0823 | 0.00115 | 0.4257 | 0.06133 | 0.00047 | 509 | 7 | 643 | 16 | 530 | 6 | -20.8398 | 509 | 14 |
| sus_17 .FIN2 | 0.734 | 0.0125 | 0.0924 | 0.0012 | 0.17575 | 0.0578 | 0.00065 | 570 | 7 | 493 | 24.5 | 559 | 7.5 | 15.61866 | 570 | 14 |
| sus_18 .FIN2 | 6.08 | 0.085 | 0.3375 | 0.00415 | 0.61654 | 0.1313 | 0.00055 | 1875 | 20 | 2114 | 7.5 | 1985 | 12 | -11.3056 | 2114 | 15 |
| sus_21 .FIN2 | 0.726 | 0.012 | 0.0914 | 0.00115 | 0.13567 | 0.0583 | 0.00065 | 564 | 6.5 | 506 | 24.5 | 552 | 7 | 11.46245 | 564 | 13 |
| sus_22 .FIN2 | 0.805 | 0.011 | 0.0956 | 0.00115 | 0.27794 | 0.06121 | 0.00028 | 589 | 6.5 | 645 | 9.5 | 600 | 6 | -8.68217 | 589 | 13 |
| sus_23 .FIN2 | 5.95 | 0.08 | 0.3253 | 0.0041 | 0.78415 | 0.13328 | 0.000425 | 1815 | 20 | 2140 | 5.5 | 1967 | 12 | -15.1869 | 2140 | 11 |
| sus_24 .FIN2 | 0.797 | 0.0115 | 0.0959 | 0.00115 | 0.10212 | 0.06075 | 0.00045 | 590 | 7 | 622 | 16 | 595 | 6.5 | -5.14469 | 590 | 14 |
| sus_25 .FIN2 | 7.11 | 0.095 | 0.3714 | 0.00445 | 0.41481 | 0.1394 | 0.00055 | 2036 | 21 | 2219 | 6.5 | 2124 | 12 | -8.24696 | 2219 | 13 |
| sus_26 .FIN2 | 0.832 | 0.016 | 0.0998 | 0.00135 | 0.093409 | 0.0603 | 0.0009 | 614 | 7.5 | 564 | 31.5 | 612 | 9 | 8.865248 | 614 | 15 |
| sus_27 .FIN2 | 6.97 | 0.095 | 0.3644 | 0.0044 | 0.5172 | 0.13989 | 0.00047 | 2002 | 20.5 | 2225 | 6 | 2107 | 12 | -10.0225 | 2225 | 12 |
| sus_28 .FIN2 | 0.768 | 0.011 | 0.0935 | 0.00115 | 0.17406 | 0.05958 | 0.000405 | 576 | 6.5 | 594 | 14.5 | 578 | 6.5 | -3.0303 | 576 | 13 |
| sus_29 .FIN2 | 0.897 | 0.0125 | 0.1038 | 0.00125 | 0.18406 | 0.0627 | 0.000395 | 636 | 7.5 | 695 | 13.5 | 651 | 7 | -8.48921 | 636 | 15 |
| sus_30 .FIN2 | 0.714 | 0.0115 | 0.0896 | 0.0011 | 0.063874 | 0.0579 | 0.00055 | 553 | 6.5 | 525 | 21.5 | 546 | 6.5 | 5.333333 | 553 | 13 |
| sus_31 .FIN2 | 0.779 | 0.017 | 0.0981 | 0.0013 | 0.11809 | 0.0583 | 0.00105 | 603 | 7.5 | 495 | 37 | 581 | 9.5 | 21.81818 | 603 | 15 |
| sus_32 .FIN2 | 0.841 | 0.0115 | 0.1009 | 0.0012 | 0.21761 | 0.06072 | 0.00035 | 620 | 7 | 625 | 12.5 | 619 | 6.5 | -0.8 | 620 | 14 |
| sus_33 .FIN2 | 0.781 | 0.011 | 0.092 | 0.0011 | 0.1999 | 0.0616 | 0.000335 | 567 | 6.5 | 668 | 11.5 | 586 | 6 | -15.1198 | 567 | 13 |
| sus_34 .FIN2 | 0.734 | 0.0125 | 0.0921 | 0.00115 | 0.10693 | 0.0582 | 0.0007 | 568 | 7 | 516 | 25 | 557 | 7 | 10.07752 | 568 | 14 |
| sus_35 .FIN2 | 0.814 | 0.0115 | 0.0963 | 0.00115 | 0.29908 | 0.06188 | 0.00034 | 593 | 7 | 667 | 11.5 | 605 | 6 | -11.0945 | 593 | 14 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|---------|----------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|----------|--------|-----|
| sus_36 .FIN2 | 0.79 | 0.011 | 0.0953 | 0.00115 | 0.25593 | 0.06072 | 0.00035 | 587 | 6.5 | 629 | 12 | 592 | 6 | -6.67727 | 587 | 13 |
| sus_37 .FIN2 | 0.822 | 0.012 | 0.0952 | 0.00115 | 0.10463 | 0.0625 | 0.00055 | 586 | 7 | 689 | 18.5 | 608 | 6.5 | -14.9492 | 586 | 14 |
| sus_38 .FIN2 | 4.56 | 0.065 | 0.3092 | 0.0039 | 0.3176 | 0.1078 | 0.00075 | 1736 | 19 | 1763 | 12.5 | 1742 | 12 | -1.53148 | 1763 | 25 |
| sus_39 .FIN2 | 0.835 | 0.0115 | 0.0952 | 0.00115 | 0.39145 | 0.06382 | 0.000275 | 586 | 7 | 739 | 9 | 616 | 6.5 | -20.7037 | 586 | 14 |
| sus_40 .FIN2 | 0.836 | 0.0135 | 0.1003 | 0.00125 | 0.37818 | 0.0598 | 0.00055 | 616 | 7.5 | 585 | 20 | 614 | 7.5 | 5.299145 | 616 | 15 |
| sus_41 .FIN2 | 0.902 | 0.012 | 0.1051 | 0.00125 | 0.32543 | 0.06201 | 0.00029 | 644 | 7.5 | 679 | 10 | 653 | 6.5 | -5.15464 | 644 | 15 |
| sus_44 .FIN2 | 0.83 | 0.0115 | 0.0935 | 0.0011 | 0.11115 | 0.06446 | 0.000375 | 576 | 6.5 | 751 | 12.5 | 614 | 6.5 | -23.3023 | 576 | 13 |
| sus_45 .FIN2 | 0.939 | 0.013 | 0.1114 | 0.00135 | 0.27698 | 0.06124 | 0.00034 | 681 | 7.5 | 647 | 12 | 672 | 7 | 5.255023 | 681 | 15 |
| sus_46 .FIN2 | 0.703 | 0.0095 | 0.0833 | 0.001 | 0.078952 | 0.06229 | 0.00042 | 515 | 6 | 669 | 14 | 540 | 5.5 | -23.0194 | 515 | 12 |
| sus_47 .FIN2 | 0.819 | 0.0115 | 0.0995 | 0.0012 | 0.20312 | 0.06012 | 0.000365 | 612 | 7 | 604 | 13 | 607 | 6.5 | 1.324503 | 612 | 14 |
| sus_49 .FIN2 | 1.741 | 0.0245 | 0.1693 | 0.00205 | 0.37704 | 0.07444 | 0.00039 | 1008 | 11 | 1046 | 10.5 | 1022 | 9 | -3.63289 | 1008 | 22 |
| sus_51 .FIN2 | 0.736 | 0.01 | 0.0901 | 0.0011 | 0.59901 | 0.05924 | 0.00029 | 556 | 6.5 | 576 | 10.5 | 559 | 6 | -3.47222 | 556 | 13 |
| sus_52 .FIN2 | 0.761 | 0.012 | 0.0913 | 0.00115 | 0.1563 | 0.0606 | 0.0006 | 563 | 6.5 | 605 | 20.5 | 573 | 7 | -6.94215 | 563 | 13 |
| sus_53 .FIN2 | 8.99 | 0.125 | 0.403 | 0.005 | 0.84911 | 0.1616 | 0.00045 | 2185 | 23.5 | 2471.5 | 4.65 | 2334 | 12.5 | -11.5922 | 2471.5 | 9.3 |
| sus_54 .FIN2 | 6.03 | 0.08 | 0.3453 | 0.00415 | 0.5279 | 0.1273 | 0.0005 | 1911 | 20 | 2065 | 7 | 1980 | 12 | -7.45763 | 2065 | 14 |
| sus_55 .FIN2 | 0.798 | 0.011 | 0.0954 | 0.00115 | 0.22746 | 0.06083 | 0.0003 | 588 | 6.5 | 631 | 10.5 | 595 | 6 | -6.81458 | 588 | 13 |
| sus_56 .FIN2 | 0.838 | 0.0115 | 0.0977 | 0.00115 | 0.2872 | 0.06193 | 0.00027 | 601 | 7 | 668 | 9 | 618 | 6 | -10.0299 | 601 | 14 |
| sus_58 .FIN2 | 5.38 | 0.085 | 0.3061 | 0.00405 | 0.38695 | 0.1277 | 0.0011 | 1722 | 20 | 2054 | 15 | 1881 | 13.5 | -16.1636 | 2054 | 30 |
| sus_59 .FIN2 | 0.807 | 0.0115 | 0.0972 | 0.0012 | 0.21289 | 0.0599 | 0.000415 | 598 | 7 | 597 | 15 | 601 | 6.5 | 0.167504 | 598 | 14 |
| sus_61 .FIN2 | 1.053 | 0.014 | 0.1107 | 0.00135 | 0.30809 | 0.06909 | 0.000275 | 677 | 7.5 | 900 | 8 | 730 | 7 | -24.7778 | 677 | 15 |
| sus_62 .FIN2 | 0.753 | 0.0105 | 0.0877 | 0.00105 | 0.36587 | 0.06259 | 0.0003 | 542 | 6 | 694 | 10 | 570 | 6 | -21.902 | 542 | 12 |
| sus_63 .FIN2 | 0.709 | 0.0105 | 0.0879 | 0.00105 | 0.15893 | 0.05877 | 0.000485 | 543 | 6.5 | 547 | 18 | 543 | 6.5 | -0.73126 | 543 | 13 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|---------|----------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|----------|------|----|
| sus_65 .FIN2 | 1.17 | 0.016 | 0.1293 | 0.00155 | 0.23806 | 0.06579 | 0.00032 | 784 | 9 | 800 | 10 | 787 | 7.5 | -2 | 784 | 18 |
| sus_68 .FIN2 | 6.69 | 0.09 | 0.3787 | 0.00455 | 0.52607 | 0.12866 | 0.000475 | 2071 | 21 | 2080 | 6.5 | 2071 | 12 | -0.43269 | 2080 | 13 |
| sus_69 .FIN2 | 1.409 | 0.0195 | 0.1406 | 0.00175 | 0.67508 | 0.07311 | 0.000315 | 848 | 10 | 1017 | 8.5 | 892 | 8.5 | -16.6175 | 848 | 20 |
| sus_70 .FIN2 | 0.768 | 0.012 | 0.0949 | 0.0012 | 0.28123 | 0.0589 | 0.0005 | 584 | 7 | 561 | 19.5 | 579 | 7 | 4.099822 | 584 | 14 |
| sus_71 .FIN2 | 0.833 | 0.017 | 0.1011 | 0.0014 | 0.14858 | 0.0597 | 0.00095 | 620 | 8 | 576 | 34.5 | 614 | 9 | 7.638889 | 620 | 16 |
| sus_74 .FIN2 | 0.849 | 0.012 | 0.1018 | 0.00125 | 0.2236 | 0.06012 | 0.00039 | 625 | 7 | 601 | 14 | 624 | 6.5 | 3.993344 | 625 | 14 |
| sus_75 .FIN2 | 0.784 | 0.0125 | 0.0963 | 0.0012 | 0.072615 | 0.0588 | 0.0006 | 593 | 7 | 552 | 23 | 587 | 7 | 7.427536 | 593 | 14 |
| sus_76 .FIN2 | 1.026 | 0.015 | 0.1214 | 0.0015 | 0.27986 | 0.06138 | 0.000435 | 738 | 8.5 | 650 | 15 | 716 | 7.5 | 13.53846 | 738 | 17 |
| sus_77 .FIN2 | 1.069 | 0.0185 | 0.1275 | 0.00165 | 0.094647 | 0.0613 | 0.0008 | 774 | 9.5 | 625 | 26 | 739 | 9 | 23.84 | 774 | 19 |
| Notes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Error= 1 sigma (except best age error= 2 sigma) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rho (ρ)= error correlation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| % discordance = (1-(206/238 age)/(207/206 age))*-100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| red colored data (highlighted below) = data below quality standards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| all data corrected for common Pb | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| sus_4. .FIN2 | 0.748 | 0.01 | 0.0703 | 0.00085 | 0.43377 | 0.07688 | 0.000285 | 438 | 5 | 1117 | 7.5 | 567 | 6 | -60.7878 | | |
| sus_5. .FIN2 | 35.9 | 0.6 | 0.365 | 0.0065 | 0.49347 | 0.721 | 0.009 | 2012 | 29 | 4781 | 20.5 | 3664 | 17.5 | -57.9168 | | |
| sus_8. .FIN2 | 0.851 | 0.0125 | 0.0901 | 0.0011 | 0.33597 | 0.06841 | 0.000435 | 556 | 6.5 | 885 | 13 | 625 | 6.5 | -37.1751 | | |
| sus_12 .FIN2 | 1.319 | 0.0305 | 0.1039 | 0.0014 | 0.28408 | 0.0912 | 0.0016 | 638 | 8 | 1406 | 33.5 | 846 | 13.5 | -54.623 | | |
| sus_19 .FIN2 | 1.88 | 0.085 | 0.1149 | 0.0016 | 0.90125 | 0.113 | 0.0039 | 701 | 9.5 | 1650 | 55 | 1017 | 26.5 | -57.5152 | | |
| sus_20 .FIN2 | 0.74 | 0.0105 | 0.0694 | 0.00105 | 0.70764 | 0.0779 | 0.00055 | 433 | 6.5 | 1145 | 14 | 562 | 6 | -62.1834 | | |
| sus_42 .FIN2 | 0.866 | 0.0115 | 0.0708 | 0.0009 | 0.29612 | 0.09 | 0.00055 | 441 | 5.5 | 1428 | 11.5 | 633 | 6.5 | -69.1176 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|----------|--|--|
| sus_43 .FIN2 | 0.803 | 0.011 | 0.0901 | 0.00115 | 0.37712 | 0.06474 | 0.000365 | 556 | 7 | 768 | 12 | 598 | 6.5 | -27.6042 | | |
| sus_48 .FIN2 | 4.42 | 0.07 | 0.2619 | 0.0039 | 0.94761 | 0.12325 | 0.00033 | 1497 | 20 | 2005.1 | 4.85 | 1709 | 13 | -25.3404 | | |
| sus_50 .FIN2 | 0.895 | 0.0125 | 0.076 | 0.00115 | 0.55998 | 0.0868 | 0.0007 | 472 | 7 | 1351 | 15.5 | 649 | 6.5 | -65.0629 | | |
| sus_57 .FIN2 | 5.86 | 0.095 | 0.2199 | 0.0032 | 0.95765 | 0.1928 | 0.00055 | 1279 | 16.5 | 2767.7 | 4.55 | 1950 | 13.5 | -53.7883 | | |
| sus_60 .FIN2 | 1.74 | 0.024 | 0.1205 | 0.00145 | 0.3396 | 0.1043 | 0.0005 | 733 | 8.5 | 1705 | 9 | 1023 | 9 | -57.0088 | | |
| sus_64 .FIN2 | 0.845 | 0.012 | 0.0873 | 0.0013 | 0.27422 | 0.0711 | 0.0007 | 539 | 7.5 | 948 | 19.5 | 621 | 6.5 | -43.1435 | | |
| sus_66 .FIN2 | 0.78 | 0.012 | 0.0654 | 0.0016 | 0.8285 | 0.0974 | 0.00175 | 406 | 10 | 1472 | 33 | 584 | 7 | -72.4185 | | |
| sus_67 .FIN2 | 0.826 | 0.011 | 0.0866 | 0.00105 | 0.35099 | 0.0699 | 0.000345 | 535 | 6 | 928 | 10 | 611 | 6 | -42.3491 | | |
| sus_72 .FIN2 | 1.22 | 0.029 | 0.113 | 0.0028 | 0.61669 | 0.0841 | 0.0009 | 688 | 16 | 1288 | 21 | 800 | 12 | -46.5839 | | |
| sus_73 .FIN2 | 6.61 | 0.24 | 0.277 | 0.0085 | 0.14634 | 0.181 | 0.0055 | 1564 | 41.5 | 2600 | 60 | 2057 | 32.5 | -39.8462 | | |

255

Table B16. Sample SUS-1 check standards

| Spot | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ | 1σ | ρ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁶ / U ²³⁸ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / Pb ²⁰⁶ age | 1σ | Pb ²⁰⁷ / U ²³⁵ age | 1σ |
|---------------|---|--------|---|---------|----------|--|----------|--|------|---|------|--|------|
| Pleso_1.FIN2 | 0.39 | 0.0055 | 0.0523 | 0.00065 | 0.41544 | 0.05414 | 0.000255 | 328.8 | 3.9 | 381 | 10.5 | 334.7 | 3.9 |
| temora_1.FIN2 | 0.49 | 0.0075 | 0.0653 | 0.0008 | 0.22485 | 0.0548 | 0.0005 | 407.7 | 4.85 | 390 | 20 | 404 | 5.5 |
| Gj1_1.FIN2 | 0.81 | 0.011 | 0.0976 | 0.0012 | 0.42917 | 0.06051 | 0.000275 | 600 | 7 | 621 | 10 | 602 | 6 |
| GJ1_2.FIN2 | 0.837 | 0.0115 | 0.0995 | 0.0012 | 0.35541 | 0.06092 | 0.0003 | 611 | 7 | 632 | 10.5 | 618 | 6.5 |
| Pleso_2.FIN2 | 0.383 | 0.0055 | 0.0521 | 0.00065 | 0.25416 | 0.05358 | 0.000285 | 327.6 | 3.85 | 346 | 12 | 330 | 3.85 |
| Temora_2.FIN2 | 0.475 | 0.008 | 0.0643 | 0.0008 | 0.043736 | 0.0535 | 0.00065 | 401.9 | 4.8 | 343 | 25 | 395 | 5.5 |
| Pleso_3.FIN2 | 0.38 | 0.005 | 0.0517 | 0.0006 | 0.31335 | 0.0534 | 0.00027 | 325.2 | 3.8 | 338 | 11.5 | 327.4 | 3.85 |
| GJ1_3.FIN2 | 0.813 | 0.011 | 0.0983 | 0.0012 | 0.37161 | 0.06011 | 0.00028 | 604 | 7 | 601 | 10.5 | 604 | 6 |
| Pleso_4.FIN2 | 0.407 | 0.0055 | 0.0551 | 0.00065 | 0.29328 | 0.05338 | 0.000255 | 346 | 4.05 | 340 | 10.5 | 346.7 | 3.95 |

Fig. B1. MDP6 concordia plot




Fig. B2. MDP6 check standards concordia plot




Fig. B3. MP concordia Plot




Fig. B4. MP check standards concordia plot




Fig. B5. AND-1 concordia Plot




Fig. B6. AND-1 check standards concordia plot




Fig. B7. LMF-2 concordia plot




Fig. B8. LMF-2 check standards concordia plot




Fig. B9. LMF-3 concordia plot




Fig. B10. LMF-3 check standards concordia plot




Fig. B11. LMF-5 concordia plot




Fig. B12. LMF-5 check standards concordia plot




Fig. B13. LP-3 concordia plot




Fig. B14. LP-3 check standards concordia plot




Fig. B15. SUS-1 concordia plot





Fig. B16. SUS-1 check standards concordia plot



Appendix C: Geochemical data

Table C1. Major element geochemical data (Chapter 3)

| Location: 30° 45.959' S, 54° 16.852' W | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|------|
| Sample | cm from base of rhythmites | SiO ₂ (%) | TiO ₂ (%) | Al ₂ O ₃ (%) | Fe ₂ O ₃ (%) | MnO (%) | MgO (%) | CaO (%) | Na ₂ O (%) | K ₂ O (%) | P ₂ O ₅ (%) | Sum (%) | LOI (%) | CIA |
| s14 | 140 | 67.78 | 0.73 | 15.12 | 5.06 | 0.03 | 1.62 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 4.34 | 0.03 | 95.15 | 4.68 | 73.6 |
| s13 | 130 | 67.29 | 0.77 | 15.56 | 4.99 | 0.02 | 1.75 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 4.52 | 0.04 | 95.37 | 4.47 | 73.6 |
| s12 | 120 | 66.14 | 0.78 | 16.06 | 5.36 | 0.1 | 1.77 | 0.4 | 0.06 | 4.6 | 0.04 | 95.31 | 4.51 | 73.5 |
| s11 | 100 | 65.22 | 0.77 | 16.21 | 5.39 | 0.02 | 1.81 | 0.4 | 0.06 | 4.65 | 0.03 | 94.56 | 5.27 | 73.7 |
| s10 | 90 | 66.01 | 0.78 | 16.04 | 5.15 | 0.05 | 1.77 | 0.4 | 0.06 | 4.65 | 0.03 | 94.94 | 4.9 | 73.5 |
| s9 | 80 | 65.34 | 0.77 | 15.87 | 5.1 | 0.05 | 1.77 | 0.4 | 0.06 | 4.6 | 0.03 | 93.99 | 5.85 | 73.5 |
| s8 | 70 | 51.92 | 0.69 | 13.64 | 5.39 | 0.02 | 1.69 | 0.4 | 0.08 | 4.43 | 0.02 | 78.28 | 21.56 | 70.9 |
| s7 | 60 | 63.72 | 0.82 | 16.78 | 5.84 | 0.05 | 1.9 | 0.44 | 0.06 | 4.92 | 0.03 | 94.56 | 5.27 | 73.2 |
| s6 | 50 | 65.85 | 0.82 | 15.98 | 5.62 | 0.03 | 1.65 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 4.73 | 0.02 | 95.21 | 4.6 | 72.8 |
| s5 | 40 | 66.88 | 0.85 | 14.96 | 4.93 | 0.06 | 1.41 | 0.35 | 0.13 | 4.53 | 0.03 | 94.13 | 5.68 | 72.5 |
| s4 | 30 | 72.67 | 0.75 | 13.39 | 3.11 | 0.25 | 0.85 | 0.22 | 0.58 | 3.89 | 0.03 | 95.74 | 4.03 | 70.9 |
| s3 | 20 | 74.59 | 0.61 | 13.27 | 1.89 | 0.12 | 0.67 | 0.18 | 0.95 | 3.61 | 0.08 | 95.97 | 3.8 | 70.3 |
| s2 | 10 | 73.65 | 0.57 | 12.57 | 3.56 | 0.03 | 0.68 | 0.22 | 1.58 | 3.44 | 0.09 | 96.39 | 3.41 | 65.9 |
| s1 | 0 | 71.84 | 0.56 | 12.38 | 3.49 | 0.03 | 0.68 | 0.22 | 1.58 | 3.45 | 0.08 | 94.31 | 5.47 | 65.4 |
| CIA=chemical index of alteration after Nesbitt and Young (1982) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOI= loss on ignition | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sum=pre-normalized sum, excluding LOI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All Fe reported as Fe ₂ O ₃ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table C2. Trace element geochemical data #1 (Chapter 3)

| Sample | Sc (ppm) | V (ppm) | Cr (ppm) | Co (ppm) | Ni (ppm) | Rb (ppm) | Sr (ppm) | Y (ppm) | Zr (ppm) | Nb (ppm) | Cs (ppm) | Ba (ppm) | La (ppm) | Ce (ppm) | Pr (ppm) | Rb/K |
|--------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| s14 | 13 | 123 | 75 | 8 | 45 | 175 | 80 | 49 | 169 | 17 | 6 | 637 | 45 | 85 | 13 | 0.0049 |
| s13 | 15 | 129 | 81 | 6 | 46 | 185 | 87 | 59 | 171 | 18 | 6 | 666 | 63 | 119 | 20 | 0.0049 |
| s12 | 14 | 135 | 82 | 19 | 67 | 185 | 84 | 47 | 177 | 18 | 6 | 758 | 55 | 130 | 19 | 0.0048 |
| s11 | 15 | 136 | 80 | 6 | 47 | 184 | 83 | 43 | 179 | 18 | 6 | 641 | 45 | 94 | 14 | 0.0048 |
| s10 | 14 | 134 | 82 | 12 | 57 | 184 | 81 | 43 | 178 | 18 | 6 | 659 | 42 | 87 | 12 | 0.0048 |
| s9 | 13 | 131 | 82 | 12 | 57 | 182 | 79 | 40 | 171 | 18 | 6. | 642 | 40 | 85 | 12 | 0.0048 |
| s8 | 12 | 137 | 79 | 6 | 49 | 171 | 73 | 32 | 138 | 15 | 5 | 586 | 22 | 37 | 5. | 0.0047 |
| s7 | 15 | 163 | 92 | 10 | 65 | 191 | 87 | 40 | 184 | 18 | 6 | 715 | 27 | 45 | 6 | 0.0047 |
| s6 | 13 | 151 | 80 | 8 | 51 | 169 | 91 | 36 | 180 | 18 | 5 | 740 | 25 | 43 | 6 | 0.0043 |
| s5 | 13 | 140 | 88 | 9 | 48 | 150 | 97 | 41 | 190 | 19 | 4 | 854 | 25 | 41 | 7 | 0.0040 |
| s4 | 9 | 90 | 56 | 16 | 60 | 120 | 122 | 30 | 227 | 17 | 2 | 1188 | 19 | 40 | 5 | 0.0037 |
| s3 | 9 | 58 | 46 | 7 | 22 | 99 | 271 | 29 | 180 | 14 | 1 | 1032 | 96 | 145 | 16 | 0.0033 |
| s2 | 9 | 77 | 53 | 5 | 27 | 100 | 354 | 26 | 256 | 13 | 2 | 915 | 96 | 154 | 15 | 0.0035 |
| s1 | 8 | 76 | 53 | 5 | 27 | 100 | 361 | 27 | 249 | 13 | 2 | 943 | 98 | 154 | 15 | 0.0035 |

Table C3. Trace element geochemical data #2 (Chapter 3)

| Sample | Nd (ppm) | Sm (ppm) | Eu (ppm) | Gd (ppm) | Tb (ppm) | Dy (ppm) | Ho (ppm) | Er (ppm) | Tm (ppm) | Yb (ppm) | Lu (ppm) | Hf (ppm) | Ta (ppm) | Pb (ppm) | Th (ppm) | U (ppm) |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| s14 | 56.44 | 12.53 | 2.51 | 11.34 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.72 | 4.65 | 0.72 | 4.40 | 0.66 | 4.66 | 1.38 | 16.24 | 18.94 | 6.79 |
| s13 | 85.92 | 19.06 | 3.60 | 16.13 | 2.18 | 2.18 | 2.16 | 5.67 | 0.85 | 5.22 | 0.79 | 4.89 | 1.55 | 13.82 | 23.28 | 7.91 |
| s12 | 80.20 | 17.46 | 3.20 | 13.60 | 1.78 | 1.78 | 1.72 | 4.65 | 0.71 | 4.43 | 0.67 | 4.87 | 1.47 | 23.56 | 20.58 | 7.18 |
| s11 | 60.93 | 13.24 | 2.45 | 10.73 | 1.46 | 1.46 | 1.53 | 4.33 | 0.68 | 4.26 | 0.65 | 4.88 | 1.47 | 13.85 | 20.36 | 6.66 |
| s10 | 54.12 | 11.74 | 2.21 | 9.81 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 1.51 | 4.24 | 0.67 | 4.26 | 0.65 | 4.82 | 1.48 | 15.29 | 20.04 | 6.66 |
| s9 | 51.40 | 11.07 | 2.15 | 9.34 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 1.44 | 4.02 | 0.63 | 4.05 | 0.61 | 4.60 | 1.42 | 15.25 | 19.19 | 6.56 |
| s8 | 22.92 | 5.04 | 1.11 | 5.11 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 1.09 | 3.20 | 0.51 | 3.34 | 0.51 | 3.51 | 1.16 | 13.74 | 16.20 | 5.25 |
| s7 | 28.10 | 6.21 | 1.33 | 6.49 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.40 | 4.14 | 0.66 | 4.29 | 0.65 | 4.80 | 1.46 | 16.00 | 20.38 | 6.27 |
| s6 | 29.30 | 6.33 | 1.36 | 6.36 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1.23 | 3.55 | 0.57 | 3.63 | 0.55 | 4.79 | 1.38 | 14.60 | 17.20 | 5.74 |
| s5 | 30.71 | 7.02 | 1.50 | 7.22 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.35 | 3.82 | 0.59 | 3.68 | 0.56 | 5.25 | 1.42 | 16.95 | 15.37 | 5.79 |
| s4 | 25.56 | 5.94 | 1.32 | 5.95 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 1.04 | 2.96 | 0.46 | 2.88 | 0.43 | 5.99 | 1.16 | 20.70 | 10.53 | 4.64 |
| s3 | 56.21 | 8.72 | 1.69 | 6.76 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 2.50 | 0.37 | 2.27 | 0.34 | 4.71 | 0.91 | 14.91 | 7.00 | 3.93 |
| s2 | 53.48 | 7.61 | 1.50 | 6.09 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 2.44 | 0.37 | 2.33 | 0.35 | 6.62 | 0.95 | 21.82 | 10.61 | 2.06 |
| s1 | 53.87 | 7.57 | 1.52 | 6.10 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.91 | 2.47 | 0.37 | 2.33 | 0.36 | 6.45 | 0.95 | 21.78 | 10.72 | 2.06 |

Appendix D: Paleocurrent, grooved surfaces, and structural data from study area

Table D1. Paleocurrent measurements from Chapter 2 - Morro do Papaleo

| Cross-Stratification, Lower Sandstone Beds (Dip Direction) | Cross-Stratification, Upper Sandstone Beds (Dip Direction) |
|---|---|
| 214 | 279 |
| 219 | 314 |
| 214 | 224 |
| 244 | 294 |
| 249 | 299 |
| 224 | 304 |
| 204 | 324 |
| 184 | 309 |
| 164 | 314 |
| 264 | 209 |
| 214 | |
| 304 | |

Table D2. Paleocurrent and structural measurements from Chapter 3 - Ibaré railroad track succession

| Cross-Stratification (Dip direction) | Fold Axes (Trend/Plunge) | Shear Planes (Strike/Dip) |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 313 | 31/25 | 58/25 |
| 236 | 31/28 | 30/21 |
| 279 | 53/40 | 38/11 |
| 1 | 66/35 | 00/45 |
| 345 | 106/35 | |
| 26 | 18/28 | |
| 21 | 16/26 | |
| 300 | 6/18 | |
| 292 | 4/22 | |
| 284 | 44/27 | |
| 340 | 11/26 | |
| 305 | 76/9 | |
| 252 | 21/13 | |
| 322 | 21/10 | |
| 302 | 70/32 | |
| 305 | 106/34 | |

| | | |
|--|--------|--|
| | 61/21 | |
| | 98/36 | |
| | 78/25 | |
| | 81/34 | |
| | 166/33 | |
| | 40/28 | |
| | 46/15 | |
| | 56/5 | |
| | 76/6 | |
| | 72/12 | |
| | 46/31 | |
| | 246/10 | |
| | 226/70 | |
| | 246/13 | |
| | 226/14 | |
| | 85/12 | |
| | 77/10 | |
| | 72/5 | |
| | 271/5 | |
| | 64/3 | |
| | 92/8 | |
| | 85/7 | |
| | 270/5 | |
| | 88/5 | |
| | 72/8 | |
| | 68/1 | |
| | 42/1 | |
| | 195/1 | |

Table D3. Paleocurrent measurements from Chapter 4

| Cross-Stratification, Location 1 (Dip Direction) | Cross-Stratification, Location 2 (Dip Direction) | Cross-Stratification, Location 3 (Dip Direction) |
|---|---|---|
| 313 | 152 | 307 |
| 236 | 162 | 267 |
| 279 | 192 | 227 |
| 1 | 177 | 212 |
| 345 | 187 | 272 |
| 26 | 127 | 229 |
| 21 | 157 | 227 |

| | | |
|-----|-----|-----|
| 300 | 122 | 272 |
| 292 | 124 | |
| 284 | 127 | |
| 340 | 172 | |
| 305 | 127 | |
| 252 | 172 | |
| 322 | 172 | |
| 302 | | |
| 305 | | |

Table D4. Orientations of grooved surfaces in study area

| 31.29815° S, 53.58142° W | 30° 26.219' S, 53° 5.636' W | 32° 39.323' S, 54° 34.964' W |
|--|--|--|
| Grooved Surface Near Bagé, Brazil (Trend) | Grooved Surface Near Cachoeira do Sul, Brazil (Trend) | Grooved Surfaces Near Melo, Uruguay (Trend) |
| 16 | 2 | 212 |
| 21 | 356 | 212 |
| 19 | 1 | 210 |
| 12 | 3 | 210 |
| 16 | 356 | 210 |
| 21 | 359 | 212 |
| 16 | 3 | 208 |
| 16 | 0 | 212 |
| | 3 | 177 |
| | 3 | 167 |
| | 3 | 247 |
| | 0 | 207 |
| | 3 | 207 |
| | 5 | 207 |
| | 357 | 213 |
| | 356 | 196 |
| | 0 | 206 |
| | 4 | 206 |
| | 2 | 337 |
| | 7 | 328 |
| | 4 | 337 |
| | 8 | 326 |
| | 8 | 327 |
| | 1 | 337 |

| | | |
|--|-----|-----|
| | 7 | 328 |
| | 358 | 328 |
| | 2 | 336 |
| | 4 | 332 |
| | 3 | 337 |
| | 1 | 322 |
| | 7 | 322 |
| | 8 | 321 |
| | 8 | 332 |
| | 1 | 332 |
| | 4 | 330 |
| | 5 | 330 |
| | 6 | 332 |
| | 1 | 337 |
| | 2 | 15 |
| | 2 | 312 |
| | 356 | |
| | 0 | |

Appendix E: Detailed stratigraphic columns

Fig. E1. Chapter 3, Location 1 stratigraphic column




Fig. E2. Chapter 3, Location 2 stratigraphic column




Fig. E3. Chapter 3, Location 3 stratigraphic column




Fig. E4. Chapter 3, Location 4 stratigraphic column




Fig. E5. Chapter 3, Location 5 stratigraphic column




Fig. E7. Core LA-14, 20-40 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E8. Core LA-14, 40-60 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E9. Core LA-14, 60-77 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E10. Core CA-53, 0-20 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E11. Core CA-53, 20-40 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E12. Core CA-53, 40-60 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E13. Core CA-53, 60-80 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E14. Core CA-53, 80-100 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E15. Core CA-53, 100-120 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E16. Core CA-53, 120-140 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E17. Core CA-53, 140-152 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E18. Core IB-94, 0-20 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E19. Core IB-94, 20-40 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E20. Core IB-94, 40-60 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E21. Core IB-94, 60-68 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E23. Core AB-06, 20-40 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E24. Core AB-06, 40-60 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E25. Core AB-06, 60-80 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E26. Core AB-06, 80-100 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E27. Core AB-06, 100-120 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E28. Core AB-06, 120-140 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E29. Core AB-06, 140-160 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E30. Core AB-06, 160-180 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E31. Core AB-06, 180-200 m, stratigraphic column




Fig. E32. Core AB-06, 200-220 m, stratigraphic column





Fig. E33. Core AB-06, 220-240 m, stratigraphic column



Curriculum Vitae

Nicholas David Fedorchuk

EDUCATION

May 2019, PhD, Geosciences, The University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI

- Dissertation: *Testing the late Paleozoic ice-volume paradox in the southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil*, Adviser: Dr. John Isbell
- Coursework: *General Soil Science, Physical Sedimentology, Stable Isotope Geochemistry, Glacial and Pleistocene Geology, Sequence Stratigraphy and Basin Analysis, Advanced Topics in Clastic Sedimentology*

2014, M.S., Geosciences, The University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI

- Thesis: *Evaluating the biogenicity of Mesoproterozoic fluvial-lacustrine stromatolites from the Copper Harbor Conglomerate, Upper Peninsula of Michigan*, Adviser: Dr. Stephen Dornbos
- Coursework: *Carbonate Sedimentology, Early Animal Evolution, Isotope Biogeochemistry, Sedimentation and Stratigraphy, GIS Applications for Geoscience, Mid-Phanerozoic Climates, Environments and Life, History of Geologic Thought, X-Ray Analytical Methods*

2012, B.A. (cum laude), Geology (Departmental Honors, Senior Thesis Honors), History Minor, The College of Wooster, Wooster, OH

- Senior Thesis: *Stratigraphy and Paleoecology at the Wenlock/Ludlow Boundary on Saaremaa Island, Estonia*, Adviser: Dr. Mark Wilson
- Major Coursework: *Sedimentology and Stratigraphy, Invertebrate Paleontology, Structural Geology, Geomorphology and Hydrogeology, Introduction to GIS, Processes and Concepts in Geology, Mineralogy, Igneous and Metamorphic Petrology, Desert Geology, Oil and Gas Geology, History of Life*
- Related Coursework: *Chemistry 1, Chemistry 2, Calculus with Algebra A, Calculus with Algebra B, Physics 1, Physics 2*

ADDITIONAL EDUCATION

- 2017, Geological Society of America Short Course: *U-Pb geochronology, O and Hf isotopes, trace elements applied to detrital minerals*, Seattle, WA
- 2014, Weatherford International Short Course: *Well Log Analysis and Interpretation*, Madison, WI
- 2013, GSA/ Exxon Mobil Short Course: *Sequence Stratigraphy for Graduate Students*, Denver, CO

- 2012, Six Week Field Mapping Course: South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, SD
- 2011, Wooster Summer in Tuscany Study Abroad Program, Siena, Italy

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

2019 (Scheduled), Lecturer, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI

- *Sedimentology and Stratigraphy* (Spring, 2019)
- Design and teach 15 week (6 hours/week) upper level geology course to majors
- Responsibilities: design course material and syllabus, give lectures and demonstrations, set up and take down demonstration materials, hold office hours, supervise teaching assistants, create and grade homework assignments, projects, in-class lab exercises, and exams

2018, Adjunct Lecturer, Upper Iowa University, Milwaukee, WI

- Course taught: *Natural Disasters* (Spring, 2018)
- Designed and taught 8 week (4.5 hours/week) introductory geology course on natural disasters
- Responsibilities: design course material and syllabus, give lecture, provide one-on-one lab instruction, set up and take down lab materials, create and grade homework assignments, projects, in-class lab exercises, and exams

2015-2017, Substitute Lecturer, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI

- Courses taught: *Sedimentology and Stratigraphy* (Spring 2015, Spring 2016) and *Sequence Stratigraphy and Basin Analysis* (Spring, 2017).
- Taught upper level geology classes (4 hours/week) for adviser (Dr. John Isbell) while he was in the field (Argentina and Antarctica) for 2-3 weeks
- Responsibilities: lecture and conduct laboratory demonstrations, set up and take down demonstrations/lab activities

2012-2016, Graduate Teaching Assistant, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI

- Courses taught: *Introduction to the Earth Lab* (Fall 2012, Fall 2013, Spring 2014), *History of Life Lab* (Spring 2013, Fall 2014), *Principles of Historical Geology Lab* (Fall 2015, Spring 2016)
- Each semester 2-3 laboratory sections (~4 hours each week in class) with 10-30 students in each section
- Responsibilities: setting up and taking down laboratory materials/samples, giving a 20-40 minute lecture on the course material at the start of each class, providing one-on-one assistance during lab activities, holding review sessions and office hours, administering laboratory exams, proctoring lecture exams, and grading all laboratory course materials

2010-2012, Geology Department Undergraduate Teaching Assistant, College of Wooster, Wooster, OH

- Courses: *First Year Seminar* (2010), *Processes and Concepts in Geology* (2011), *Sedimentology and Stratigraphy* (2012)
- Responsibilities: helping to set up/take down labs, provide extra assistance to students during lab sections, meet with students outside of class to provide assistance reviewing for exams and working on independent projects

RESEARCH EXPERIENCE

2014-Present, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Dissertation Research, Milwaukee, WI

- Dissertation title: *Testing the late Paleozoic ice-volume paradox in the southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil*, Adviser: Dr. John Isbell
- 4 field seasons (3-4 weeks each) to southern Brazil and Uruguay (summer 2014, 2015, 2016, 2018)
- Collaborators from UC-Davis, UC-Berkley, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Federal University of Paraná (UFPR)
- Funded by the US National Science Foundation (NSF), UWM Research Growth Initiative, graduate student grants

2012-2014, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Master's Thesis, Milwaukee, WI

- Thesis title: *Evaluating the biogenicity of Mesoproterozoic fluvial-lacustrine stromatolites from the Copper Harbor Conglomerate, Upper Peninsula of Michigan*, Adviser: Dr. Stephen Dornbos
- Field work conducted in Upper Peninsula of Michigan during 2 weeks of summer 2013
- Collaborators from the University of Southern California (USC)
- Funded by graduate student grants

2012-2014, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Additional Research, Milwaukee, WI

- Title: *Permian diamictites in Northeastern Asia: their significance concerning the bipolarity of the late Paleozoic ice age*, Adviser: Dr. John Isbell
- Field work conducted in the Russian Far East (Siberia) over 2 weeks of summer 2013
- Collaborators from Boise State University and Russian Academy of Sciences, Far East Division
- Funded by UWM Research Growth Initiative

2014, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Additional Research, Milwaukee, WI

- Worked over the summer of 2014 with Dr. Erik Gulbranson on dendrochronology project at Cedarburg Bog, Cedarburg, WI

- Funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF)

2010-2012, The College of Wooster, Junior/Senior Thesis, Wooster, OH

- Thesis title: *Stratigraphy and Paleoecology at the Wenlock/Ludlow Boundary on Saaremaa Island, Estonia*, Adviser: Dr. Mark Wilson
- Field work conducted in Estonia and Sweden over 2 weeks of summer 2011
- Collaborator from University of Tartu

2008-2012, Summer Intern, Kentucky Geological Survey, Lexington, KY

- Adviser: Dr. Gerald Weisenfluh (Former State Director, Kentucky Geological Survey)
- Gained experience using ArcGIS to map coal mines in eastern Kentucky
- Assisted in creating a GIS database of coal mines at risk of blowouts that is used by state mine safety officials
- Helped analyze properties for coal-mining potential by plotting and correlating well logs and creating cross sections
- Helped create a groundwater chemistry database that was used in CO₂ sequestration and hydrocarbon extraction experimentation

PUBLICATIONS

Accepted Papers

- Rosa, E.L.M., Vesely, F.F., Isbell, J.I., Kipper, F., Fedorchuk, N.D., Souza, P.A., 2019. Constraining glacier kinematics and cyclicity during the late Paleozoic Ice Age early stages (Middle Mississippian-Early Pennsylvanian) in the Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Sedimentary Geology*, v. 384, 29-49.
- Vesely, F.F., Rodrigues, M.C.N.L., Rosa, E.L.M., Amato, J.A., Trzaskos, B., Isbell, J.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., 2018. Emplacement of non-glacial mass-transport diamictite within higher frequency glacial cycles during the late Paleozoic icehouse. *Geology*, v. 46, 615-618.
- Griffis, N.P., Montañez, I.P., Fedorchuk, N.D., Isbell, J.L., Mundil, R., Vesely, F.F., Weinshultz, L., Iannuzzi, R., Yin, Q., Gulbranson, E.L., Taboada, A., Pagini, A., Sanborn, M., Huyskens, M., Wimpenny, J., and Linol, B., 2019. Isotopes to ice: Constraining provenance of glacial deposits and ice centers in west-central Gondwana. *In press*: accepted to *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* on 4/25/2018.
- Fedorchuk, N.D., Isbell, J.L., Griffis, N.P., Montañez, I.P., Mundil, R., Yin, Q., Vesely, F.F., Iannuzzi, R., Rosa, E.L.M., and Pauls, K.N., 2019. Origin of paleovalleys on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (southernmost Brazil): Implications for the extent of late Paleozoic glaciation in west-central Gondwana. *In press*: accepted to *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* on 4/17/2018.

- Griffis, N.P., Mundil, R., Montañez, I.P., Isbell, J.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., Vesely, F.F., Iannuzzi, R., 2018. A new stratigraphic framework built on U-Pb single zircon TIMS ages with implications for the timing of the penultimate icehouse (Paraná Basin, Brazil). *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 130, 848-858.
- Fedorchuk, N.D., Dornbos, S.Q., Petryshyn, V.A., Wilmeth, D.T., Corsetti, F.A., and Isbell, J.L., 2016. Evaluating the biogenicity of Mesoproterozoic fluvial-lacustrine stromatolites. *Precambrian Research*, v. 275, 105-118.
- Isbell, J.L., Biakov, A.S., Vedernikov, I.L., Davydov, V.I., Gulbranson, E.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., 2016. Permian diamictites in northeastern Asia: Their significance concerning the bipolarity of the late Paleozoic ice age. *Earth-Science Reviews*, v. 154, 279-300.

Manuscripts in Review

- Fedorchuk, N.D., Isbell, J.L., Griffis, N.P., Vesely, F.F., Rosa, E.L.M., Montañez, I.P., Mundil, R., Iannuzzi, R., Roesler, G., Yin, Q., and Pauls, K.N., 2019. Carboniferous glaciectonized sediments and their paleoclimatic significance — evidence from a terrestrial setting, southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil. Submitted to *Sedimentary Geology* 3/21/2019.

Manuscripts in Preparation

- Fedorchuk, N.D., Isbell, J.L., Goso, C., Griffis, N.P., Rosa, E.L.M., Montañez, I.P., Yin, Q., Vesely, F.F., Mundil, R., Iannuzzi, R., 2018. Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology of late Paleozoic glacial deposits in the Chaco-Paraná Basin, Uruguay. In preparation for *GSA Bulletin*.
- Griffis, N.P., Montañez, I.P., Mundil, R., Isbell, J.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., Iannuzzi, R., Vesely, F.F., Mottin, T., and Yin, Q., 2018. A revised stratigraphic framework for the southeast margin of the Paraná Basin – evidence for glacio-eustatic forcing across the Carboniferous through Early Permian. In preparation for *Geology*.

Abstracts

- Isbell, J.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., Pauls, K.N., Griffis, N.P., Ives, L.R.W., Moxness, L.D., Survis, S.R., Vesely, F.F., Montañez, I.P., Limarino, C.O., Iannuzzi, R., Biakov, A.S., Rosa, E.L.M., Mundil, R., Taboada, A.C., Pagani, M.A., Cicciooli, P.L., Schencman, J., Alonso-Muruaga, P.J., Davydov, V.I., Vedernikov, I.L., McNall, N.B., 2018. Glaciation during the late Paleozoic ice age. *VII Simposio Argentino del Paleozoico Superior*, 5R.
- Griffis, N.P., Mundil, R., Montañez, I.P., Keller, B., Fedorchuk, N.D., Isbell, J.L., Vesely, F., Iannuzzi, R., 2018. A new time scale constraining the timing of the Late

Paleozoic Ice Age. *European Geophysical Union Annual Meeting, Abstracts with Programs 20, EGU2018-11303.*

- Fedorchuk, N.D., Isbell, J.L., Griffis, N.P., Montañez, I.P., Mundil, R., Yin, Q., Vesely, F.F., Iannuzzi, R., da Rosa, E.L.M., and Pauls, K.N., 2017. Origin of paleovalleys on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (southernmost Brazil): Implications for the extent of late Paleozoic glaciation in west-central Gondwana. *Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Abstracts with Programs 49(6).*
- Fedorchuk, N.D., Isbell, J.L., Griffis, N.P., Montañez, I.P., Mundil, R., Vesely, F.F., Iannuzzi, R., and da Rosa, E.L.M., 2017. Carboniferous glaciotectionized sediments on the western Rio Grande do Sul Shield, Paraná Basin, southernmost Brazil. *Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Abstracts with Programs 49(6).*
- Vesely, F.F., Trzaskos, B., Mottin, T.E., Rodrigues, M.C.N.L., Schemiko, D.C.B., Rosa, E.L.M., Carvalho, A.H., Kipper, F., Souza, P.A., Iannuzzi, R., Paim, P.S.G., Isbell, J.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., Montañez, I.P., Griffis, N.P., Mundil, R., 2017. Late Paleozoic tectonics, glaciation and sedimentation in the Paraná Basin: Latest advances. *X Simpósio Sul-Brasileiro de Geologia, ST117.*
- Griffis, N., Mundil, R., Montañez, I.P., Isbell, J.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., Lopes, R., Vesely, F., Iannuzzi, R., 2016. High-Resolution Zircon U-Pb CA-TIMS Dating of the Carboniferous-Permian Successions, Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Presented at 35th International Geological Congress in Cape Town, South Africa, 27 August – 4 September 2016.*
- Griffis, N., Mundil, R., Montañez, I.P., Isbell, J.L., Fedorchuk, N.D., Lopes, R., Vesely, F., Iannuzzi, R., 2015. High-Resolution Zircon U-Pb CA-TIMS Dating of the Carboniferous-Permian Successions, Paraná Basin, Brazil. *American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting Abstracts with Programs V32B-08.*
- Fedorchuk, N.D., Isbell, J.L., Iannuzzi, R., Griffis, N., Montañez, I.P., 2015. Preliminary interpretations of glaciomarine facies, their distribution, and importance in the southern Paraná Basin, Brazil. *Geological Society of America North-Central Annual Meeting, Abstracts with Programs 47(5).*
- Fedorchuk, N.D., Dornbos, S.Q., Petryshyn, V.A., Wilmeth, D.T., Corsetti, F.A., and Isbell, J.L., 2013. Evaluating the biogenicity of Mesoproterozoic fluvial-lacustrine stromatolites in the Copper Harbor Conglomerate, Upper Peninsula of Michigan. *Institute on Lake Superior Geology Annual Meeting, Abstracts with Programs 60.*
- Fedorchuk, N.D., Dornbos, S.Q., Petryshyn, V.A., Wilmeth, D.T., Corsetti, F.A., and Isbell, J.L., 2013. Evaluating the biogenicity of Mesoproterozoic fluvial-lacustrine stromatolites in the Copper Harbor Conglomerate, Upper Peninsula of Michigan. *Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Abstracts with Programs 45(7).*
- Isbell, J.L., Biakov, A.S., Vedernikov, I.L., Davydov, V. I., Gulbranson, E.L., Fedorchuk,

N.D., Kolesov, E.V., and Ivanov, Y.Y, 2013. Reevaluation of Permian glaciation in Siberia during the late Paleozoic ice age: Preliminary analyses on the origin of Capitanian diamictites in the Atkan Formation, Okhotsk Region, Russia. *Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Abstracts with Programs* 45(7).

- Fedorchuk, N.D., Wilson, M.A., Matt, R.M., and Vinn, O., 2011. Stratigraphy and paleoecology at the Wenlock/Ludlow boundary on Saaremaa Island, Estonia. *Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Abstracts with Programs* 43(5): 95.
- Matt, R.M., Wilson, M.A., Fedorchuk, N.D., and Vinn, O., 2011. Paleocology of the Hilliste Formation (Lower Silurian, Llandovery, Rhuddanian) Hiiumaa Island Estonia: an example of a shallow marine recovery fauna. *Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Abstracts with Programs* 43(5): 82.

GRANTS RECEIVED

- UWM Center for Latin America and Caribbean Studies (CLACS) research grant (2015, 2016, 2018) - \$5,000
- UWM Geosciences Graduate Research Grant (2013, 2015, 2017) - \$1,500
- Wisconsin Geological Society Research Grant (2013, 2015, 2017) - \$2,450
- American Association of Petroleum Geologists Student Research Grant (2016) - \$1,000
- Society for Sedimentary Geology Student Research Grant (2016) - \$800
- Geological Society of America Student Research Grant (2016) - \$2,500
- Institute on Lake Superior Geology Student Research Grant (2013) - \$500
- **Total = \$13,750**

HONORS/AWARDS

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

- Best UWM Geosciences Symposium Poster Presentation (2018)
- UWM Distinguished Dissertator Fellowship (2018)
- Geological Society of America Annual Meeting Best Poster Award (Sedimentary Division) (2017)
- UWM Distinguished Graduate Student Fellowship (2016)
- UWM Geosciences Graduate Student Research Award (2014, 2017)
- Best UWM Geosciences Symposium Oral Presentation (2014, 2017)
- UWM Geosciences Outstanding Teaching Assistant Award (2013, 2016)
- UWM Chancellor's Graduate Student Award (2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018)

The College of Wooster

- Campus Council Leadership Award (2012)
- President of Wooster Geology Club (2012)

- History Department Honor Society (2011, 2012)
- Don J. Miller Memorial Geology Scholarship (2011)
- Margaret Kate Moke Geology Scholarship (2010, 2011)
- Dean's List (Fall 2008, Spring 2010, Fall 2010, Spring 2012)

INVITED TALKS

Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil, September 2018

- Oral presentation regarding dissertation research entitled: *Late Paleozoic glaciation on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield, southernmost Paraná Basin, Brazil*

Universidade do Contestado, Mafra, SC, Brazil, September 2015

- Oral presentation regarding research in the Russian Far East entitled: *Permian diamictites in Northeastern Asia: their significance concerning the bipolarity of the late Paleozoic ice age*

INVITED REVIEWER

- South African Journal of Geology, 2017, Editor: Dr. Stephen McCourt
- Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 2019, Editor: Dr. Isabel Montañez

CONFERENCES ATTENDED

Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Seattle, WA, September 2017

- Oral presentation regarding dissertation research in southern Brazil entitled *Origin of paleovalleys on the Rio Grande do Sul Shield (southernmost Brazil): Implications for the extent of late Paleozoic glaciation in west-central Gondwana.*
- Poster presentation regarding dissertation research in southern Brazil entitled *Carboniferous glaciotectionized sediments on the western Rio Grande do Sul Shield, Paraná Basin, southernmost Brazil.*

Geological Society of America North-Central Regional Meeting, Madison, WI, May 2015

- Poster presentation regarding dissertation research in Brazil entitled *Preliminary Interpretations of Glaciomarine Facies, their Distribution, and Importance in the Southern Paraná Basin, Brazil.*

Institute on Lake Superior Geology Annual Meeting, Hibbing, MN, May 2014

- Poster presentation regarding master's thesis research in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan entitled *Evaluating the Biogenicity of Mesoproterozoic Fluvial-Lacustrine Stromatolites in the Copper Harbor Conglomerate, Upper Peninsula of Michigan.*

Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Denver, CO, October 2013

- Oral presentation regarding master's thesis research in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan entitled *Evaluating the Biogenicity of Mesoproterozoic Fluvial-Lacustrine Stromatolites in the Copper Harbor Conglomerate, Upper Peninsula of Michigan*.

Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Minneapolis, MN, October 2011

- Presented a poster regarding research in Estonia entitled *Stratigraphy and Paleocology at the Wenlock/Ludlow Boundary on Saaremaa Island, Estonia*.

Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Denver, CO, October 2010

- Attended the Geological Society of America Meeting in Denver.

COLLABORATORS

Advisers

- PhD adviser: Dr. John Isbell, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
- Master's adviser: Dr. Stephen Dornbos, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
- Undergraduate adviser: Dr. Mark Wilson, College of Wooster

American Collaborators

- Dr. Frank Corsetti, University of Southern California
- Dr. Victoria Petryshyn, University of Southern California
- Dr. Isabel Montañez, University of California, Davis
- Dr. Roland Mundil, Berkeley Geochronology Center
- Dr. Vladimir Davydov, Boise State University
- Dr. Neil Griffis (postdoctoral researcher), Berkeley Geochronology Center
- Dr. Dylan Wilmeth (postdoctoral researcher), Institut Physique du Globe de Paris

International Collaborators

- Dr. Cesar Goso, Universidad de la República de Uruguay, Uruguay
- Dr. Roberto Iannuzzi, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
- Dr. William Matsumura, Universidade Federal do Piauí, Brazil
- Dr. Luiz Weinshultz, Universidade do Contestado, Brazil
- João Ricetti (PhD Student), Universidade do Contestado, Brazil
- Guilherme Arsego Roesler (PhD Student), Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
- Eduardo Rosa (PhD Student), Universidade Federal do Paraná, Brazil
- Dr. Fernando Vesely, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Brazil

- Dr. Ricardo Lopes, Unisinos, Brazil
- Dr. Olev Vinn, University of Tartu, Estonia
- Dr. Alexander Biakov, Russian Academy of Sciences, Far East Division, Russia
- Dr. Igor Vedernikov, Russian Academy of Sciences, Far East Division, Russia

SKILLS

- ArcGIS
- X-ray diffraction/fluorescence
- Laser ablation inductively-coupled-plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS)
- Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology
- Coreldraw
- Adobe Lightroom